Amer	ndment No. (for drafter's use only)
	CHAMBER ACTION
	<u>Senate</u> <u>House</u>
	•
	•
Repr	resentative Ambler offered the following:
	Amendment (with title amendment)
	Remove line(s) 1168-1188, and insert:
-1	Section 30. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 766.203,
Flor	rida Statutes, are amended to read:
	766.203 Presuit investigation of medical negligence claims
and	defenses by prospective parties
	(2) Prior to issuing notification of intent to initiate
	Ical malpractice litigation pursuant to s. 766.106, the
	imant shall conduct an investigation to ascertain that there
are	reasonable grounds to believe that:
+ h a	(a) Any named defendant in the litigation was negligent in
une	care or treatment of the claimant; and
	(b) Such negligence resulted in injury to the claimant.
2890	
	Page 1 of 3

HOUSE AMENDMENT

Bill No.HB 1713

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

27 Corroboration of reasonable grounds to initiate medical 28 negligence litigation shall be provided by the claimant's 29 submission of a verified written medical expert opinion from a 30 medical expert as defined in s. 766.202(5), at the time the 31 notice of intent to initiate litigation is mailed, which 32 statement shall corroborate reasonable grounds to support the 33 claim of medical negligence. This opinion and statement are 34 subject to discovery.

(3) Prior to issuing its response to the claimant's notice of intent to initiate litigation, during the time period for response authorized pursuant to s. 766.106, the defendant or the defendant's insurer or self-insurer shall conduct an investigation to ascertain whether there are reasonable grounds to believe that:

41 (a) The defendant was negligent in the care or treatment42 of the claimant; and

43

(b) Such negligence resulted in injury to the claimant.

44

45 Corroboration of lack of reasonable grounds for medical 46 negligence litigation shall be provided with any response 47 rejecting the claim by the defendant's submission of a verified 48 written medical expert opinion from a medical expert as defined 49 in s. 766.202(5), at the time the response rejecting the claim 50 is mailed, which statement shall corroborate reasonable grounds 51 for lack of negligent injury sufficient to support the response 52 denying negligent injury. This opinion and statement are subject 53 to discovery.

54 55

289067

HOUSE AMENDMENT

Bill No.HB 1713

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

56	======= T	I	Т	LΕ		A	М	Е	Ν	D	М	Е	Ν	Т	
57	Remove line(s)	80),	and	i	ns	er	:t:	:						

58 F.S.; providing for discovery of