## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #:HB 431SPONSOR(S):Gibson, H.TIED BILLS:HB 433

Complaints Against Law Enforcement Officers

# IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 650

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	
1) Criminal Justice (Sub)		Cole	De La Paz	
2) Public Safety & Crime Prevention				
3)				
4)				
5)				

### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

HB 431 amends current law to make all internal investigations on officers complete the interviews of all identifiable witnesses prior to the officer being interviewed. This allows the officer to have a full and complete accounting of what he or she is being accused of prior to being required to answer questions in an internal investigation. This will also allow agencies to conduct a more thorough investigation prior to interviewing the officer. Nothing in this bill prevents the agency from going back and reinterviewing witnesses after the officer is interviewed or pursuing other witnesses and following up on what the investigators learn during the officer's interview.

There does not appear to be any fiscal impact to this bill.

# FULL ANALYSIS

# I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

## A. DOES THE BILL:

<ol> <li>Reduce government?</li> </ol>	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
2. Lower taxes?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
<ol><li>Expand individual freedom?</li></ol>	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
4. Increase personal responsibility?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]
5. Empower families?	Yes[]	No[]	N/A[X]

For any principle that received a "no" above, please explain:

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Currently, officers who are the subject of internal investigations are allowed access to the complaint against them and copies of witness statements immediately prior to the investigative interview. The officer's legal counsel or other representative are also allowed access to these statements.

HB 431 would require that all identifiable witnesses be interviewed prior to the interview of the accused officer. This change would allow the officer to be made aware of all statements by all identified witnesses before being required to testify in an interview of an internal investigation against him.

#### C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1: Amends S. 112.533 (2)(a) relating to law enforcement officer complaint interviews.

Section 2: Provides an effective date.

# **II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT**

#### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

None

- B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:
  - 1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

None

# C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

There does not appear to be any fiscal impact to this bill.

# **III. COMMENTS**

- A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:
  - 1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision: None
  - 2. Other:

None

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

# IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE CHANGES