Florida House of Representatives - 2000 By Representative Alexander

1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

A bill to be entitled An act relating to wetlands mitigation; amending s. 373.4135, F.S.; prohibiting certain local government denial of a permitted mitigation bank or offsite regional mitigation; amending s. 373.4136, F.S.; requiring the Department of Environmental Protection and certain water management districts to adopt a single uniform functional assessment methodology, by rule, by a specified date; encouraging local government use of the methodology; providing conditions and procedures for use of the methodology; prohibiting use of environmental resource permit rule mitigation ratios when the methodology is used; revising provisions relating to size of the mitigation service area; providing for use of regional watersheds to guide establishment of mitigation service areas; requiring satisfaction of cumulative impact considerations; providing rulemaking authority; providing that mitigation bank

22 permit applications are subject to certain 23 24 established processing procedures; amending s. 25 373.414, F.S.; revising provisions relating to 26 money donated as wetlands mitigation; requiring 27 either a mitigation bank permit or a memorandum 28 of agreement between the department and the 29 applicable water management district, and a federal mitigation bank instrument or 30 memorandum of agreement, for new mitigation 31

1

1 projects receiving such money undertaken after 2 a specified date; providing an exception; 3 requiring certain reporting; providing an effective date. 4 5 б Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 7 8 Section 1. Subsection (2) of section 373.4135, Florida 9 Statutes, is amended to read: 10 373.4135 Mitigation banks and offsite regional 11 mitigation .--12 (2) Local governments shall not deny the use of a 13 mitigation bank or offsite regional mitigation due to its 14 location outside of the jurisdiction of the local government. If the United States Army Corps of Engineers and the 15 16 department or applicable water management district issue permits approving the use of a mitigation bank or offsite 17 regional mitigation located outside of the jurisdiction of the 18 local government, the local government shall not deny the use 19 20 of such mitigation when reviewing an application for approval under this chapter, chapter 125, chapter 163, or any other 21 22 source of authority. Section 2. Subsections (4), (6), and (11) of section 23 373.4136, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsection (12) 24 25 is added to said section, to read: 26 373.4136 Establishment and operation of mitigation 27 banks.--28 (4) MITIGATION CREDITS. -- After evaluating the 29 information submitted by the applicant for a mitigation bank permit and assessing the proposed mitigation bank pursuant to 30 31 the criteria in this section, the department or water 2

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 2365

management district shall award a number of mitigation credits 1 2 to a proposed mitigation bank or phase of such mitigation 3 bank. An entity establishing and operating a mitigation bank may apply to modify the mitigation bank permit to seek the 4 5 award of additional mitigation credits if the mitigation bank 6 results in an additional increase in ecological value over the 7 value contemplated at the time of the original permit 8 issuance, or the most recent modification thereto involving the number of credits awarded. The number of credits awarded 9 shall be based on the degree of improvement in ecological 10 11 value expected to result from the establishment and operation of the mitigation bank as determined using a functional 12 13 assessment methodology. The department and each water 14 management district that has responsibility for reviewing and 15 taking final agency action on mitigation bank permit 16 applications pursuant to the operating agreements adopted 17 under s. 373.046 shall adopt a single uniform functional assessment methodology by rule no later than July 1, 2001. 18 19 This uniform functional assessment methodology may account for 20 different ecological communities in different areas of the state. This uniform functional assessment methodology shall be 21 22 used by the department and those water management districts to award credits and to deduct credits from a mitigation bank. A 23 local government which operates an independent wetland 24 regulatory program is not required, but is encouraged, to use 25 26 this uniform functional assessment methodology for its 27 program. An entity that has received a mitigation bank permit 28 before the adoption of the uniform functional assessment 29 methodology shall have credits deducted from its bank pursuant to the credit assessment method in place when the bank was 30 permitted, unless the entity elects to have credits deducted 31

