

Bill No. HB 405

Amendment No.      Barcode 102500

<u>Senate</u>	CHAMBER ACTION	<u>House</u>
---------------	----------------	--------------

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31

.  
.  
.  
.  
.

Senator Latvala moved the following amendment:

**Senate Amendment**

On page 2, line 11, through  
page 3, line 2, delete those lines

and insert:

(b) Information furnished to the Florida Surplus Lines Service Office under the Surplus Lines Law is confidential and exempt from the provisions of s. 119.07(1) and s. 24(a), Art. I of the State Constitution if the disclosure of the information would reveal information specific to a particular policy or policyholder. This exemption does not prevent the disclosure of any information by the Florida Surplus Lines Service Office to the department, but the exemption applies to records obtained by the department from the Florida Surplus Lines Service Office. The exemption does not apply to any proceeding instituted by the department against an agent or insurer. This paragraph is subject to the Open Government Sunset Review Act of 1995 in accordance with s. 119.15, and shall stand repealed on October 2, 2006, unless reviewed and

Bill No. HB 405

Amendment No. \_\_\_\_ Barcode 102500

1 saved from repeal through reenactment by the Legislature.  
2       Section 2. The Legislature finds that it is a public  
3 necessity that certain records of the Department of Insurance  
4 and the Florida Surplus Lines Service Office be held  
5 confidential and exempt. The disclosure of surplus lines  
6 policy information submitted to the Department of Insurance or  
7 to the Florida Surplus Lines Service Office, to the extent  
8 that such information reveals information specific to a  
9 particular policy or policyholder, would be harmful to  
10 insurers or agents due to the economic value of such  
11 information if revealed to competitors. Such information may  
12 also reveal economic information about the policyholder that  
13 would be harmful as an invasion of privacy of the  
14 policyholder. Accordingly, it is a public necessity that such  
15 information be held confidential and exempt.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31