SENATE STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

BILL:		SB 1872						
SPONSOR:		Senator Carlton						
SUBJECT:		District School Tax						
DATE	E:	March 30, 2001	REVISED:	4/3/01				
	А	NALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFER	RENCE	ACTION		
1.	O'Farrell		O'Farrell	E	D	Fav/2 amendments		
2.				F	T			
3.		<u> </u>		AE	ED			
4.								
5.								
6.								
		·	·	·		·		

I. Summary:

The bill authorizes a local school board that has earned a Seal of Best Financial Management to levy, subject to referendum approval by the registered voters in the county, additional millage for operating purposes that, when combined with non-voted millage the board may levy, does not exceed the 10-mill limit established in section 9(b), Article VII of the State Constitution. The levy may be for a period of up to 4 years, and the millage election is to be conducted subject to the procedures for district millage elections contained in ch. 236, F.S. Proceeds from the levy are not to be included in the Florida Education Finance Program (FEFP) calculation of total potential funds, and they are not to be included in any FEFP hold-harmless calculation.

The effective date of the bill is July 1, 2001.

This bill amends section 236.25 of the Florida Statutes.

II. Present Situation:

Section 236.25, F.S., authorizes local school boards to levy taxes on the value of nonexempt property in the school district at a millage rate that meets the required local effort standard necessary for the district to participate in the Florida Education Finance Program. The required local effort millage rate is set in the General Appropriations Act. School boards may also levy nonvoted current operating discretionary millage at a rate also prescribed in the appropriations act. In addition to the nonvoted operating millage, a school board may levy up to 2 mills for capital outlay projects and major equipment purchases. The combined rate of these millage levies may not exceed the 10-mill nonvoted millage limit for school boards established in section 9(b), Article VII of the State Constitution.

BILL: SB 1872 Page 2

Section 236.31, F.S., authorizes school boards to adopt a resolution at a regular meeting directing the county commission to hold an election at which the electors of the school district may approve an ad valorem tax millage. Only one such election may be held during any 12-month period, and any millage approved by the voters may be levied for no more than 2 years. The procedures for holding and conducting a school board millage election, plus the recommended ballot form are contained in s. 236.32, F.S. The recommended ballot may contain, at the school board's discretion, a proposed millage for operating purposes and a proposed millage for capital improvements. The proposals must be voted on separately. All the costs of a millage election are the responsibility of the local school board.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

The legislation under consideration authorizes school boards operating under a Seal of Best Financial Management to levy additional millage for operating purposes, subject to voter approval via a local referendum. The approved millage may be levied for up to 4 years and the rate, when combined with the rate of non-voted millage authorized by law, may not exceed the 10-mill cap established in the State Constitution. The millage election must be conducted according to the procedures in ss. 236.31 and 236.32, F.S. Funds from the additional millage, if approved, are not to be included in any of the calculations for components of the FEFP formula.

A Seal of Best Financial Management Practices is issued to school districts that have implemented the recommendations from a review of district management practices conducted under the aegis of the Office of Program Policy Analysis and Government Accountability (OPPAGA). In this process school district management practices are evaluated against best management practice standards, and the third-party management auditor conducting the review makes recommendations for improvement that may result in significant cost savings for the district. Not all school districts have undergone a best practices review; however, of the 4 that have, none have yet earned the Seal of Best Financial Management Practices. This means that no school district is currently eligible to hold the millage election authorized by the bill.

No school districts are currently levying any voted discretionary millage.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A.	Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:
	None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

BILL: SB 1872 Page 3

V. Economic Impact and Fiscal Note:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

Owners of nonexempt property in a school district levying the millage authorized by the bill would experience a property tax increase for a period of up to 4 years. The amount of the increase would depend on the rate of the millage approved.

C. Government Sector Impact:

School boards experiencing a successful millage referendum would realize additional revenue for operating purposes for a period of up to 4 years. The amount of additional funding will vary from district to district depending on the total district value of nonexempt property, and the rate of the additional taxation.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Amendments:

#1 by Education:

Deletes the requirement that only school districts operating under a Seal of Best Financial Management qualify to implement the provisions of the bill.

#2 by Education:

Amends s. 236.32, F.S., to authorize school boards to provide the ballot language for special millage referenda, as long as the wording of the ballot conforms to s. 101.161, F.S.

This Senate staff analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's sponsor or the Florida Senate.