

HB 0053B 2003

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to motor vehicle dealer licenses; amending s. 320.642, F.S.; revising conditions under which an application to establish an additional motor vehicle dealership or permit the relocation of an existing motor vehicle dealer to a location within a community or territory where the same line-make vehicle is presently represented by a franchised motor vehicle dealer or dealers shall be denied; providing for construction of the act in pari materia with laws enacted during the 2003 Regular Session or the 2003 Special Session A of the Legislature; providing an effective date.

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Subsection (2) of section 320.642, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

320.642 Dealer licenses in areas previously served; procedure.--

(2)(a) An application for a motor vehicle dealer license in any community or territory shall be denied when:

1. A timely protest is filed by a presently existing franchised motor vehicle dealer with standing to protest as defined in subsection (3); and

2. The licensee fails to show good cause. In order to show good cause, the licensee must establish that:

<u>a.</u> The existing franchised dealer or dealers who register new motor vehicle retail sales or retail leases of the same line-make in the community or territory of the proposed



HB 0053B 2003

dealership are not providing adequate representation of such line-make motor vehicles in such community or territory; and

b. There will be no significant adverse impact on the profitability or vehicle sales of the protesting dealer or dealers.

The burden of proof in establishing inadequate representation shall be on the licensee.

- of proof in establishing inadequate representation existing franchised motor vehicle dealer or dealers are providing adequate representation in the community or territory for the line-make, the department shall may consider evidence, including which may include, but is not limited to:
- 1. The impact of the establishment of the proposed or relocated dealer on the consumers, public interest, existing dealers, and the licensee; provided, however, that financial impact may only be considered with respect to the protesting dealer or dealers. Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, if the licensee fails to establish that there will be no significant adverse impact on the profitability of vehicle sales of the protesting dealer or dealers, the application shall be denied.
- 2. The size and permanency of investment reasonably made and reasonable obligations incurred by the existing dealer or dealers to perform their obligations under the dealer agreement.
- 3. The reasonably expected market penetration of the linemake motor vehicle for the community or territory involved,
 after consideration of all factors which may affect said
 penetration, including, but not limited to, demographic factors



HB 0053B 2003

such as age, income, education, size class preference, product popularity, import penetration, retail lease transactions, or other factors affecting sales to consumers of the community or territory. Market penetration shall not be measured by comparison to a community or territory that is not comparable to the community or territory of the proposed dealership with respect to the demographic factors set forth in this subparagraph, and such comparison area shall not be smaller in size, as measured by geographic area and retail registrations, than the community or territory of the proposed dealership.

- 4. Any actions by the licensees in denying its existing dealer or dealers of the same line-make the opportunity for reasonable growth, market expansion, or relocation, including the availability of line-make vehicles in keeping with the reasonable expectations of the licensee in providing an adequate number of dealers in the community or territory.
- 5. Any attempts by the licensee to coerce the existing dealer or dealers into consenting to additional or relocated franchises of the same line-make in the community or territory.
- 6. Distance, travel time, traffic patterns, and accessibility between the existing dealer or dealers of the same line-make and the location of the proposed additional or relocated dealer.
- 7. Whether benefits to consumers will likely occur from the establishment or relocation of the dealership which the protesting dealer or dealers prove cannot be obtained by other geographic or demographic changes or expected changes in the community or territory.
- 8. Whether the protesting dealer or dealers are in substantial compliance with their dealer agreement.



HB 0053B 2003

9. Whether there is adequate interbrand and intrabrand competition with respect to said line-make in the community or territory and adequately convenient consumer care for the motor vehicles of the line-make, including the adequacy of sales and service facilities.

- 10. Whether the establishment or relocation of the proposed dealership appears to be warranted and justified based on economic and marketing conditions pertinent to dealers competing in the community or territory, including anticipated future changes.
- 11. The volume of registrations and service business transacted by the existing dealer or dealers of the same linemake in the relevant community or territory of the proposed dealership.
- Section 2. If any law amended by this act was also amended by a law enacted at the 2003 Regular Session of the Legislature or the 2003 Special Session A of the Legislature, such laws shall be construed as if they had been enacted at the same session of the Legislature, and full effect shall be given to each if possible.
 - Section 3. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.