HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 297 w/CS Indigent Care Surtax/Small Counties

SPONSOR(S): Kendrick

TIED BILLS: None. IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 634(s)

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Local Government & Veterans' Affairs	17 Y, 0 N w/CS	Grayson	Cutchins
2) Health Care	23 Y, 0 N w/CS	Garner	Collins
3) Finance & Tax	18 Y, 1 N w/CS	Overton	<u>Diez-Arguelles</u>
4) Health Appropriations (Sub)			
5) Appropriations			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Currently, s. 212.055, F.S., authorizes counties to impose seven local discretionary sales surtaxes (taxes) on all transactions occurring in the county subject to the state tax imposed on sales, use, services, rental, and admissions. Three of these discretionary taxes can be used for purposes related to providing health care services or infrastructure: 1) the Indigent Care & Trauma Center Surtax; 2) the County Public Hospital Surtax; and 3) the Voter-Approved Indigent Care Surtax. Each of these surtaxes has specific restrictions that limit which counties may seek each sales tax.

HB 297 w/CS amends subsection (7) of s. 212.055, F.S., to allow small counties to levy the Voter-Approved Indigent Care Surtax. Specifically, bill authorizes counties with a population of fewer than 50,000 residents to levy the Voter-Approved Indigent Care surtax of up to 1 percent rather than the 0.5 percent surtax authorized in existing law. In effect, the bill allows 27 Florida counties to exercise this authority.

In counties with fewer than 50,000 residents, the bill expands their ability to issue bonds to finance, plan, construct, or reconstruct a public or not-for-profit hospital in the county and any land acquisition, land improvement, design, or engineering costs related to such hospital, if the governing body determines that a hospital in existence at the time of the issuance of the bonds would, more likely than not, otherwise cease to operate. The bill requires the clerk of the circuit court, as the ex officio custodian of the funds of the authorizing county, to disburse the funds to service bond indebtedness upon a directive from the authorizing county. The directive from the authorizing county may be irrevocably given at the time the bond indebtedness is incurred.

The fiscal impact is indeterminate. If all eligible jurisdictions enact the levy proposed by the changes to subsection (7), the estimated statewide impact would be \$23.8m cash, \$26.0m recurring 2004-05, and \$27.4 m cash and recurring 2005-06.

The bill provides an effective date upon becoming a law.

STORAGE NAME: h0297f.ft.doc DATE: h0297f.ft.doc March 30, 2004

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. DOES THE BILL:

1.	Reduce government?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
2.	Lower taxes?	Yes[]	No[X]	N/A[]
3.	Expand individual freedom?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
4.	Increase personal responsibility?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
5.	Empower families?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

This bill provides additional taxing authority in certain counties.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

This bill expands the number of counties that may levy the discretionary sales taxes pursuant to subsections (7) of s. 212.055, F.S. The bill authorizes the imposition and collection of a 1.0 percent Voter-Approved Indigent Care sales surtax in any county with a population of fewer than 50,000 residents.

Background

According to the Florida Hospital Association, in 2000, there were 2.6 million uninsured Floridians. While it is difficult to determine how many of the uninsured are indigent, Florida's hospitals spend millions of dollars each year to support the care of indigent patients. For example, Florida Hospital, Inc. posted \$17 million in uncompensated care charges in January 2002 – the highest amount ever in the hospital's 84-year history.¹

Rural and smaller counties face an even more difficult challenge of caring for the health care needs of the indigent. Rural hospitals typically suffer financial hardships due to small community sizes, lack of health insurance in their communities, overall lower incomes in their communities, lower levels of Medicare reimbursement, outdated/aging physical plants, and constantly increasing costs due to technological innovations and costs of pharmaceuticals and other supplies. Often rural hospitals are the only source of care for the indigent given the relative immobility of the poor, the need to go to a hospital outside of their neighborhood limits their access to basic care.

County Taxing Authority

Section 212.055, F.S., authorizes counties to impose seven local discretionary sales surtaxes (taxes) on all transactions occurring in the county subject to the state tax imposed on sales, use, services, rental, and admissions. Three of these sales taxes directly pertain to health care services. The sales amount is not subject to the tax if the property or service is delivered within a county that does not impose a surtax. In addition, the tax is not subject to any sales amount above \$5,000 on any item of tangible personal property. This \$5,000 cap does not apply to the sale of any other service. The Department of Revenue (DOR) is responsible for administering, collecting, and enforcing all sales taxes. Collections received by the department are returned monthly to the county imposing the tax.

STORAGE NAME: h0297f.ft.doc PAGE: 2 DATE: March 30, 2004

¹ Uncompensated care is a total of: Charity care – treatment for which the hospital does not expect to be reimbursed. Bad debt – treatment for which a hospital cannot obtain reimbursement for the care provided – because people are unable or unwilling to pay their bills. Uncompensated care does not include voluntary or involuntary "reductions in revenue," such as underpayment from Medicare or Medicaid, or discounts to insurers.

