DATE: April 24, 2000

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

BILL #: CS/SB 210

RELATING TO: Deferred Compensation Programs

SPONSOR(S): Governmental Oversight and Productivity and Governmental Oversight and

Productivity

TIED BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS YEAS 6 NAYS 0

(2) GENERAL APPROPRIATIONS

(3)

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

This bill substantially amends s. 112.125, Florida Statutes.

This bill authorizes the development, subject to appropriation and employee matching contributions, of a supplemental deferred compensation program. The program would constitute a non-pension savings account available to all participating employees. It would be administered by the State Treasurer for state employees, and the respective units of local government which elect to create such a plan.

This bill also permits, but does not mandate, that local constitutional officers may enter into interlocal agreements to permit the combination of their separately constituted deferred compensation accounts.

This bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2000.

This bill is expected to have a material fiscal impact on state and local governments. See "III. Fiscal Analysis and Economic Impact Statement" section.

DATE: April 24, 2000

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1. <u>Less Government</u> Yes [] No [x] N/A []

2. <u>Lower Taxes</u> Yes [] No [] N/A [x]

3. <u>Individual Freedom</u> Yes [x] No [] N/A []

4. Personal Responsibility Yes [] No [] N/A [x]

5. Family Empowerment Yes [] No [] N/A [x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

This bill does not support less government because it creates new responsibilities for governmental entities and for individuals. It also increases an entitlement to a service provided by the government (deferred compensation).

This bill supports individual freedom because it increases the allowable options of individuals to conduct their own affairs.

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Section 457 of Title 26 of the United States Code permits the establishment of a salary deferral program to shield income from payment of federal income taxes. While an employer sponsors the creation of such a plan, all of the contributions are made by the employee who, through the provider companies authorized to manage the deposited funds, bears all of the investment risk. In this sense a Section 457 plan is not a pension account for which the public employer is a fiduciary.

The Office of the State Treasurer administers the State of Florida Deferred Compensation Plan and periodically undertakes a competitive procurement of provider investment companies to act as fund managers for participating employees. Currently there are several provider entities representing insurance companies, mutual funds, and savings institutions. Each provider company permits the participants to allocate funds among a variety of fixed and variable fund accounts according to the individual's perceived degree of comfort and personal financial objectives. About \$1.3 billion is credited to these accounts, although only about 30% of all employees participate. At the cessation of employment, participating employees may withdraw contributions and their earnings in a full or partial lump sum, or annuitize the payments over a period of years, subject to the contract provisions of their provider company. Employees may withdraw funds for specified hardship reasons, but, unlike corporate-type 401(k) pension arrangements, they are under no obligation to repay these withdrawn amounts with interest.

Deferred compensation contribution limits are indexed under federal law to the inflation rate in \$500 increments. The present limits are 25 percent of salary not to exceed \$8000 per year. In the last three years of employment a participant may double contributions, although that indexed threshold is presently \$15,000.

DATE: April 24, 2000

PAGE 3

Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code authorizes general government pension plans, including supplemental accounts for salary deferral purposes.

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Section 1: This bill authorizes a public employer, through its appropriate legislative body, to create a Section 401(a) supplemental deferred salary plan to the base Section 457 plan. This bill authorizes the appropriate legislative body to provide for matching payments as a condition of employee participation. These employer matching payments may be authorized annually in the legislative body's appropriation documents, and may take the form of a fixed or percentage amount to be matched by the employee.

This bill further authorizes constitutional officers in local government entities to permissively combine their deferred compensation program operations by entering into an interlocal agreement. This bill, however, makes any such combination voluntary with each constitutional officer.

<u>Section 2</u>: This bill provides an effective date of July 1, 2001.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Please see "C. Effect of Proposed Changes" for a section-by-section analysis.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

The Division of Treasury reports that the current regulatory and investment management company infrastructure can handle expanded participation within currently appropriated resources. In theory, at least, the larger the salary deferral program becomes, the greater the ability of the state to negotiate lower fees due to its increased purchasing power. Fees are negotiated at the wholesale level by the public employer while individual investors making private purchasing decisions in the open market would pay them at the retail level.