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

HB 2365

pursuant to the uniform functional assessment methodology. The 1 2 environmental resource permit rule mitigation ratios may not 3 be used to determine mitigation bank credit requirements for those impact sites where the uniform functional assessment 4 5 methodology is used. The uniform functional assessment б methodology must, at a minimum, evaluate In determining the 7 degree of improvement in ecological value, each of the 8 following factors, at a minimum, shall be evaluated: 9 (a) The extent to which target hydrologic regimes can be achieved and maintained. 10 11 (b) The extent to which management activities promote 12 natural ecological conditions, such as natural fire patterns. 13 (c) The proximity of the mitigation bank to areas with 14 regionally significant ecological resources or habitats, such as national or state parks, Outstanding National Resource 15 16 Waters and associated watersheds, Outstanding Florida Waters and associated watersheds, and lands acquired through 17 governmental or nonprofit land acquisition programs for 18 environmental conservation; and the extent to which the 19 20 mitigation bank establishes corridors for fish, wildlife, or 21 listed species to those resources or habitats. 22 (d) The quality and quantity of wetland or upland restoration, enhancement, preservation, or creation. 23 24 (e) The ecological and hydrological relationship between wetlands and uplands in the mitigation bank. 25 26 (f) The extent to which the mitigation bank provides 27 habitat for fish and wildlife, especially habitat for species 28 listed as threatened, endangered, or of special concern, or 29 provides habitats that are unique for that mitigation service 30 area. 31

4

(g) The extent to which the lands that are to be
preserved are already protected by existing state, local, or
federal regulations or land use restrictions.

4 (h) The extent to which lands to be preserved would be5 adversely affected if they were not preserved.

6 (i) Any special designation or classification of the 7 affected waters and lands.

8 (6) MITIGATION SERVICE AREA.--The department or water management district shall establish a mitigation service area 9 for each mitigation bank permit. The department or water 10 management district shall notify and consider comments 11 12 received on the proposed mitigation service area from each 13 local government within the proposed mitigation service area 14 that operates a wetlands regulatory program. Except as provided herein, mitigation credits may be withdrawn and used 15 16 only to offset adverse impacts in the mitigation service area. The boundaries of the mitigation service area shall depend 17 upon the geographic area where the mitigation bank could 18 19 reasonably be expected to offset adverse impacts. A 20 mitigation service area may be larger than the regional 21 watershed if the mitigation bank provides exceptional 22 ecological value such that adverse impacts outside the regional watershed could reasonably be expected to be 23 adequately offset by the mitigation bank. A mitigation service 24 area may be smaller than a regional watershed if adverse 25 26 impacts throughout the regional watershed cannot reasonably be 27 expected to be offset by the mitigation bank because of local 28 ecological or hydrological conditions. Mitigation service 29 areas may overlap, and mitigation service areas for two or more mitigation banks may be approved for a regional 30 31 watershed.

5

In determining the size of the mitigation service 1 (a) 2 area extent to which a mitigation bank provides exceptional 3 ecological value such that adverse impacts outside the regional watershed could reasonably be expected to be 4 5 adequately offset by the mitigation bank, the department or the water management district shall consider the 6 7 characteristics, size, and location of the mitigation bank 8 and, at a minimum, the extent to which the mitigation bank: 9 1. Is part of a larger regional ecosystem; 2.1. Will promote a regional integrated ecological 10 11 network; 12 3.2. Will significantly enhance the water quality or 13 restoration of an offsite receiving water body that is 14 designated as an Outstanding Florida Water, a Wild and Scenic River, an aquatic preserve, a water body designated in a plan 15 adopted pursuant to s. 373.456 of the Surface Water 16 17 Improvement and Management Act, or a nationally designated 18 estuarine preserve; 19 4.3. Will provide for the long-term viability of 20 endangered or threatened species or species of special concern; and 21 22 5.4. Is consistent with the objectives of a regional management plan adopted or endorsed by the department or water 23 management districts. 24 25 The department and water management districts (b) 26 shall use regional watersheds to guide the establishment of 27 mitigation service areas. However, a mitigation service area 28 shall not necessarily be limited to the regional watershed in which the mitigation bank is located, but shall be larger than 29 that regional watershed if adverse impacts outside the 30 regional watershed could reasonably be expected to be 31

6

adequately offset by the mitigation bank. Similarly, a 1 2 mitigation service area may be smaller than a regional 3 watershed in which the mitigation bank is located if adverse impacts throughout the regional watershed cannot reasonably be 4 5 expected to be offset by the mitigation bank because of unique б local ecological or hydrological conditions. In delineating 7 regional watersheds pursuant to this subsection, the 8 department and water management districts shall delineate the 9 largest areas that have hydrological or ecological connectivity to recognize the regional benefits provided by 10 mitigation banks. Use of a mitigation bank for impacts 11 12 occurring within the bank's mitigation service area shall 13 satisfy cumulative impact considerations as set forth in s. 14 373.414(8). 15 (c)(b) Once a mitigation bank service area has been 16 established by the department or a water management district 17 for a mitigation bank, such service area shall be accepted by all water management districts, local governments, and the 18 19 department. 20 (d) (c) If the requirements in s. 373.4135(1)(b) are 21 met, the following projects or activities regulated under this 22 part shall be eligible to use a mitigation bank, regardless of whether they are notwithstanding the fact that they are not 23 completely located within the mitigation service area: 24 Projects with adverse impacts partially located 25 1. 26 within the mitigation service area. 27 2. Linear projects, such as roadways, transmission 28 lines, distribution lines, pipelines, or railways. 29 3. Projects with total adverse impacts of less than 1 acre in size. 30 31

