**DATE:** April 23, 2001

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS REVISED BY THE COUNCIL FOR READY INFRASTRUCTURE ANALYSIS

**BILL #:** HB 1881 (PCB ELT 01-02)

**RELATING TO:** Long-Term Care/Public Records

**SPONSOR(S):** Committee on Elder & Long-Term Care and Representative Green

TIED BILL(S): HB 1879

# ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) ELDER & LONG-TERM CARE YEAS 10 NAYS 0

(2) COUNCIL FOR READY INFRASTRUCTURE YEAS 19 NAYS 0

(3) COUNCIL FOR HEALTHY COMMUNITIES

(4)

(5)

### I. SUMMARY:

The bill creates exemptions from chapter 119, F.S., the Public Records Law, and Section 24(a), Article I of the State Constitution, and the open meetings provisions of s. 286.011, F.S., and Section 24(b) of the State Constitution. Information contained in the notification and reports of adverse incidents involving long-term care facilities is made confidential, except in the instance of disciplinary proceedings by the Department of Health or a regulatory board, in which case the records are available to the health care professional against whom probable cause has been found. The information may be disclosed to a law enforcement agency, where it remains confidential and exempt until criminal charges are filed. Meetings of an internal risk management and quality assurance committee of a long-term care facility are not open to the public. The bill makes the exemptions subject to a future review and repeal date of October 1, 2006, as required by s. 119.15, F.S., the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995. The bill provides findings and statements of public necessity to justify the creation of the public records and public meetings exemptions.

The bill creates a public records exemption for information relating to an individual's health or eligibility for or receipt of health-related, elder care, or long-term care services, about clients of the Department of Elderly Affairs (Department), clients of service providers that contract with the Department, or functionally impaired elderly persons who receive services under any program administered or funded by the Department.

The bill is tied to HB 1879 (PCB ELT 01-01) and takes effect on the same date as that bill or similar legislation takes effect, if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session, or an extension thereof.

**DATE**: April 23, 2001

PAGE: 2

## II. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS:

## A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes [x]	No []	N/A []
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

#### B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Florida has a long history of providing public access to the records and meetings of governmental and other public entities. The first law affording access to public records was enacted by the Florida Legislature in 1909. In 1992, Floridians voted to adopt an amendment to the Florida Constitution that raised the statutory right of public access to public records to a constitutional level.

The Public Records Law, chapter 119, F.S., and the Public Meetings Law, s. 286.011, F.S., specify the conditions under which public access must be provided to governmental records and meetings of the executive branch and other governmental agencies. While the state constitution provides that records and meetings of public bodies are to be open to the public, it also provides that the Legislature may create exemptions to these requirements by general law if a public need exists and certain procedural requirements are met. Article I, s. 24, Florida Constitution governs the creation and expansion of exemptions to provide, in effect, that any legislation that creates a new exemption or that substantially amends an existing exemption must also contain a statement of the public necessity that justifies the exemption. Article I, s. 24, Florida Constitution provides that any bill that contains an exemption may not contain other substantive provisions, although it may contain multiple exemptions.

Chapter 95-217, Laws of Florida, repealed the Open Government Sunset Review Act, contained in s. 119.14, F. S., and enacted in its place s. 119.15, F.S., the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995. The Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995 provides for the repeal and prior review of any public records or public meetings exemptions that are created or substantially amended in 1996 and subsequently. The review cycle begins in 2001. The chapter defines the term "substantial amendment" for purposes of triggering a repeal and prior review of an exemption to include an amendment that expands the scope of the exemption to include more records or information or to include meetings as well as records. The law clarifies that an exemption is not substantially amended if an amendment limits or narrows the scope of an existing exemption.

#### C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

HB 1879 (PCB ELT 01-01) requires nursing homes and certain assisted living facilities to implement an internal risk-management and quality-assurance program. It also requires long-term care facilities to have a risk-management and quality-assurance committee that is required to meet monthly. Facilities must develop policies and procedures to implement the program, including the investigation and analysis of the frequency and causes of general categories and specific types of

**DATE**: April 23, 2001

**PAGE**: 3

adverse incidents. The internal risk management and quality assurance program must include the use of incident reports that are to be filed with the risk manager and the facility administrator.

