Florida House of Representatives - 2002 CS/HB 1609 By the Council for Smarter Government and Representative Bennett

A bill to be entitled 1 2 An act relating to local government development 3 orders; amending s. 163.3215, F.S.; revising 4 the methods for challenging the consistency of a development order with a comprehensive plan; 5 redefining the term "aggrieved or adversely 6 7 affected party"; providing an effective date. 8 9 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 10 11 Section 1. Section 163.3215, Florida Statutes, is 12 amended to read: 163.3215 Standing to enforce local comprehensive plans 13 14 through development orders .--15 (1) Subsections (3) and (4) provide the exclusive 16 methods for an aggrieved or adversely affected party to appeal 17 and challenge the consistency of a development order with a comprehensive plan adopted under this part. The local 18 19 government that issues the development order is to be named as 20 a respondent in all proceedings under this section. Subsection (3) shall not apply to development orders for which a local 21 2.2 government has established a process consistent with the 23 requirements of subsection (4). A local government may decide 24 which types of development orders will proceed under 25 subsection (4). Subsection (3) shall apply to all other development orders that are not subject to subsection (4). 26 27 (2) As used in this section, the term "aggrieved or 28 adversely affected party" means any person or local government 29 that will suffer an adverse effect to an interest protected or 30 furthered by the local government comprehensive plan, including interests related to health and safety, police and 31

1

fire protection service systems, densities or intensities of 1 2 development, transportation facilities, health care facilities, equipment or services, and environmental or 3 natural resources. The alleged adverse interest may be shared 4 5 in common with other members of the community at large but 6 must exceed in degree the general interest in community good 7 shared by all persons. The term includes the owner, developer, 8 or applicant for a development order. (3)(1) Any aggrieved or adversely affected party may 9 maintain a de novo an action for declaratory, injunctive, or 10 other relief against any local government to challenge any 11 decision of such local government granting or denying an 12 13 application for, or to prevent such local government from 14 taking any action on, a development order, as defined in s. 163.3164, which materially alters the use or density or 15 intensity of use on a particular piece of property which that 16 is not consistent with the comprehensive plan adopted under 17 this part. The de novo action must be filed no later than 30 18 19 days following rendition of a development order or other 20 written decision, or when all local administrative appeals, if any, are exhausted, whichever occurs later. 21 22 (2) "Aggrieved or adversely affected party" means any person or local government which will suffer an adverse effect 23 24 to an interest protected or furthered by the local government 25 comprehensive plan, including interests related to health and 26 safety, police and fire protection service systems, densities 27 or intensities of development, transportation facilities, 28 health care facilities, equipment or services, or 29 environmental or natural resources. The alleged adverse interest may be shared in common with other members of the 30 31

2

community at large, but shall exceed in degree the general 1 2 interest in community good shared by all persons. 3 (3)(a) No suit may be maintained under this section 4 challenging the approval or denial of a zoning, rezoning, 5 planned unit development, variance, special exception, б conditional use, or other development order granted prior to 7 October 1, 1985, or applied for prior to July 1, 1985. 8 (b) Suit under this section shall be the sole action 9 available to challenge the consistency of a development order with a comprehensive plan adopted under this part. 10 (4) If a local government elects to adopt or has 11 12 adopted an ordinance establishing, at a minimum, the 13 requirements listed in this subsection, the sole method by 14 which an aggrieved and adversely affected party may challenge 15 any decision of local government granting or denying an application for a development order, as defined in s. 16 163.3164, which materially alters the use or density or 17 intensity of use on a particular piece of property, on the 18 19 basis that it is not consistent with the comprehensive plan 20 adopted under this part, is by an appeal filed by a petition for writ of certiorari filed in circuit court no later than 30 21 days following rendition of a development order or other 22 23 written decision of the local government, or when all local administrative appeals, if any, are exhausted, whichever 24 occurs later. An action for injunctive or other relief may be 25 26 joined with the petition for certiorari. Principles of 27 judicial or administrative res judicata and collateral 28 estoppel apply to these proceedings. Minimum components of the 29 local process are as follows: (a) The local process must make provision for notice 30 of an application for a development order that materially 31 3

alters the use or density or intensity of use on a particular 1 piece of property, including notice by publication or mailed 2 3 notice consistent with the provisions of s. 166.041(3)(c)2.b. and c. and s. 125.66(4)(b)2. and 3., and must require 4 5 prominent posting at the job site. The notice must be given 6 within 10 days after the filing of an application for 7 development order; however, notice under this subsection is 8 not required for an application for a building permit or any 9 other official action of local government which does not materially alter the use or density or intensity of use on a 10 particular piece of property. The notice must clearly 11 12 delineate that an aggrieved or adversely affected person has 13 the right to request a quasi-judicial hearing before the local 14 government for which the application is made, must explain the 15 conditions precedent to the appeal of any development order ultimately rendered upon the application, and must specify the 16 location where written procedures can be obtained that 17 describe the process, including how to initiate the 18 quasi-judicial process, the timeframes for initiating the 19 20 process, and the location of the hearing. The process may include an opportunity for an alternative dispute resolution. 21 (b) The local process must provide a clear point of 22 23 entry consisting of a written preliminary decision, at a time 24 and in a manner to be established in the local ordinance, with 25 the time to request a quasi-judicial hearing running from the 26 issuance of the written preliminary decision; the local government, however, is not bound by the preliminary decision. 27 28 A party may request a hearing to challenge or support a 29 preliminary decision. (c) The local process must provide an opportunity for 30 participation in the process by an aggrieved or adversely 31 4

