Bill No. <u>SB 1338</u>

Amendment No. $\underline{1}$ Barcode 190310

CHAMBER ACTION

	CHAMBER ACTION
	Senate House
1	· ·
2	·
3	·
4	·
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	The Committee on Comprehensive Planning recommended the
12	following amendment:
13	
14	Senate Amendment
15	On page 1, line 15 through page 2, line 22, delete
16	those lines
17	
18	and insert:
19	Section 1. Paragraph (e) is added to section 202.22,
20	Florida Statutes, to read:
21	202.22 Determination of local tax situs
22	(1) A dealer of communications services who is
23	obligated to collect and remit a local communications services
24	tax imposed under s. 202.19 shall be held harmless from any
25	liability, including tax, interest, and penalties, which would
26	otherwise be due solely as a result of an assignment of a
27	service address to an incorrect local taxing jurisdiction, if
28	the dealer of communications services exercises due diligence
29	in applying one or more of the following methods for
30	determining the local taxing jurisdiction in which a service
31	address is located:
	8:49 AM 04/19/04 s1338.cp.01

Bill No. <u>SB 1338</u>

1

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

25

26

Amendment No. 1 Barcode 190310

- (a) Employing an electronic database provided by the department under subsection (2).
- (b) Employing a database developed by the dealer or supplied by a vendor which has been certified by the department under subsection (3).
- (c)1. Employing enhanced zip codes to assign each street address, address range, post office box, or post office box range in the dealer's service area to a specific local taxing jurisdiction.
- 2. If an enhanced zip code overlaps boundaries of municipalities or counties, or if an enhanced zip code cannot be assigned to the service address because the service address is in a rural area or a location without postal delivery, the dealer of communications services or its database vendor shall assign the affected service addresses to one specific local taxing jurisdiction within such zip code based on a reasonable methodology. A methodology satisfies this subparagraph if the information used to assign service addresses is obtained by the dealer or its database vendor from:
 - a. A database provided by the department;
- b. A database certified by the department under subsection (3);
- c. Responsible representatives of the relevant local taxing jurisdictions; or
 - d. The United States Census Bureau or the United States Postal Service.
- (d) Employing a database of street addresses or other assignments that does not meet the requirements of paragraphs (a)-(c), but meets the criteria set forth in paragraph (3)(a) at the time of audit by the department.
- 31 (e) Any database of enhanced zip codes which is used

Bill No. <u>SB 1338</u>

Amendment No. <u>1</u> Barcode 190310

1	to determine the local taxing jurisdiction in which a service
2	address is located must be certified by the department by July
3	1, 2005. Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary,
4	if a dealer submits an application for certification on or
5	before January 1, 2005, the 180-day time limit set forth in
6	paragraph (3)(d) does not apply. During the time the
7	application is under consideration by the department or, if
8	the application is denied, until the denial is no longer
9	subject to administrative or judicial review or until a later
10	date fixed by order of the reviewing court:
11	1. For purposes of computing the amount of the
12	deduction to which such dealer is entitled under s. 202.28,
13	the dealer shall be deemed to have used a certified database
14	pursuant to paragraph (1)(b).
15	2. In the event that such application is approved,
16	such approval shall be deemed to have been effective on the
17	date of the application or July 1, 2005, whichever is later.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	