Amendment No. ____ (for drafter's use only)

CHAMBER ACTION	
	Senate • House
1	• •
2	• •
3	
4	
5	ORIGINAL STAMP BELOW
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	-
11	The Committee on State Administration offered the following:
12	
13	Amendment (with title amendment)
14	Remove from the bill: Everything after the enacting clause
15	
16	and insert in lieu thereof:
17	Section 1. Any information identifying the location of
18	archaeological sites contained in site files or other records
19	maintained by the Division of Historical Resources of the
20	Department of State is exempt from the provisions of section
21	119.07(1), Florida Statutes, and Section 24(a) of Article I of
22	the State Constitution, if the Division of Historical
23	Resources finds that disclosure of such information will
24	create a substantial risk of harm, theft, or destruction at
25	such sites. This section is subject to the Open Government
26	Sunset Review Act in accordance with section 119.15, Florida
27	Statutes, and expires on October 2, 2006, unless reviewed and
28	reenacted by the Legislature.
29	Section 2. (1) The Legislature finds that it is a
30	public necessity that information identifying the location of
31	archaeological sites be exempt from public records

requirements because the state has a serious problem with archaeological looting. The exact location of an archaeological site should be exempt from disclosure if the Division of Historical Resources determines that disclosure of the site location will create a substantial risk of harm, theft, or destruction at the site.

- protection for sensitive sites puts the federal government in a difficult position. The Federal Government is mandated to share site-specific information with the Florida State

 Historic Preservation Officer to comply with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

 However, section 304 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, protects specific information concerning the location and character of cultural resources, which includes archaeological sites, when sharing that information could place them in jeopardy.
- (3) The Legislature also finds that new implementation guidelines for the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, require increased consultation with federally recognized tribes. The Legislature finds that managing information concerning Native American sacred sites and sites of cultural patrimony requires this exemption, because credible stewardship in this area necessitates the ability to protect sensitive information from public dissemination.

Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming law.

04/13/01

09:30 am

======= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========

Amendment No. ____ (for drafter's use only)

```
1
           On page 1, line 5,
 2
    remove from the title of the bill: all of said line
 3
 4
    and insert in lieu thereof:
 5
            specified archaeological sites;
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
```