HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON CRIME & PUNISHMENT ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 677

RELATING TO: Sexual Predator Prosecution Act

SPONSOR(S): Representative Johnson

TIED BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1)	CRIME & PUNISHMENT	YEAS 5 NAYS
(2)	CRIMINAL JUSTICE APP	ROPRIATIONS
(3)		
(4)		
(5)		

I. <u>SUMMARY</u>:

HB 677 provides that an offender serving a sentence for sexual battery or murder who is found guilty of a separate offense of sexual battery or murder shall serve a consecutive sentence for each separate offense.

1

Note: The Committee on Crime & Punishment adopted an amendment offered by the sponsor to clarify that any sentence for sexual battery or murder must be imposed consecutively to any other sentence for sexual battery or murder which arose out of a separate criminal episode.

STORAGE NAME: h0677a.cp DATE: March 22, 2000 PAGE 2

II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Section 921.16 provides that when a defendant is convicted of two or more offenses charged in the same indictment or information, the defendant shall serve the sentences concurrently unless the court directs that the sentences be served consecutively. Sentences for offenses not charged in the same indictment or information are to be served consecutively unless the court directs that they be served concurrently.

Any prisoner who escapes or attempts to escape from confinement commits a second degree felony. Section 944.40 specifically provides that the punishment for escape must run consecutive to any former sentence imposed upon the prisoner. See also, Sec. 944.48.

Section 775.021(4) provides in part that "[w]hoever, in the course of one criminal transaction or episode, commits an act or acts which constitute one or more separate criminal offenses, upon conviction and adjudication of guilt, shall be sentenced separately for each criminal offense; and the sentencing judge may order the sentences to be served concurrently or consecutively."

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill amends section 921.16 to provide that an offender serving a sentence for sexual battery or murder who is found guilty of a separate offense of sexual battery or murder shall serve a consecutive sentence for each separate offense.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

<u>Section1</u>: Amends s. 922.16 relating to when sentences are to be served consecutively and when concurrently.

Section 2: Provides effective date.

STORAGE NAME: h0677a.cp DATE: March 22, 2000 PAGE 3

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
 - 1. <u>Revenues</u>:

See fiscal comments.

2. Expenditures:

See fiscal comments.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
 - 1. <u>Revenues</u>:

See fiscal comments.

2. Expenditures:

See fiscal comments.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

See fiscal comments.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The Criminal Justice Estimating Conference has not met to consider the impact of this bill on the Department of Corrections. To the extent that the bill requires sentences for murder or sexual battery to be imposed consecutively that would have been imposed concurrently, the bill will result in longer prison sentences for some defendants.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill is exempt from the requirements of Article VII, Section 18 of the Florida Constitution because it is a criminal law.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

STORAGE NAME: h0677a.cp DATE: March 22, 2000 PAGE 4

- V. COMMENTS:
 - A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

N/A

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

N/A

C. OTHER COMMENTS:

In deciding whether separate crimes comprise a single criminal episode, the trial court considers whether separate victims are involved, whether the crimes occurred in separate locations, and whether there was a temporal break between the incidents. In <u>Garrison v.</u> <u>State</u>, 654 So.2d 1176 (Fla. 1st DCA 1994), the defendant robbed the store clerk then left the store. The defendant returned to the store, pointed the gun at the clerk and told her that he wanted to kill her. In deciding that the trial court improperly imposed consecutive habitual offender sentences, the First District decided that any temporal break in the incident was too brief to support finding that offenses were not part of same criminal episode.

VI. AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:

At the March 22, 2000 meeting of the Committee on Crime & Punishment, the sponsor offered an amendment to clarify that any sentence for sexual battery or murder must be imposed consecutively to any other sentence for sexual battery or murder which arose out of a separate criminal episode. The amendment was adopted by the committee and is now traveling with the bill.

VII. <u>SIGNATURES</u>:

COMMITTEE ON CRIME & PUNISHMENT: Prepared by:

Staff Director:

Trina Kramer

David De La Paz