**DATE:** March 14, 2001

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON RULES, ETHICS, & ELECTIONS ANALYSIS

**BILL #:** HB 1323 (PCB REE 01-09)

**RELATING TO:** Rulemaking Authority of the Department of State

**SPONSOR(S):** Committee on Rules, Ethics, & Elections and Representative Goodlette

TIED BILL(S):

# ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) RULES, ETHICS, & ELECTIONS (PRC) YEAS 11 NAYS 0

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

## I. SUMMARY:

Florida law establishes that a grant of rulemaking authority is necessary but not sufficient to allow an agency to adopt a rule; a specific law to be implemented is also required. As a result, state agencies are required to review the statutory authority they have for rulemaking and determine if sufficient authority exists for their rules or proposed rules.

For any rules or proposed rules that may be lacking in this authority, the Legislature was directed to consider whether specific legislation authorizing the identified rules, or portions thereof, should be enacted during the 2000 Regular Session. Pursuant to this directive, HB 2067 (PCB ER 00-04) was filed during the 2000 Regular Session, to correct deficiencies in rulemaking authority identified by the Division of Elections within the Department of State. HB 2067 was amended by the Senate during the last days of the 2000 Regular Session and subsequently died in House messages.

This bill amends those sections of the Florida Statutes where a portion of the rule may exceed statutory authority permitted as determined by the Division of Elections. This rule authorization bill seeks to correct any deficiencies noted by establishing specific authority in statute. **Please see Section II D., Section-By-Section Analysis, for details.** 

It is not anticipated that this legislation will have a financial impact beyond current appropriated funds.

The bill shall take effect upon becoming a law.

**DATE**: March 14, 2001

**PAGE**: 2

## II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

# A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

#### B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Section 120.536(1), F.S., prescribes the rulemaking standard for agencies:

(1) A grant of rulemaking authority is necessary but not sufficient to allow an agency to adopt a rule; a specific law to be implemented is also required. An agency may adopt only rules that implement or interpret the specific powers and duties granted by the enabling statute. No agency shall have authority to adopt a rule only because it is reasonably related to the purpose of the enabling legislation and is not arbitrary and capricious or is within the agencys class of powers and duties, nor shall an agency have the authority to implement statutory provisions setting forth general legislative intent or policy. Statutory language granting rulemaking authority or generally describing the powers and functions of an agency shall be construed to extend no further than implementing or interpreting the specific powers and duties conferred by the same statute. (emphasis added)

Section 120.536(2)(b), F.S., was created to provide agencies with the opportunity to review existing rules for compliance with the rulemaking standard articulated in s. 120.536(1), F.S. Where an agency determined that a rule, or a portion thereof, exceeded the standard, the agency reported this rule to the Joint Administrative Procedures Committee (JAPC). Section 120.536(2)(b), F.S., provides that the Legislature shall, at the 2000 Regular Legislative Session, consider whether specific legislation authorizing the identified rules, or portions thereof, should be enacted.

**DATE**: March 14, 2001

**PAGE**: 3

Pursuant to s. 120.536(2)(b), F.S., the House Committee on Election Reform introduced HB 2067 (PCB ER 00-04) during the 2000 Regular Session. HB 2067 was the rulemaking authority bill for the Division of Elections. HB 2067 was subsequently amended in the Senate and died in House returning messages. Section 120.536(2)(b), F.S., provides that by January 1, 2001, each agency shall initiate proceedings to repeal each rule, or portion thereof, identified as exceeding their rulemaking authority *for which authorizing legislation does not exist*. Further, by February 1, 2001, JAPC is directed to submit to the President of the Senate and the Speaker of the House of Representatives a report identifying those rules previously identified by an agency as exceeding the rulemaking authority permitted by s. 120.536, F.S., for which proceedings to repeal the rule have not been initiated. Lastly, current law provides that as of July 1, 2001, JAPC or any substantially affected person may petition an agency to repeal any rule, or portion thereof, because it exceeds the rulemaking authority.