affected party, allowing a reasonable time for the party to 1 2 prepare and present a case for the quasi-judicial hearing. 3 (d) The local process must provide, at a minimum, an 4 opportunity for the disclosure of witnesses and exhibits prior 5 to hearing and an opportunity for the depositions of witnesses б to be taken. 7 (e) The local process may not require that a party be 8 represented by an attorney in order to participate in a 9 hearing. 10 (f) The local process must provide for a quasi-judicial hearing before an impartial special master who 11 12 is an attorney who has at least 5 years' experience and who 13 shall, at the conclusion of the hearing, recommend written findings of fact and conclusions of law. The special master 14 15 shall have the power to swear witnesses and take their 16 testimony under oath, to issue subpoenas and other orders regarding the conduct of the proceedings, and to compel entry 17 upon the land. The standard of review applied by the special 18 19 master in determining whether a proposed development order is 20 consistent with the comprehensive plan shall be strict scrutiny in accordance with Florida law. 21 (g) At the quasi-judicial hearing, all parties must 22 23 have the opportunity to respond, to present evidence and 24 argument on all issues involved which are related to the development order, and to conduct cross-examination and submit 25 26 rebuttal evidence. Public testimony must be allowed. 27 (h) The local process must provide for a duly noticed 28 public hearing before the local government at which public 29 testimony is allowed. At the quasi-judicial hearing, the local government is bound by the special master's findings of fact 30 unless the findings of fact are not supported by competent 31

5

substantial evidence. The governing body may modify the 1 2 conclusions of law if it finds that the special master's 3 application or interpretation of law is erroneous. The governing body may make reasonable legal interpretations of 4 5 its comprehensive plan and land development regulations б without regard to whether the special master's interpretation 7 is labeled as a finding of fact or a conclusion of law. The 8 local government's final decision must be reduced to writing, 9 including the findings of fact and conclusions of law, and is not considered rendered or final until officially date-stamped 10 11 by the city or county clerk. 12 (i) An ex parte communication relating to the merits 13 of the matter under review may not be made to the special 14 master. An ex parte communication relating to the merits of the matter under review may not be made to the governing body 15 16 after a time to be established by the local ordinance, which 17 time must be no later than receipt of the special master's recommended order by the governing body. 18 19 (j) At the option of the local government, the process 20 may require actions to challenge the consistency of a development order with land development regulations to be 21 22 brought in the same proceeding. 23 (4) As a condition precedent to the institution of an 24 action pursuant to this section, the complaining party shall 25 first file a verified complaint with the local government 26 whose actions are complained of setting forth the facts upon 27 which the complaint is based and the relief sought by the 28 complaining party. The verified complaint shall be filed no 29 later than 30 days after the alleged inconsistent action has been taken. The local government receiving the complaint 30 shall respond within 30 days after receipt of the complaint. 31 6

1 Thereafter, the complaining party may institute the action 2 authorized in this section. However, the action shall be 3 instituted no later than 30 days after the expiration of the 30-day period which the local government has to take 4 5 appropriate action. Failure to comply with this subsection 6 shall not bar an action for a temporary restraining order to 7 prevent immediate and irreparable harm from the actions 8 complained of.

9 (5) Venue in any cases brought under this section 10 shall lie in the county or counties where the actions or 11 inactions giving rise to the cause of action are alleged to 12 have occurred.

13 (6) The signature of an attorney or party constitutes 14 a certificate that he or she has read the pleading, motion, or other paper and that, to the best of his or her knowledge, 15 16 information, and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, it is not interposed for any improper purpose, such as to harass or 17 to cause unnecessary delay or for economic advantage, 18 competitive reasons or frivolous purposes or needless increase 19 20 in the cost of litigation. If a pleading, motion, or other 21 paper is signed in violation of these requirements, the court, 22 upon motion or its own initiative, shall impose upon the person who signed it, a represented party, or both, an 23 appropriate sanction, which may include an order to pay to the 24 other party or parties the amount of reasonable expenses 25 26 incurred because of the filing of the pleading, motion, or 27 other paper, including a reasonable attorney's fee. 28 (7) In any proceeding action under subsection (3) or 29 subsection (4)this section, no settlement shall be entered into by the local government unless the terms of the 30 31

7

settlement have been the subject of a public hearing after notice as required by this part. (8) In any proceeding suit under subsection (3) or subsection (4)this section, the Department of Legal Affairs may intervene to represent the interests of the state. (9) Neither subsection (3) nor subsection (4) relieves the local government of its obligations to hold public hearings as required by law. Section 2. This act shall take effect June 1, 2002.