DATE: April 17, 2000

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 2289 (PCB FLC 00-07)

RELATING TO: Public Records/CFS Dept. Personnel

SPONSOR(S): Committee on Family Law & Children, Representative Roberts, and others

TIED BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) FAMILY LAW & CHILDREN YEAS 8 NAYS 0

(2) GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS YEAS 5 NAYS 1

(3)

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

This bill amends s. 119.07(3)(I), F.S., to provide an exemption from the public records requirements for personal information relating to employees of the Department of Children and Family Services who provide services to abused, neglected, abandoned, or exploited children, disabled adults, and elderly persons and their families. The information exempted from disclosure includes home addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, photographs, places of employment of spouses and children, and the names and locations of day care programs or schools attended by the children of the employees.

Pursuant to s. 24 of Article I of the Florida Constitution, this bill provides a statement of public necessity for the exemption which finds that disclosure of personal information would compromise the health, safety, and welfare of the employees, and would impede the effective and efficient administration of the Department of Children and Family Services.

Pursuant to s. 119.15, F.S., this bill provides for review and repeal for this exemption under the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995, and will stand repealed on October 2, 2005, unless reenacted.

This bill is effective upon becoming law.

This bill does not have a significant fiscal impact.

DATE: April 17, 2000

PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [X]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Article I, s. 24, Florida Constitution, expresses Florida's public policy regarding access to government records by providing that:

(a) Every person has the right to inspect or copy any public records made or received in connection with the official business of any public body, officer, or employee of the state, or persons acting on their behalf, except with respect to records exempted pursuant to this section or specifically made confidential by this Constitution. This section specifically includes the legislative, executive, and judicial branches of government and each agency or department created thereunder; counties, municipalities, and districts; and each constitutional officer, board, and commission, or entity created pursuant to law or this Constitution.

Article I, s. 24, Florida Constitution, does, however, permit the Legislature to provide, by general law, for the exemption of records from the requirements of s. 24. The general law exempting the records must state with specificity the public necessity justifying the exemption and can be no broader than necessary to accomplish the stated purpose of the law.

Public policy regarding access to government records is also addressed in the Florida Statutes. Section 119.07, F.S., provides:

Every person who has custody of a public record shall permit the record to be inspected and examined by any person desiring to do so, at a reasonable time, under reasonable conditions, and under supervision by the custodian of the public record or the custodian's designee.

Section 119.15, F.S., provides that an exemption to the public records and meeting requirements may be created or maintained only if it serves an identifiable public purpose and may be no broader than is necessary to meet the public purpose it serves. An identifiable public purpose is served if the exemption meets one of the following purposes

DATE: April 17, 2000

PAGE 3

and the Legislature finds that the purpose is sufficiently compelling to override the strong public policy of open government and cannot be accomplished without the exemption:

- 1. Allows the state or its political subdivisions to effectively and efficiently administer a governmental program, which administration would be significantly impaired without the exemption;
- 2. Protects information of a sensitive personal nature concerning individuals, the release of which information would be defamatory to such individuals or cause unwarranted damage to the good name or reputation of such individuals or would jeopardize the safety of such individuals. However, in exemptions under this subparagraph, only information that would identify the individuals may be exempted; or
- 3. Protects information of a confidential nature concerning entities, including, but not limited to, a formula, pattern, device, combination of devices, or compilation of information which is used to protect or further a business advantage over those who do not know or use it, the disclosure of which information would injure the affected entity in the marketplace.

Under subsection (3) of s. 119.15, F.S., the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995, a new public records exemption or a substantial amendment of an existing exemption must be repealed on October 2nd of the fifth year of enactment, unless reviewed and reenacted by the Legislature. Any law taking effect after 1995, that enacts a new exemption or substantially amends an existing exemption must state within the law that the exemption is subject to repeal in five years, and that the law must be reviewed by the Legislature prior to this date.

Currently, s. 119.07(3)(I)(1), F.S., provides that the home addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, photographs, of certain classes of professionals be held confidential and exempt from public disclosure. Those professionals listed include: active or former law enforcement officers, including correctional and correctional probation officers; personnel of the Department of Children and Family Services whose duties include the **investigation of** abuse, neglect, exploitation, fraud, theft, or other criminal activities; personnel of the Department of Health whose duties are to support the investigation of child abuse or neglect; and personnel of the Department of Revenue or local governments whose responsibilities include revenue collection and enforcement or child support enforcement. The exemption from disclosure also includes the home addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, photographs, places of employment of spouses and children of such professionals, and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of such professionals.