The tax rates, duration levied, method of imposition, and proceed uses are individually specified in s. 212.055, F.S. TABLE 1 identifies the seven taxes, the rate limits, and the number of counties authorized to impose and the number imposing the tax. The maximum combined rate for the Local Government Infrastructure Surtax, the Small County Surtax, the Indigent Care and Trauma Center Surtax, and the County Public Hospital Surtax, is 1 percent. In counties with a publicly supported medical school levying the Voter-Approved Indigent Care Surtax, the combined rate is 1.5 percent. The School Capital Outlay Surtax is capped at 0.5 percent, and is not included in these tax rate caps.

TABLE 1 Local Discretionary Sales Surtaxes						
TAX	AUTHORIZED LEVY (%)	NUMBER OF COUNTIES AUTHORIZED TO LEVY TAX	NUMBER OF COUNTIES LEVYING TAX			
Charter County Transit System Surtax	up to 1%	7	2			
Local Government Infrastructure Surtax	0.5% or 1%	67	25			
Small County Surtax	0.5% or 1%	31	20			
Indigent Care & Trauma Center Surtax	up to 0.5%	5	1			
County Public Hospital Surtax	0.5% (Miami-Dade County)	1	1			
School Capital Outlay Surtax	up to 0.5%	67	13			
Voter-Approved Indigent Care Surtax	0.5% or 1%	61	0			

Source: Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations, 12/2003

Recent changes in Florida Law affect the counties' taxing authority. Specifically:

- ✓ Chapter 2003-77, Laws of Florida, removed the October 1, 2005 expiration for the authority provided in s. 212.055(7), F.S.;
- ✓ Chapter 2003-254, Laws of Florida, (CS for SB 1176) eliminates the restrictions on the use of surtax proceeds to supplant or replace user fees or to reduce ad valorem taxes. This change became effective on July 1, 2003; and
- ✓ Chapter 2003-402, Laws of Florida, (HB 113A) expands the allowable uses of the surtax proceeds to include the construction, lease, or maintenance of, provision of utilities or security for, those court facilities as defined in s. 29.008, F.S.. This change becomes effective on July 1, 2004.

The maximum rate for any combination of the Infrastructure Surtax, the Small County Surtax, and the Voter-Approved Indigent Care Surtax, is one percent, or 1.5 percent in counties with a publicly supported medical school.

STORAGE NAME: h0297f.ft.doc PAGE: 3 March 30, 2004

Voter-Approved Indigent Care Surtax

Nationally, indigent surtaxes have been used to subsidize safety-net health care providers. In Florida, Chapter 2000-316, L.O.F., created s. 212.055(7), F.S., to authorize counties with less than 800,000 residents to impose, with referendum approval, the Voter-Approved Indigent Care Surtax. The rate of the levy is capped at 0.5 percent, or 1 percent if a publicly supported medical school is located in the county. While sixty-one counties are authorized to levy the Voter-Approved Indigent Care Surtax, to date no county has done so.²

Counties levying the tax must develop a plan, by ordinance, for providing health care services to "qualified" indigent or medically poor residents. Tax proceeds must be used to fund health care services for indigent and medically poor persons, including, but not limited to, primary care, preventive care, and hospital care. Indigent persons are defined as persons certified as indigent by the authorizing county. Persons defined as medically poor are those who:

- have insufficient income, resources, and assets to provide the needed medical care without using resources required to meet basic needs for shelter, food, clothing, and personal expenses;
- are not eligible for any other state or federal program or having medical needs that are not covered by any such program; or
- have insufficient third-party insurance coverage.

Persons participating in innovative, cost-effective programs approved by the authorizing county are also included as "qualified" residents.

The Department of Revenue (DOR) is required to collect and remit the tax proceeds to the Clerk of Court, who must deposit the funds in an indigent health care trust fund, invest the deposits as prescribed in general law, and disburse the funds to qualified providers of health care services.

HB 297 w/CS expands the ability of 27 Florida counties with a population of fewer than 50,000 residents to impose and collect the full 1.0 percent Voter-Approved Indigent Care sales surtax. The counties that meet the requirements of the bill include: Baker, Bradford, Calhoun, DeSoto, Dixie, Franklin, Gadsden, Gilchrist, Glades, Gulf, Hamilton, Hardee, Hendry, Holmes, Jackson, Jefferson, Lafayette, Levy, Liberty, Madison, Okeechobee, Suwannee, Taylor, Union, Wakulla, Walton and Washington.³ The bill provides that these surtaxes may be imposed and collected upon approval by a majority vote of the electors of the county voting in a referendum.