HB 1879 requires long-term care facilities to notify the Agency for Health Care Administration (Agency) within one business day after the occurrence of an adverse incident. The Agency is allowed to investigate any such incident, as it deems appropriate, and is allowed to prescribe measures that must or may be taken in response to the incident. The Agency is to review each incident and determine whether the incident potentially involved conduct by a health care professional who is subject to disciplinary action. If this is the case, the provisions related to disciplinary proceedings of s. 456.073, F.S., apply. The notification is confidential and not discoverable or admissible in any civil or administrative action, except disciplinary proceedings by the Agency or regulatory boards. Each facility must submit an adverse incident report to the Agency for each adverse incident within 15 calendar days after its occurrence, on a form developed by the Agency. The Agency is to review the information, and determine whether the incident potentially involved conduct subject to the disciplinary proceedings of s. 456.073, F.S. If such conduct is believed to be grounds for professional discipline, the conduct is to be reported to the appropriate regulatory board.

HB 1879 requires the Agency, as part of its licensure inspection process, to review the internal risk management and quality assurance program at each facility to determine whether the program meets standards in laws and rules, is being conducted in a manner designed to reduce adverse incidents and is appropriately reporting incidents as required by this section.

This bill makes records of meetings of risk management and quality assurance committees in long-term care facilities licensed under part II or part III of ch. 400, F.S., confidential and exempt from public inspection. Incident reports filed with a facility risk manager, and adverse incident notifications and reports from the facility are likewise confidential and exempt. Staff of the Agency is, however, allowed to disclose information reasonably believed to be criminal activity or grounds for disciplinary action to the appropriate law enforcement agency or regulatory board. Records obtained by a regulatory board are not available to the public as part of the investigation and prosecution in a disciplinary proceeding, except the records that form the basis of a determination of probable cause may be released to the professional against whom probable cause has been found. Records disclosed to a law enforcement agency are made confidential and exempt from public inspection until criminal charges are filed.

Meetings of internal risk management and quality assurance committees in long-term care facilities licensed under part II or part III of ch. 400, F.S., are exempt from open meetings requirements and closed to the public. These exemptions are repealed October 1, 2006, unless saved from repeal and reenacted by the Legislature.

The bill contains findings of public necessity for the exemptions from the public records and meetings requirements. It is in the interest of the health and safety of the public for the facilities covered by the bill to operate the internal risk management and quality assurance programs contained in this bill. It is also in the interest of the public that the Agency reviews such programs. The bill contains legislative findings that these programs are most effective in reducing risk to residents and improving quality when facility staff have open and frank internal communication regarding risks and quality assurance problems and that public access to these discussions or agency records will inhibit this frank and open internal communication.

The bill creates a public records exemption for information relating to an individual's health or eligibility for or receipt of health-related, elder care, or long-term care services, about clients of the Department of Elderly Affairs, clients of service providers that contract with the department, or

**DATE**: April 23, 2001

PAGE: 4

functionally impaired elderly persons who receive services under any program administered or funded by the department.

The bill takes effect on the same date that HB 1879 or similar legislation takes effect, if such legislation is adopted in the same legislative session or an extension thereof.

## D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Please see "Effect of Proposed Changes" section above.

## III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None are projected.

2. Expenditures:

None are projected.

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None are projected.

2. Expenditures:

None are projected.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None is projected.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

N/A

# IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

#### A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds.

#### B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

	C.	C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:			
		This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state	tax shared with counties or municipalities.		
V.	COMMENTS:				
	A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:			
		None.			
	В.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:			
		None.			
	C.	OTHER COMMENTS:			
		None.			
VI.	<u>AM</u>	AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:			
	Nor	ne.			
VII.	SIGNATURES:				
	СО	COMMITTEE ON ELDER & LONG-TERM CARE:			
		Prepared by:	Staff Director:		
	_	Melanie Meyer	Tom Batchelor, Ph.D.		
	AS REVISED BY THE COUNCIL FOR READY INFRASTRUCTURE:				
		Prepared by:	Council Director:		
	_	Randy L. Havlicak	Thomas J. Randle		

**DATE**: April 23, 2001 **PAGE**: 5