# Bill No. <u>SB 2368</u>

Amendment No. \_\_\_\_ Barcode 525252

## CHAMBER ACTION

| Ī        | CHAMBER ACTION <u>Senate</u> <u>House</u>  |
|----------|--|
| 1        | 4/AD/2R . 05/02/2003 03:59 PM .  |
| 3        |  |
| 4        |  |
| 5<br>6   |  |
| 7        |  |
| 8        |  |
| 9        |  |
| 10       |  |
| 11       | Senator Fasano moved the following amendment:  |
| 12       |  |
| 13       | Senate Amendment (with title amendment)  |
| 14       | On page 2, line 2, through   |
| 15       | page 3, line 8, delete those lines   |
| 16       |  |
| 17       | and insert: of the water delivered into customers' homes. It   |
| 18       | is the further intent of the Legislature to establish a pilot  |
| 19       | program in Pasco County regarding monopoly water utilities   |
| 20       | which is intended to allow Pasco County the ability to respond   |
| 21       | to consumer criticisms regarding black water and other   |
| 22       | consumer complaints dealing with customer service. It is also  |
| 23<br>24 | the intent of the Legislature to maintain a statewide uniform  |
| 25       | system of regulation with respect to the establishment of  |
| 26       | water quality standards. Therefore, the Legislature recognizes that only the Department of Environmental Protection, the |
| 27       | Public Service Commission, and the Environmental Protection  |
| 28       | Agency are authorized to establish water quality criteria  |
| 29       | requirements. Nonetheless, it is recognized that technology  |
| 30       | may be available to assist in lessening black water problems.  |
| 31       | It is also recognized that monopoly water utilities have   |
|          | 1  |

## Bill No. SB 2368

3

4

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

25

26

27

Amendment No. \_\_\_ Barcode 525252

- established varying degrees of customer service programs that are designed to respond to consumer complaints regarding customer service.
- (2) If Pasco County is receiving black water or other customer service complaints from a significant number of those customers serviced by a monopoly water utility, the chairman of the county commission may establish a monopoly water utility ad hoc committee consisting of the chairman of the county commission, two utility representatives from monopoly water utilities, two customer representatives, the county health officer, and two independent scientific experts in water chemistry. The committee shall be in existence for no more than a 2-year period, and its function shall be:
  - (a) To review and evaluate customer service complaints and, if deemed necessary, recommend to the county commission the establishment of uniform customer service criteria to be applied by all monopoly water utilities;
  - (b) To review and evaluate black water concerns expressed by customers serviced by a monopoly water utility if similar complaints have also been filed with the Public Service Commission; and
- 2.2 (c) If deemed necessary, recommend to the county 23 commission the propriety of requiring new technology or new uniform minimum technology standards for use by monopoly water 24 utilities in the treatment of black water and customer service responsiveness. The ad hoc committee may evaluate a monopoly water utility's operational protocol only insofar as it 28 relates to customer service. The ad hoc committee may not 29 recommend standards that deal with the financial aspects of a 30 water utility or standards or criteria relating to water quality which would either conflict with or be more stringent

## Bill No. SB 2368

Amendment No. \_\_\_\_ Barcode 525252

than water quality standards presently imposed by the Public Service Commission, the Department of Environmental 3 Protection, or the Environmental Protection Agency. However, the ad hoc committee may recommend the establishment of local 4 technological standards or methods of treatment relating to the esthetics of black water or other minimum standards 6 regarding general responsiveness to customer service 8 complaints. Such technological standards relating to black water must be economically, technologically, and environmentally feasible. The ad hoc committee shall consult 10 with the Public Service Commission, the Department of 11 Environmental Protection, or the Environmental Protection 12 13 Agency as necessary. 14 (3) On the recommendation of the ad hoc committee, the 15 county commission may choose to adopt additional technological 16 standards designed to resolve black water issues which are not required by the Public Service Commission, the Department of 17 Environmental Protection, or the Environmental Protection 18 19 Agency and may also choose to adopt other minimum standards 20 for customer service responsiveness. Upon the adoption of any such standards, each monopoly water utility shall be informed 21 2.2 of those new standards and shall be given 3 months to submit to the county a plan for compliance with those standards. The 23 county shall allow for a reasonable time to bring such systems 24 25 into compliance with the new standards. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this section, the county commission may 26 27 not adopt standards that deal with the financial aspects of a 28 monopoly water utility or standards or criteria relating to 29 water quality as applied to a monopoly water utility which 30 would either conflict with or be more stringent than water