Under s. 119.07(7)(b), F.S., the Department of Children and Family Services may petition the court of an order for the immediate public release of records held by the Department pertaining to the investigation of abuse, neglect, abandonment, or exploitation of a child, disabled adult, or elderly person, in the event of serious bodily injury, to that child, disabled adult, or elderly person. The petition must be personally served upon the child, the disabled adult, or elderly person.

DATE: April 17, 2000

PAGE 4

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Under this bill, personal information relating to employees of the Department of Children and Family Services, whose duties include **the provision of services** to abused, neglected, abandoned, or exploited children, disabled adults, and elderly persons and their families, would be confidential and exempt from public disclosure. The information that would be exempt from disclosure includes home addresses, telephone numbers, social security numbers, photographs, places of employment of spouses and children of the employees, and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of the employees.

This bill provides that the public records exemption provided is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995, s. 119.15, F.S., and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2005, unless reviewed and reenacted by the Legislature.

This bill takes effect upon becoming a law.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1. Amends s. 119.07(3)(i), F.S., relating to inspection, examination, and duplication of records, and exemptions, to provide that personal information relating to employees of the Department of Children and Family Services, who provide services to abused, neglected, abandoned, or exploited children, disabled adults, and elderly persons and their families, is confidential and exempt from public disclosure. The exemption also applies to the places of employment of spouses and children of the employees, and the names and locations of schools and day care facilities attended by the children of the employees. The exemption is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act, s. 119.15, F.S., and is repealed as of October 2, 2005, unless reenacted by the Legislature.

Section 2. Provides legislative findings as to the public necessity for this public records exemption. The Legislature finds that the personal information of the employees of the Department, who provide services to abused, neglected, abandoned, or exploited children, disabled adults, and elderly persons, and their families, should be exempt from disclosure because of the circumstances surrounding the involvement of the Department of Children and Family Services, and the fact that individuals dealing with the Department might be upset, angry, or violent. The Legislature finds that this exemption is necessary to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the employees and their families. Also, if such personal information is not protected, the Department may be unable to obtain qualified employees due to safety concerns. This would impede the effective and efficient administration of the Department.

Section 3. Provides that the act shall take effect upon becoming law.

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

		None.		
		2. Expenditures:		
			None.	
	B.	FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:		
		1.	Revenues:	
			None.	
		2.	Expenditures:	
			None.	
	C.	DIF	RECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:	
		None.		
	D.	FIS	SCAL COMMENTS:	
		No	ne.	
IV.	<u>CO</u>	CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:		
	A.	AP	PLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:	
			is bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take an action quiring expenditure of funds.	
	B.	RE	DUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:	
			is bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenue the aggregate.	

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage of state sales tax shared with municipalities.

h2289a.go

STORAGE NAME:

V. **COMMENTS**:

None.

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

April 17, 2000

1. Revenues:

DATE: PAGE 5

	B.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:				
		None.				
	C.	OTHER COMMENTS:				
		None.				
VI.	<u>AN</u>	ENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:				
	On March 29, 2000, the Committee on Family Law and Children adopted a strike everything amendment to the proposed committee bill which made two substantive changes to the bill. First, it deleted the section relating to the ability of the Department of Children and Family Services to petition the court to release departmental records pertaining to the departmental investigations under certain circumstances. Second, it provided for review and repeal under 119.15, F.S., the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995 of the public records exem created by the bill.					
	The amended proposed committee bill was reported favorably.					
VII.	SIC	<u>GNATURES</u> :				
		OMMITTEE ON FAMILY LAW AND CHILDREN: Prepared by:	: Staff Director:			
		Carol Preston	Carol Preston			
	AS REVISED BY THE COMMITTEE ON GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS: Prepared by: Staff Director:					
		Jennifer D. Krell	Russell J. Cyphers, Jr.			

STORAGE NAME: h2289a.go DATE: April 17, 2000 PAGE 6