The bill also provides authority to the county to pledge the Voter-Approved Indigent Care surtax proceeds to service new or existing bond indebtedness incurred to finance, plan, construct, or reconstruct a public or not-for-profit hospital. Additionally, the bill authorizes the use of the surtax proceeds to acquire or improve land, or for the design or engineering costs related to such a hospital. Both of the foregoing authorities are conditioned upon a determination that the hospital existing at the time of bond issuance would, more likely than not, otherwise cease to operate. The bill states that by an extraordinary vote, the governing body of the county may provide that some or all of the surtax revenues and earned interest must be expended for the purpose of servicing the bond indebtedness. The bill states that such a county may utilize the bond issuance services of the State Board of Administration pursuant to the State Bond Act. Bond issuance is limited to no more than once per year.

The bill states that any county meeting the requirements of this bill and that issues bonds, retains the bond authority throughout the life of the bonds, including any refinancing bonds, regardless of subsequent increases in population. The bill provides authority to the clerk of the circuit court, as the

-

² Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations. 2003 Local Governmental Financial Information Handbook.

³ Adjusted 2002 Population Estimates for Florida Counties & Municipalities, Florida Legislative Committee on Intergovernmental Relations, http://fcn.state.fl.us/lcir/reports/lgfih03.pdf, accessed 1/26/04.

ex officio custodian of such funds to distribute the funds and any earned interest to service bond indebtedness pursuant to the irrevocable directive of the authorizing county given at the time of bond indebtedness.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. Amends s. 212.055, F.S., by:

- adding s. 212.055(7)(a)2., F.S., authorizing certain counties to levy an indigent care surtax up to 1.0 percent;
- adding s. 212.005(7)(c)2., F.S., providing the uses for the imposed surtax;
- adding s. 212.055(7)(e)4., F.S., providing powers to the clerk of circuit court; and
- amending s. 212.055(f), F.S., providing an optional sales surtax cap of 1.5 percent for counties having a population of fewer than 50,000.

Section 2. Provides an effective date of upon becoming a law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

7.1. 1.100/12 IIII / 10 1 01/11 2 00 12 III III

Revenues:
 None.

2. Expenditures:

Indeterminate at this time. The bill does provide for the bond issuance services of the Division of Bond Finance, Board of Administration. A representative of the Division indicates that the bill will have a minimal impact on the operation of the Division.⁴

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

Indeterminate. If all eligible jurisdictions enact the levy proposed by the changes to subsection (7), the estimated statewide impact would be \$23.8m cash, \$26.0m recurring 2004-05, and \$27.4 m cash and recurring 2005-06.

2. Expenditures:

Unknown.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Counties imposing the surtax authorized in this bill will increase individuals' tax burden by one half cent on sales.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

See above.

STORAGE NAME: DATE: h0297f.ft.doc March 30, 2004

⁴ Tim Tinsley, Division of Bond Finance, Board of Administration, 2/6/04.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not require counties or municipalities to spend funds or to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds. This bill does not reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with counties or municipalities. This bill does not reduce the authority that municipalities have to raise revenue.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

Drafting Issues

There appear to be no technical drafting issues in the bill as amended.

Other Comments

A substantially similar bill, HB 583 (SB 2186) was considered during the 2003 Session. HB 583 was acted upon favorably by the Committees on Local Government & Veterans' Affairs, Health Care, and Finance & Tax. SB 2186 was received In Messages.

Division of Bond Finance, Board of Administration – Although contacted, no impact analysis has yet been provided.

Florida Association of Counties – The Association generally supports the bill as an extension of authority provided to small counties.5

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES

The Committee on Local Government & Veterans' Affairs adopted a strike everything amendment on February 17, 2004. The strike everything amendment changes the population trigger for application of the bill from less than 30,000 residents to less than 50,000 residents.

On March 10, 2004, the Committee on Health Care adopted a strike everything amendment and reported the bill favorably with a committee substitute. HB 297 w/CS amends s. 212.055, F.S., to allow small counties to levy the Indigent Care & Trauma Center Surtax and the Voter-Approved Indigent Care Surtax. Specifically, the bill allows counties with less than 800,000 residents to levy the Indigent Care & Trauma Center Surtax at a rate not to exceed 0.25 percent for the funding of trauma centers licensed pursuant to ch. 395, F.S. The bill also authorizes counties with a population of fewer than 50,000 residents to levy the Voter-Approved Indigent Care surtax of up to 1 percent rather than the 0.5 percent surtax authorized in existing law.

STORAGE NAME: h0297f.ft.doc PAGE: 6

Bob McKee, Governmental Liaison, Florida Association of Counties, 1/26/04.

On March 30, 2004, the Committee on Finance adopted an amendment that removed from the bill the language allowing counties with less than 800,000 residents to levy the Indigent Care & Trauma Center Surtax at a rate not to exceed 0.25 percent for the funding of trauma centers licensed pursuant to ch. 395, F.S.

h0297f.ft.doc PAGE: 7 March 30, 2004