CHAMBER ACTION

<u>House</u>

•

Representative Brown offered the following:

Amendment (with directory and title amendments)

Remove line(s) 54-74, and insert:

(a) Any proposed change to a previously approved development which creates a reasonable likelihood of additional regional impact, or any type of regional impact created by the change not previously reviewed by the regional planning agency, shall constitute a substantial deviation and shall cause the development to be subject to further development-of-regional-impact review to the extent of the change. All proposed changes to a previously approved development, whether substantial or not, shall be reviewed by the local government to determine whether the proposed change is consistent with the current local comprehensive plan and land development regulations under the procedures set forth in this subsection. Proposed changes

determined to be inconsistent with the current comprehensive plan or such land development regulations shall be denied.

Unchanged portions of the development of regional impact shall retain the vested rights protected under s. 163.3167(8) unless otherwise provided in the development order. There are a variety of reasons why a developer may wish to propose changes to an approved development of regional impact, including changed market conditions. The procedures set forth in this subsection are for that purpose.

(c) An extension of the date of buildout of a development, or any phase thereof, by 7 or more years shall be presumed to create a substantial deviation subject to further developmentof-regional-impact review. An extension of the date of buildout, or any phase thereof, of 5 years or more but less than 7 years shall be presumed not to create a substantial deviation. The extension of the date of buildout of an areawide development of regional impact by more than 5 years but less than 10 years is presumed not to create a substantial deviation. These presumptions may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence at the public hearing held by the local government. An extension of less than 5 years is not a substantial deviation. For the purpose of calculating when a buildout, phase, or termination date has been exceeded, the time shall be tolled during the pendency of administrative or judicial proceedings relating to development permits. Any extension of the buildout date of a project or a phase thereof shall automatically extend the commencement date of the project, the termination date of the development order, the expiration date of the development of

17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

26

27

2829

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only) regional impact, and the phases thereof by a like period of time.

- (f)1. The state land planning agency shall establish by rule standard forms for submittal of proposed changes to a previously approved development of regional impact which may require further development-of-regional-impact review. At a minimum, the standard form shall require the developer to provide the precise language that the developer proposes to delete or add as an amendment to the development order.
- 2. The developer shall submit, simultaneously, to the local government, the regional planning agency, and the state land planning agency the request for approval of a proposed change.
- 3. No sooner than 30 days but no later than 45 days after submittal by the developer to the local government, the state land planning agency, and the appropriate regional planning agency, the local government shall give 15 days' notice and schedule a public hearing to consider the change that the developer asserts does not create a substantial deviation. This public hearing shall be held within 90 days after submittal of the proposed changes, unless that time is extended by the developer.
- 4. The appropriate regional planning agency or the state land planning agency shall review the proposed change and, no later than 45 days after submittal by the developer of the proposed change, unless that time is extended by the developer, and prior to the public hearing at which the proposed change is to be considered, shall advise the local government in writing

- whether it objects to the proposed change, shall specify the reasons for its objection, if any, and shall provide a copy to the developer.
- 5. At the public hearing, the local government shall determine whether the proposed change requires further development-of-regional-impact review. The provisions of paragraphs (a) and (e), the thresholds set forth in paragraph (b), and the presumptions set forth in paragraphs (c) and (d) and subparagraph (e)3. shall be applicable in determining whether further development-of-regional-impact review is required.
- If the local government determines that the proposed change does not require further development-of-regional-impact review and the proposed change is consistent with the local comprehensive plan and land development regulations and is otherwise approved, or if the proposed change is not subject to a hearing and determination pursuant to subparagraphs 3. and 5. and the proposed change is determined to be consistent with the local comprehensive plan and land development regulations otherwise approved, the local government shall issue an amendment to the development order incorporating the approved change and conditions of approval relating to the change. The decision of the local government to approve, with or without conditions, or to deny a the proposed change that the developer asserts does not require further review shall be a quasijudicial decision reviewable by petition for certiorari to the circuit court or pursuant to s. 163.3215, as appropriate subject to the appeal provisions of s. 380.07. However, the state land

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98 99

planning agency may not appeal the local government decision if it did not comply with subparagraph 4. The state land planning agency may not appeal a change to a development order made pursuant to subparagraph (e)1. or subparagraph (e)2. for developments of regional impact approved after January 1, 1980, unless the change would result in a significant impact to a regionally significant archaeological, historical, or natural resource not previously identified in the original development-of-regional-impact review.

110

113

114

115

117

118

119120

121

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

111 ======= D I R E C T O R Y A M E N D M E N T =========

Remove line(s) 19 and 20, and insert:

Section 1. Paragraph (e) of subsection (2) and paragraphs (a), (c), and (f) of subsection (19) of section 380.06, Florida Statutes, are

116 ========= T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========

Remove line(s) 14 and 15, and insert: governing substantial deviation standards for changes creating additional regional impact, the date of buildout of a development, and proposed changes to previously approved developments of regional impact; providing an effective date.