As drafted, this bill would make an employer's match contingent upon employee participation. This means that the employee foregoes the match if participation is rejected. The minimum monthly contribution for state salary deferral programs is \$20.

State employees undertaking part-time, seasonal, or temporary duties and paid from the *Other Personal Services* budget category are also eligible for participation in Section 457 plans even though they are barred by Florida law from participating in the Florida Retirement System.

DATE: April 24, 2000

PAGE 4

2. Expenditures:

The fiscal impact on state government through expenditures from this bill is indeterminate, as the amount depends on the number of employees who participate in one of the proposed plans, and the employee contribution that the state must match.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

The fiscal impact on local governments is indeterminate, as these plans are discretionary and voluntary with each unit of local government.

2. Expenditures:

The fiscal impact on local governments is indeterminate, as these plans are discretionary and voluntary with each unit of local government.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Expansion of salary deferral programs will have a salutary effect upon the provider companies who will be able to command an increased share of business. Each company imposes its own set of account fees under supervision of the Bureau of Deferred Compensation in the Division of Treasury.

Active employer encouragement of workplace savings lessens the emphasis placed upon government-provided retirement income, and gives the participant wage-earner personal ownership of the account contributions and its earnings.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Because salary deferral programs shield current income from federal taxes, they constitute a tax expenditure to the federal treasury. Depending upon the participant's tax bracket, this means that anywhere from 15 percent to 28 percent of otherwise net taxable income is not paid to the United States' Treasury until a distribution is taken.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

The implementation of such a program is optional with each unit of local government. A 1999 survey undertaken by the Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations on behalf of the Senate Committee on Governmental Oversight and Productivity indicated that virtually all responding local governments had Section 457 plans in effect. Implementation will be determined either unilaterally by the local government, or bilaterally in connection with designated collective bargaining agents representing its employees.

DATE: April 24, 2000

PAGE 5

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

V. COMMENTS:

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

None

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

This bill is an outgrowth of a 1999 Committee on Governmental Oversight and Productivity Interim Project 2000-51, *Employer Incentives for Employee Savings*.

Many large private sector companies utilize such savings, or thrift plans, alone, or in combination with dividend reinvestment and stock ownership plans. Such features are especially popular in companies which have high capital requirements (natural resource) or are interest rate sensitive (public utilities).

The deferred compensation provider companies in 1998 for the State of Florida are: Aetna Life Insurance and Annuity Company; Great American Reserve Company; Great West Life and Annuity Insurance Company; Nationwide Insurance Company; Security First Life Insurance Company; T. Rowe Price Associates; Variable Annuity Life Insurance Company; and Washington Mutual Bank.

The Florida Retirement System, the multi-employer state and local government pension plan, bears all of the investment risk for its 600,000 active and 170,000 retired members. Its benefit design guarantees a retirement income based upon an employee's average final pay. Membership in this plan is automatic for all employees, except for those management and university faculty employees who elect an alternative pension arrangement in which they bear all investment reward and risk. Salary deferrals under either a Section 457 or 401(a) plan do not adversely affect benefits payable to state retirement system pensioners: they shield a portion of income from *federal* taxation only.

Since 1994 the Legislature has implemented a salary policy which rewards employees at lower salaried levels with a higher percentage cost-of-living adjustment. This policy has led to a dramatic reduction in the number of employees paid less than \$18,000 in annual salary. A consequence of this has been a reduction in the number of state employees eligible to qualify for federally subsidized assistance programs. This reduction becomes even greater when spousal household income is considered.

DATE: April 24, 2000

PAGE 6

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

The Senate Committee on Governmental Oversight and Productivity considered SB 210 on November 3, 1999, and passed it out favorably as a committee substitute. The committee substitute provides for the negotiation of an interlocal agreement among local constitutional officers for the combination of separately operated deferred compensation programs.

VII.	<u>SIGNATURES</u> :	
	COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS: Prepared by: Staff Director:	
	Amy K. Tuck	Russell J. Cyphers, Jr.