

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 593 Beach Improvement

SPONSOR(S): Carassas

TIED BILLS: IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

| REFERENCE | ACTION | ANALYST | STAFF DIRECTOR |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1) <u>Public Lands & Water Resources (Sub)</u> | <u>11 Y, 0 N</u> | <u>McKinnon</u> | <u>Lotspeich</u> |
| 2) <u>Natural Resources</u> | <u>16 Y, 0 N</u> | <u>McKinnon</u> | <u>Lotspeich</u> |
| 3) <u>Finance & Tax</u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| 4) <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| 5) <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

HB 593 amends 125.0104, F.S., to prohibit those tourist development tax monies which are specifically designated by a county for beach improvement, maintenance, renourishment, restoration, or erosion control from being used for any other purposes.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.

STORAGE NAME: h0593b.nr.doc
DATE: March 20, 2003

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. DOES THE BILL:

- | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| 1. Reduce government? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Lower taxes? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Expand individual freedom? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Increase personal responsibility? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Empower families? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Current situation

There is a concern by local governments that tourist development tax monies designated by the county for beach improvement could be utilized for other purposes.

Effect of the proposed changes

HB 593 provides that tourist development tax monies designated by the county for beach improvement, maintenance, renourishment, restoration, or erosion control shall not be loaned or used for any other purpose.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 Amends 125.0104, F.S., for tourist development tax monies designated by a county may not be used for other purposes.

Section 2 Provides for an effective date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues: None.
2. Expenditures: None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues: None.
2. Expenditures: Amends 125.0104, F.S., for tourist development tax monies designated by a county may not be used for other purposes.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR: None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS: None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision: None.

2. Other: None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY: None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS: None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

GES