STORAGE NAME: h0399.ag.doc **DATE:** January 16, 2002

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE & CONSUMER AFFAIRS ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 399

RELATING TO: Florida Mobile Home Act

SPONSOR(S): Representative Bennett

TIED BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

- (1) COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE & CONSUMER AFFAIRS (CCC)
- (2) FISCAL POLICY & RESOURCES (FRC)
- (3) COUNCIL FOR COMPETITIVE COMMERCE

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

In the 2001 legislative session, two related bills regarding mobile home park tenancies passed. This "glitch bill" creates a 30 day time limit for convening a required meeting between a park owner and the committee representing park tenants; and corrects a cross-reference.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

STORAGE NAME: h0399.ag.doc

DATE: January 16, 2002

PAGE: 2

II. <u>SUBSTANTIVE</u> ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No [x]	N/A []
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

This bill requires the parties to a potential dispute conduct a meeting.

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Florida Mobile Home Park Regulation – In General

The landlord-tenant relationship between a mobile home park owner and a mobile home owner in the mobile home park is a unique relationship. Because of the high cost of moving a mobile home, traditional landlord-tenant concepts are thought inapplicable. Chapter 723, F.S, governs the relationship between mobile home park owners and mobile home owners. Section 723.004(1), F.S, provides:

The Legislature finds that there are factors unique to the relationship between a mobile home owner and a mobile home park owner. Once occupancy has commenced, unique factors can affect the bargaining position of the parties and can affect the operation of market forces. Because of those unique factors, there exist inherently real and substantial differences in the relationship which distinguish it from other landlord-tenant relationships. The Legislature recognizes that mobile home owners have basic property and other rights which must be protected. The Legislature further recognizes that the mobile home park owner has a legitimate business interest in the operation of the mobile home park as part of the housing market and has basic property and other rights which must be protected. This chapter is created for the purpose of regulating the factors unique to the relationship between mobile home owners and mobile home park owners in the circumstances described herein. It recognizes that when such inequalities exist between mobile home owners and mobile home park owners as a result of such unique factors, regulation to protect those parties to the extent that they are affected by the inequalities, while preserving and protecting the rights of both parties, is required.

The Florida Supreme Court, in addressing mobile home park issues, states that

a hybrid type of property relationship exists between the mobile home owner and the park owner and that the relationship is not simply one of landowner and tenant. Each has basic property rights which must reciprocally accommodate and harmonize. Separate and distinct mobile home laws are necessary to define the relationships and protect the interests of the persons involved.

STORAGE NAME: h0399.ag.doc

DATE: January 16, 2002

PAGE: 3

Stewart v. Green, 300 So.2d 889, 892 (Fla. 1974).

A mobile home park of 9 or fewer lots is not regulated by Chapter 723, F.S. In fiscal year 1998-1999, there were 315,991 mobile home lots in regulated mobile home parks in Florida.¹

2001 Legislative Session

CS/CS/HB 411, 2nd Eng., was enacted as ch. 2001-227, L.O.F., effective July 1, 2001. That act amends various provisions of Chapter 723, F.S., regarding Mobile Home Park Lot Tenancies, as follows:

- Defines the term "proportionate share" used in the formula for calculating an allowable passthrough charge.
- Deletes the provisions requiring a mobile home park owner to pay moving expenses, or to purchase the mobile homes, of tenants who are being displaced due to a change in use (a closing of the mobile home park).
- Provides for the creation of the Florida Mobile Home Relocation Corporation, which
 corporation will reimburse a mobile home owner up to \$10,000 for moving expenses
 incurred as a result of closing the mobile home park in which the mobile home owner
 resides.
- Requires the Department of Business and Professional Regulation to maintain copies of all mobile home park prospectuses, and amendments thereto, and to provide a copy upon request within ten days.
- Provides additional meeting and disclosure requirements related to proposed lot rental increases.

The act also extends the automatic repeal of the Hurricane Loss Mitigation Program from 2002 to 2006, and fixes the funding of mobile home tie down program that was scheduled to be phased out.

Section 723.037(4)(c), F.S., added by ch. 2001-227, L.O.F., provides that, if the committee representing the park tenants disagrees with the park owner's lot rental increase, which increase is based on a comparison to comparable parks, the committee must provide certain information to the park owner, together with a request for a second meeting regarding the lot rental increase. The statute does not provide any deadline for conducting such second meeting.

A related trust fund bill, HB 1265, 2nd Eng., passed as ch. 2001-231, L.O.F. Among other changes, that act created s. 723.06116, F.S., regarding payments into the Florida Mobile Home Relocation Trust Fund. Section 723.06116(2)(c), F.S., provides that a mobile home park owner is not required to pay into the relocation trust fund should the mobile home owner abandon the mobile home, as set forth in s. 723.0612(8), F.S. This reference to subsection (8) is a mistake.

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This bill amends s. 723.037(4)(c), F.S., to provide a 30 day time limit for the second meeting regarding lot rental increases.

¹ Information provided by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation, February 29, 2000.

STORAGE NAME: h0399.ag.doc

DATE: January 16, 2002

PAGE: 4

This bill amends s. 723.06116(2)(c), F.S., to correct the cross-reference from s. 723.0612(8), F.S., to s. 723.0612(7), F.S.; and further clarifies that payment into the trust fund as required by s. 723.0612(7), F.S., is required before the exemption in s. 723.06116(2)(c), F.S., is applicable.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

See "Present Situation" and "Effect of Proposed Changes".

III. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

- A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:
 - 1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
 - 1. Revenues:

None.

Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate.

	_	Susan D. Reese	Susan D. Reese		
		Prepared by:	Staff Director:		
	CO	COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEE ON AGRICULTURE & CONSUMER AFFAIRS:			
VII.	SIG	SIGNATURES:			
	1 1/7	`			
	N/A				
VI.	AM	AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:			
		None.			
	C.	OTHER COMMENTS:			
		None.			
	B.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:			
		None.			
	A.	CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:			
V.	<u>CO</u>	DMMENTS:			
		This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state	tax shared with counties or municipalities.		
	C.	REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:			

STORAGE NAME: h0399.ag.doc DATE: January 16, 2002 PAGE: 5