1 (11) RULES.--The department and water management 2 district may adopt rules to implement the provisions of s. 3 373.4135 and this section, which shall include, but not be limited to, provisions: 4 5 (a) Requiring financial responsibility for the б construction, operation, and long-term management of a 7 mitigation bank; 8 (b) For the perpetual protection and management of 9 mitigation banks; and 10 For the use of the uniform functional assessment (C) methodology adopted pursuant to this section Establishing a 11 12 system and methodology for the valuation, assessment, and 13 award of mitigation credits. 14 (12) PROCESSING. -- Mitigation bank permit applications 15 are subject to s. 373.4141. Section 3. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section 16 373.414, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 17 373.414 Additional criteria for activities in surface 18 19 waters and wetlands. --20 (1) As part of an applicant's demonstration that an activity regulated under this part will not be harmful to the 21 water resources or will not be inconsistent with the overall 22 objectives of the district, the governing board or the 23 department shall require the applicant to provide reasonable 24 25 assurance that state water quality standards applicable to 26 waters as defined in s. 403.031(13) will not be violated and 27 reasonable assurance that such activity in, on, or over 28 surface waters or wetlands, as delineated in s. 373.421(1), is 29 not contrary to the public interest. However, if such an activity significantly degrades or is within an Outstanding 30 Florida Water, as provided by department rule, the applicant 31 8

must provide reasonable assurance that the proposed activity
will be clearly in the public interest.

3 (b) If the applicant is unable to otherwise meet the 4 criteria set forth in this subsection, the governing board or 5 the department, in deciding to grant or deny a permit, shall consider measures proposed by or acceptable to the applicant 6 7 to mitigate adverse effects that may be caused by the 8 regulated activity. Such measures may include, but are not 9 limited to, onsite mitigation, offsite mitigation, offsite regional mitigation, and the purchase of mitigation credits 10 11 from mitigation banks permitted under s. 373.4136. It shall be the responsibility of the applicant to choose the form of 12 13 mitigation. The mitigation must offset the adverse effects 14 caused by the regulated activity.

15 The department or water management districts may 1. 16 accept the donation of money as mitigation only where the donation is specified for use in a duly noticed environmental 17 creation, preservation, enhancement, or restoration project, 18 19 endorsed by the department or the governing board of the water 20 management district, which offsets the impacts of the activity permitted under this part. However, the provisions of this 21 22 subsection shall not apply to projects undertaken pursuant to s. 373.4137 or chapter 378. Where a permit is required under 23 this part to implement any project endorsed by the department 24 or a water management district, all necessary permits must 25 26 have been issued prior to the acceptance of any cash donation. 27 After the effective date of this act, When money is donated to 28 either the department or a water management district to offset impacts authorized by a permit under this part, the department 29 or the water management district shall accept only a donation 30 that represents the full cost to the department or water 31

9

management district of undertaking the project that is 1 2 intended to mitigate the adverse impacts. The full cost shall 3 include all direct and indirect costs, as applicable, such as those for land acquisition, land restoration or enhancement, 4 5 perpetual land management, and general overhead consisting of costs such as staff time, building, and vehicles. 6 The 7 department or the water management district may use a 8 multiplier or percentage to add to other direct or indirect 9 costs to estimate general overhead. Mitigation credit for such a donation shall be given only to the extent that the 10 11 donation covers the full cost to the agency of undertaking the 12 project that is intended to mitigate the adverse impacts. 13 However, nothing herein shall be construed to prevent the department or a water management district from accepting a 14 donation representing a portion of a larger project, provided 15 that the donation covers the full cost of that portion and 16 mitigation credit is given only for that portion. The 17 department or water management district may deviate from the 18 full cost requirements of this subparagraph to resolve a 19 20 proceeding brought pursuant to chapter 70 or a claim for inverse condemnation. Nothing in this section shall be 21 22 construed to require the owner of a private mitigation bank, permitted under s. 373.4136, to include the full cost of a 23 mitigation credit in the price of the credit to a purchaser of 24 25 said credit. 26 2. In allowing the department and water management 27 districts to accept the donation of cash as mitigation, it is 28 the intent of the Legislature to make mitigation affordable

