Bill No. <u>CS for SB 946</u>

Amendment No. ____

İ	Senate House
1	\vdots
2	÷.
3	
4	•
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Senator Sebesta moved the following amendment:
12	
13	Senate Amendment
14	On page 7, line 15, through page 8, line 15, delete
15	those lines
16	
17	and insert:
18	4. In any proceeding under this section, the person to
19	whom notice was given department shall be required to prove
20	that there is a substantial it is in the public purpose or
21	interest justifying the removal of the name of for the person
22	to whom it has given notice under this section to be placed on
23	<u>from</u> the convicted vendor list. Proof of a conviction of the
24	person or that one is an affiliate of such person shall
25	constitute a prima facie case that it is in the public
26	interest for the person or affiliate to whom the department
27	has given notice to be put on the convicted vendor list.
28	Prompt payment of damages or posting of a bond, cooperation
29	with investigation, and termination of the employment or other
30	relationship with the employee or other natural person
31	responsible for the public entity crime shall create a

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 946</u> Amendment No. ____

rebuttable presumption that it is not in the public interest to place a person or affiliate on the convicted vendor list. Status as an affiliate must be proven by clear and convincing evidence. If the administrative law judge determines that the person was not convicted or is not an affiliate of such person, that person or affiliate shall be removed from not be placed on the convicted vendor list.

5. Any person or affiliate who has been notified by the department of its intent to place his or her name on the convicted vendor list may offer evidence on any relevant issue. An affidavit alone shall not constitute competent substantial evidence that the person has not been convicted or is not an affiliate of a person so convicted. Upon establishment of a prima facie case that it is in the public interest for the person or affiliate to whom the department has given notice to be put on the convicted vendor list, that person or affiliate may prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it would not be in the public interest to put him or her on the convicted vendor list, based upon evidence addressing the factors in subparagraph 3.