STORAGE NAME: h0477a.ric.doc

DATE: January 30, 2002

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES AS REVISED BY READY INFRASTRUCTURE COUNCIL ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 477

RELATING TO: Road Designations

SPONSOR(S): Representative(s) Baker

TIED BILL(S):

ORIGINATING COMMITTEE(S)/COUNCIL(S)/COMMITTEE(S) OF REFERENCE:

(1) TRANSPORTATION YEAS 12 NAYS 0

(2) READY INFRASTRUCTURE COUNCIL YEAS 17 NAYS 0

(3)

(4)

(5)

I. SUMMARY:

Section 334.071, F.S., explains the process for the Legislature to designate roads, bridges, and other transportation facilities for honorary or memorial purposes.

The bill designates that portion of State Road 19 in Lake County, from the north end of Lake County to its intersection with Highway 441 in Eustis as the "PFC Robert M. McTureous, Jr., U.S.M.C., Medal of Honor Memorial Highway."

The bill directs the Florida Department of Transportation (DOT) to erect suitable markers along the designated stretch of highway.

The bill has an estimated initial fiscal impact of \$500 to DOT for producing and installing the markers.

The bill takes effect upon becoming a law.

STORAGE NAME: h0477a.ric.doc

DATE: January 30, 2002

PAGE: 2

II. <u>SUBSTANTIVE</u> ANALYSIS:

A. DOES THE BILL SUPPORT THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

1.	Less Government	Yes []	No [x]	N/A []
2.	Lower Taxes	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
3.	Individual Freedom	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
4.	Personal Responsibility	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]
5.	Family Empowerment	Yes []	No []	N/A [x]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

Less Government: State government is required to fund, erect, and maintain suitable markers.

B. PRESENT SITUATION:

Section 334.071, F.S., explains the process for legislative designations of transportation facilities for honorary or memorial purposes, or to distinguish a particular facility. The legislative designations do not erase the current names of the facilities, nor do they require local governments and private entities to change street signs or addresses. Some public roads and bridges have multiple or overlapping designations.

Based on DOT records, 969 honorary road and bridge designations have been approved since 1922, most of them by the Legislature.

C. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The bill designates that portion of State Road 19 in Lake County, from the north end of Lake County to the intersection of State Road 19 and Highway 441 in Eustis as the "PFC Robert M. McTureous, Jr., U.S.M.C., Medal of Honor Memorial Highway."

According to the U.S. Army website, McTureous was serving with the 3d Battalion, 29th Marines, 6th Marine Division during military action against Japanese forces on Okinawa, on June 7, 1945. The Altoona, Fla. native sacrificed his life, lobbing hand grenades into enemy-occupied caves to divert gunfire from stretcher-bearers removing wounded U.S. soldiers. McTureous' Medal of Honor citation reads: "For conspicuous gallantry and intrepidity at the risk of his life above and beyond the call of duty."

The bill directs the Florida Department of Transportation (DOT) to erect suitable markers along the designated stretch of highway.

D. SECTION-BY-SECTION ANALYSIS:

Section 1: Designates a portion of State Road 19 in Lake County the "PFC Robert M. McTureous, Jr., U.S.M.C., Medal of Honor Memorial Highway." Directs DOT to erect suitable markers.

Section 2: Specifies this act shall take effect upon becoming a law.

STORA	GE NAME:	h0477a.ric.doc
DATE:	January 30,	2002
PAGE:	3	

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT:

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

DOT expects initially to incur an estimated cost of \$500 to implement the bill. Production and installation costs are estimated at \$250 per marker, and each designated road gets two markers. DOT also expects indeterminate recurring costs of maintaining these signs and replacing them, over time.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

IV. CONSEQUENCES OF ARTICLE VII, SECTION 18 OF THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTION:

A. APPLICABILITY OF THE MANDATES PROVISION:

The mandates provision is not applicable to an analysis of the bill because the bill does not require cities or counties to expend funds, or to take actions requiring the expenditure of funds.

B. REDUCTION OF REVENUE RAISING AUTHORITY:

The bill does not reduce the revenue-raising authority of counties or municipalities.

C. REDUCTION OF STATE TAX SHARED WITH COUNTIES AND MUNICIPALITIES:

The bill does not reduce the state tax revenues shared with counties or municipalities.

V. COMMENTS:

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

The bill does not raise any constitutional issues.

STORAGE NAME: h0477a.ric.doc DATE: January 30, 2002 PAGE: 4							
	B.	RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:					
		DOT has sufficient rulemaking authority to implem	ent the bill.				
	C.	OTHER COMMENTS:					
		None.					
VI.	AMENDMENTS OR COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES:						
	At its January 8, 2002, meeting, the House Transportation Committee adopted an amendment by the bill sponsor to replace "PFC" with "Private" throughout the bill. The amendment is traveling separately.						
VII.	SIG	<u>SIGNATURES</u> :					
	READY INFRASTRUCTURE COUNCIL:						
		Prepared by:	Staff Director:				
	_	Joyce Pugh	Phillip B. Miller				
	AS REVISED BY THE READY INFRASTRUCTURE COUNCIL:						
		Prepared by:	Council Director:				
	_	C. Scott Jenkins	Thomas J. Randle				