29 and demonstrate accountability by ensuring that mitigation is

30 being carried out so as to offset the loss of wetland

31 functions. Therefore, by January 1, 2001, the department and

10

water management districts shall ensure that either a 1 2 mitigation bank permit pursuant to s. 373.4136 or a memorandum 3 of agreement between the department and applicable water management district is obtained covering each environmental 4 5 creation, preservation, enhancement, or restoration project that is endorsed by the department or a water management 6 7 district and is the recipient of cash donated as mitigation. 8 If a memorandum of agreement is obtained, the memorandum of 9 agreement shall substantially meet the requirements of ss. 373.4135 and 373.4136 and the rules adopted thereunder. In 10 11 addition, by January 1, 2001, the department and water 12 management districts shall ensure that either a mitigation 13 banking instrument or memorandum of agreement is obtained from the United States Army Corps of Engineers. An environmental 14 creation, preservation, enhancement, or restoration project 15 that is endorsed, prior to January 1, 2001, by the department 16 17 or a water management district and is the recipient of cash donated as mitigation may continue to receive such cash 18 19 donation. However, beginning January 1, 2001, the department 20 and water management districts may not approve the donation of cash towards a new environmental project not in existence 21 22 prior to January 1, 2001, unless such project has first obtained either a state mitigation bank permit or memorandum 23 of agreement and has obtained a federal mitigation bank 24 instrument or memorandum of agreement as provided herein. The 25 26 provisions of this subsection shall not apply to environmental 27 projects undertaken pursuant to the provisions of s. 373.4137. 28 In implementing this subsection, the Legislature directs the 29 department and water management districts to employ innovative measures to keep mitigation affordable and accountable. 30 31

11

3.2. The department and each water management district 1 2 shall report to the Executive Office of the Governor by 3 January 31 and July 31 of each year all cash donations accepted during the preceding 6 months for wetland mitigation 4 5 purposes, which shall include a description of the endorsed mitigation projects and identification of the state mitigation 6 7 bank permit or memorandum of agreement and the federal 8 mitigation bank instrument or memorandum of agreement obtained 9 for such projects. 10 4.3. If the applicant is unable to meet water quality 11 standards because existing ambient water quality does not meet 12 standards, the governing board or the department shall 13 consider mitigation measures proposed by or acceptable to the 14 applicant that cause net improvement of the water quality in the receiving body of water for those parameters which do not 15 16 meet standards. 17 5.4. If mitigation requirements imposed by a local government for surface water and wetland impacts of an 18 19 activity regulated under this part cannot be reconciled with 20 mitigation requirements approved under a permit for the same 21 activity issued under this part, the mitigation requirements 22 for surface water and wetland impacts shall be controlled by the permit issued under this part. 23 24 Section 4. This act shall take effect July 1, 2000. 25 26 27 28 29 30 31

12

1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
2	HOUSE SUMMARY
3	Duchibita goutain legal goucomment denial of a vetlanda
4	Prohibits certain local government denial of a wetlands mitigation or offsite regional mitigation permitted by
5	the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and the Department of Environmental Protection or applicable water management
6	district. Requires the department and certain water management districts to adopt a single uniform functional
7	assessment methodology, by rule, by July 1, 2001. Encourages, but does not require, local government use of
8	the methodology. Provides procedures for use of the methodology, and provides that an entity that received a
9	mitigation bank permit prior to its adoption may elect to use the methodology. Prohibits use of environmental
10	resource permit rule mitigation ratios when the methodology is used. Revises provisions relating to size
11	of a mitigation service area. Provides for use of regional watersheds to guide establishment of mitigation
12	service areas, and requires satisfaction of cumulative impact considerations. Provides rulemaking authority.
13	Provides that mitigation bank permit applications are subject to certain established permit processing
14	procedures. Revises provisions relating to money donated as wetlands mitigation for environmental projects to
15	offset adverse impacts. Requires either a mitigation bank permit or a memorandum of agreement between the
16	department and the applicable water management district, and a federal mitigation bank instrument or memorandum of agreement, for new projects receiving such money
17	undertaken after January 1, 2001, and requires certain reporting thereof. Provides an exception for projects for
18	environmental mitigation of the impact of transportation projects.
19	projects.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
	13