### **HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS**

BILL #: HB 725 SPONSOR(S): Holloway Submission of Biological Specimens

TIED BILLS:

**IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** 

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	
1) Corrections (Sub)	6 Y, 0 N	Whittier	De La Paz	
2) Public Safety & Crime Prevention				
3)				
4)				
5)				

## **SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

During the 2001 Session, the Legislature added statutory language authorizing the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE or the department) to obtain biological specimens other than blood for DNA analysis. [See Chapter 2001-127, L.O.F.]

HB 725 corrects a cross-reference to conform to this language. Section 948.03, F.S., addresses terms and conditions of probation or community control, and requires probationers and community controllees to submit two specimens of blood to FDLE to be registered with the DNA data bank. This bill gives the department discretion to obtain FDLE-approved biological specimens other than blood, such as buccal (oral) swabs which are obtained from the inside of a person's cheek.

The bill appears to have no negative fiscal impact on state or local governments.

NOTE: On March 13, 2003, the Subcommittee on Corrections recommended the bill favorably with a strike-everything amendment which added a requirement that the local sheriff or their designee would be responsible for the collection of DNA specimens from those offenders who are required to provide samples, and clarified that approved biological specimens, other than blood, can be provided in the cases of juvenile offenders and adult sex offenders. Currently, these offenders are required to have blood specimens taken, but not other approved biological specimens.

### **FULL ANALYSIS**

### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. DOES THE BILL:

1.	Reduce government?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
2.	Lower taxes?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
3.	Expand individual freedom?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
4.	Increase personal responsibility?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
5.	Empower families?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The Florida Legislature created the DNA Offender Database in 1989, and since that time, the Florida Department of Law Enforcement (FDLE or the department) has entered samples collected from offenders convicted of the offenses specified under s. 943.325(1)(a), F.S., and created profiles in the DNA database. FDLE collects and analyzes blood specimens from known criminals and then makes comparisons to DNA profiles that result from the testing of blood and other biological evidence collected from crime scenes. Through the use of the DNA Offender Database, these comparisons help to solve crimes by matching suspects and victims to questioned serological samples.

The method of DNA analysis that is now being used in the FDLE crime laboratory system and throughout the country is a technique known as STR or Short Tandem Repeats. This technology can be used for analyzing very small or degraded samples and gives very high discrimination probabilities (the ability to tell individuals apart). This new technology allows the DNA database to utilize samples other than blood, including buccal (oral) swabs, which are taken from the inside of a person's cheek.

FDLE reports that buccal swab samples can be obtained at less cost to the collecting agency, since they do not require the service of a phlebotomist to draw blood. These samples can be collected easily, quickly, and with minimal discomfort to the subject. The swabs are suitable for the DNA analysis and will also survive long term storage.

During the 2001 Session, the Legislature added statutory language authorizing the FDLE to obtain biological specimens other than blood for DNA analysis. [See Chapter 2001-127, L.O.F.]

HB 725 corrects a cross-reference to conform to this language. Section 948.03, F.S., addresses terms and conditions of probation or community control, and requires probationers and community controllees to submit two specimens of blood to FDLE to be registered with the DNA data bank. This bill gives the department discretion to obtain FDLE-approved biological specimens other than blood.

### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 948.03, F.S., relating to submission of biological specimens.

Section 2: Provides an effective date.

#### II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

STORAGE NAME: h0725a.ps.doc PAGE: 2 March 13, 2003

# A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

- 1. Revenues: See Fiscal Comments.
- 2. Expenditures: See Fiscal Comments.

#### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

- 1. Revenues: See Fiscal Comments.
- 2. Expenditures: See Fiscal Comments.
- C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR: None.

## D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

The department reports that various sheriffs' offices have been consulted and all have advised that the oral swab is significantly faster and utilizes fewer resources; however, cost avoidance does not appear to be quantifiable.

#### III. COMMENTS

# A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

- 1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision: N/A
- 2. Other: N/A
- B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY: N/A

March 13, 2003

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS: None.

#### IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

NOTE: On March 13, 2003, the Subcommittee on Corrections recommended the bill favorably with a strike-everything amendment which added a requirement that the local sheriff or their designee would be responsible for the collection of DNA specimens from those offenders who are required to provide samples, and clarified that approved biological specimens, other than blood, can be provided in the cases of juvenile offenders and adult sex offenders. Currently, these offenders are required to have blood specimens taken, but not other approved biological specimens.

STORAGE NAME: h0725a.ps.doc PAGE: 3

DATE.