# Bill No. <u>SB 2368</u>

Amendment No. \_\_\_\_ Barcode 525252

| 1  | Service Commission, the Department of Environmental            |
|----|--|
| 2  | Protection, or the Environmental Protection Agency. The        |
| 3  | county's decision to adopt any technological or customer       |
| 4  | service standards is agency action only for the purposes of    |
| 5  | this act and is subject to chapter 120, Florida Statutes. Any  |
| 6  | affected monopoly water utility, consumer, or state agency may |
| 7  | challenge, pursuant to chapter 120, Florida Statutes, the      |
| 8  | county's decision to adopt such standards as not complying     |
| 9  | with the provisions contained in this section, and the county  |
| 10 | shall refer the petition to the Division of Administrative     |
| 11 | Hearings. Any decision of an administrative law judge is final |
| 12 | agency action, subject to appeal pursuant to section 120.68,   |
| 13 | Florida Statutes. If there is no challenge to the decision of  |
| 14 | the county commission to impose additional standards as        |
| 15 | provided for in this section or the county prevails in an      |
| 16 | administrative challenge to the proposed standards, the full   |
| 17 | amount of any reasonable and prudent costs incurred in         |
| 18 | complying with the county requirements are recoverable by a    |
| 19 | monopoly water utility under section 367.081(4)(b), Florida    |
| 20 | Statutes.  |
| 21 | (4) If any other provision of this chapter is                  |
| 22 | inconsistent with this section, this section shall prevail.    |
| 23 | (5) This section shall expire effective July 1, 2005.          |
| 24 |  |
| 25 | (Redesignate subsequent sections.)                             |
| 26 |  |
| 27 |  |
| 28 | ======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========                 |
| 29 | And the title is amended as follows:                           |
| 30 | On page 1, lines 5-16, delete those lines                      |
| 31 |  |

# Bill No. <u>SB 2368</u>

Amendment No. \_\_\_\_ Barcode 525252

| 1  | and insert:                                     |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  | providing for a pilot project for Pasco County  |  |
| 3  | to facilitate county response to certain        |  |
| 4  | consumer complaints; providing legislative      |  |
| 5  | intent; allowing the chairman of the board of   |  |
| 6  | county commissioners to establish a monopoly    |  |
| 7  | water utility ad hoc committee for a prescribed |  |
| 8  | period; providing for the membership and duties |  |
| 9  | of the ad hoc committee; allowing the county    |  |
| 10 | commission to adopt additional technological    |  |
| 11 | standards to address issues relating to black   |  |
| 12 | water; requiring that utilities receive notice  |  |
| 13 | of the standards and that they submit a         |  |
| 14 | compliance plan to the county; prohibiting      |  |
| 15 | county commissions from adopting standards that |  |
| 16 | relate to the finances of a monopoly water      |  |
| 17 | utility or that conflict with specified         |  |
| 18 | standards imposed by other regulatory bodies;   |  |
| 19 | providing procedures for challenging standards  |  |
| 20 | adopted by the county; providing for a monopoly |  |
| 21 | water utility to recover certain costs of       |  |
| 22 | compliance with the county requirements;        |  |
| 23 | providing that this act supersedes conflicting  |  |
| 24 | provisions of ch. 367, F.S.; providing for      |  |
| 25 | future repeal; providing an effective           |  |
| 26 |   |  |
| 27 |   |  |
| 28 |   |  |
| 29 |   |  |
| 30 |   |  |
| 31 |   |  |