## C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The rules determined to be lacking in specific statutory authority for the Division of Elections and the Department of State are found in Chapter 1S-2. Elections, Florida Administrative Code. This bill will bring these entities into compliance with the requirements of s. 120.536, F.S. **Please see Section II D.**, **Section-By-Section Analysis**, **for details**.

This bill is substantially identical to the rulemaking authority bill for the Division of Elections within the Department of State, which was passed by the House of Representatives during the 2000 Regular Session [HB 2067 (PCB ER 00-04)].

#### D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

- **Section 1.** Amends s. 120.54(1)(i), F.S., authorizing the Department of State to adopt rules for incorporating materials by reference. [Rule identified to exceed the rulemaking standard: 1 F.A.C. 1S-1.005, Incorporation by Reference.]
- **Section 2.** Amends s. 106.23(2), F.S., to require that requests for advisory opinions rendered by the Division of Elections be submitted in accordance with rules adopted by the Department of State. [Rule identified to exceed the rulemaking standard: 1 F.A.C. 1S-2.010, Advisory Opinions.]
- **Section 3.** Amends s. 106.22(11), F.S., authorizing the Department of State to adopt rules for the filing and investigation of voter fraud complaints. [Rule identified to exceed the rulemaking standard: 1 F.A.C. 1S-2.025, Voter Fraud Complaints.]
- **Section 4.** Adds s. 106.07(9), F.S., authorizing the Department of State to adopt rules for the filing of campaign treasurers reports. [Rule identified to exceed the rulemaking standard: 1 F.A.C. 1S-2.023, Campaign Treasurers Report; Filing Requirements, Fines for Late Filing.]
- **Section 5.** Amends s. 101.62(4)(a), F.S., authorizing the Department of State to prescribe by rule the requirements for the preparation and mailing of absentee ballots to overseas electors. [Rule identified to exceed the rulemaking standard: 1 F.A.C. 1S-2.013, Absentee Ballots to Overseas Electors.]
- **Section 6.** Amends s. 101.161(2), F.S., to require that the designated number for constitutional amendments be assigned in accordance with rules adopted by the Department of

**DATE**: March 14, 2001

PAGE: 4

State, in addition to the order of filing or certification. [Rule identified to exceed the rulemaking standard: 1 F.A.C. 1S-2.0011, Constitutional Amendment Ballot Position.]

**Section 7.** Adds s. 99.061(9), F.S., authorizing the Department of State to prescribe by rule the requirements for filing candidate qualifying papers. [Rule identified to exceed the rulemaking standard: 1 F.A.C. 1S-2.0001, Designation of Division of Elections as Filing Office for Department of State.]

**Section 8.** Provides legislative intent that the amendments to the enumerated sections of the Florida Statutes specified in this bill are intended to be supplemental to other amendments to said sections which may be enacted at the 2001 Regular Legislative Session, unless a contrary intent is specifically indicated.

**Section 9.** Provides that this bill shall take effect upon becoming a law.

# III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
  - 1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

Any costs of promulgating rules will be absorbed within existing appropriations.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
  - 1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

- IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:
  - A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or take action requiring the expenditure of funds.

<b>DATE</b> : March 14, 2001 <b>PAGE</b> : 5						
	В.	REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:				
		nis bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenue in the ggregate.				
	C.	REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:				
		This bill does not reduce the percentage of sales tax shared with counties or municipalities.				
V.	CO	COMMENTS:				
	A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:				
		None.				
	B.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:				
		This bill establishes specific rulemaking authority to con	nply with s. 120.536, F.S.			
	C.	OTHER COMMENTS:				
		None.				
VI.	<u>AM</u>	MENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:				
	None.					
VII.	SIG	IGNATURES:				
	СО	OMMITTEE ON RULES, ETHICS, & ELECTIONS:				
		Prepared by: Staf	f Director:			
	_	Dawn Kimmel Roberts, Esq. R. F	Philip Twogood			