Amendment No. ____ (for drafter's use only)

| | CHAMBER ACTION Senate House |
|----------------------|--|
| _ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 1 | : : |
| 2 | : : |
| 3 | : : |
| 4 | |
| 5 | ORIGINAL STAMP BELOW |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Representative(s) Attkisson and Greenstein offered the |
| 11 12 | following: |
| 13 | TOTIOWING. |
| 14 | Amendment |
| | |
| 15 | On page 45, line 9 through line 22, |
| 16 17 | remove from the bill: all of said lines |
| 18 | and insert in lieu thereof: |
| 19 | (b) Nothing in this part shall prohibit a local |
| 20 | government from adopting land development regulations which |
| 20 | establish reasonable standards for setbacks, buffering, and |
| 22 | landscaping or to require consistency with the local |
| 23 | comprehensive plan for a substation that will be operated by |
| 24 | an electric utility. Compliance with any such adopted |
| 2 1 25 | standards creates a presumption that a substation is |
| 26 | compatible with adjacent land uses. |
| 27 | (c) If an electric utility demonstrates by competent |
| 28 | substantial evidence that it meets all criteria for approval |
| 29 | of an application for a development permit fot the location, |
| 30 | construction, and operation of a substation, the local |
| 31 | government may not deny the application unless the |
| J _ | Povernment may not deni one approach in united one |

Bill No. CS/HBs 1617 & 1487

Amendment No. ____ (for drafter's use only)

```
preponderance of the evidence demonstrates the application
 1
 2
    does not meet the requirements of the comprehensive plan, land
 3
    development regulations, or is otherwise incompatible with
 4
    adjacent land uses.
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
```