HB 0783 2004 A bill to be entitled

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

An act relating to custodial interrogations in cases involving capital felonies; creating s. 901.241, F.S., providing purpose and intent to create true, complete, and accurate records of certain custodial interrogations in order to eliminate factual disputes in court as to events alleged to have occurred during such interrogations; providing definitions; describing circumstances in which an oral, written, or sign language statement made by an accused person during a custodial interrogation is presumed inadmissible as evidence against such person; describing circumstances in which the prosecution may rebut such presumption; describing circumstances in which law enforcement officers may have good cause not to electronically record all or part of an interrogation; providing for the admissibility of certain statements of an accused person when made in certain proceedings or when obtained by federal law enforcement officers or law enforcement officers from other states; providing for the preservation of electronic recordings made pursuant to this act; providing for admissibility of certain statements of an accused person; providing an effective date.

24

25 26

WHEREAS, the reputations of countless hard-working law enforcement officers are needlessly attacked by criminal suspects who falsely claim that the officers have violated their constitutional rights, and

28 29

27

WHEREAS, limited trial court resources are squandered in

Page 1 of 6

HB 0783 2004

hearings on motions seeking to suppress statements made by criminal suspects who are given the opportunity to make such claims because no recordings of their interrogations exist, and

WHEREAS, further judicial resources are squandered when criminal suspects, after having been convicted of their crimes, file frivolous and unnecessary appeals, and

WHEREAS, this process is costing the taxpayers of Florida untold dollars each year, dollars which could be better spent enhancing the administration of the criminal justice system, and

WHEREAS, the low cost technology is now available in every jurisdiction, to record each and every custodial interrogation of a criminal suspect, eliminating this gross waste of resources and enhancing the reliability and reputation of law enforcement, NOW, THEREFORE,

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

Section 1. Section 901.241, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

901.241 Custodial interrogations in cases involving capital felonies.--

(1) This section shall apply to custodial interrogations in which the accused person is suspected of involvement in a capital felony.

(2) The purpose of this section is to enhance the quality of prosecution of those who may be guilty while affording protection to the innocent by providing a true, complete, and accurate record of the entire custodial interrogation. This section is intended to eliminate factual disputes in court as to

HB 0783

events alleged to have occurred during a custodial interrogation.

- (3) As used in this section, the term:
- (a) "Accused person" means a person who is suspected of involvement in a capital felony.
- (b) "Custodial interrogation" means questioning of an accused person that is conducted in a law enforcement facility, correctional facility, community correctional center, detention facility, law enforcement vehicle, courthouse, or other secure environment by law enforcement personnel or others acting in concert with, or on behalf of, law enforcement personnel.
- (c) "Electronic recording" means a true, complete, and accurate reproduction of the entire custodial interrogation of an accused person. An electronic recording may be created by motion picture, videotape, audiotape, or digital media.
- (4) An oral, written, or sign language statement made by an accused person during a custodial interrogation shall be presumed inadmissible as evidence against such person in a criminal proceeding unless:
- (a) The interrogation is reproduced in its entirety by means of an electronic recording.
- (b) Prior to the statement, but during the electronic recording, the accused person is given the requisite Miranda warnings and the accused person knowingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waives any rights set out in the warnings.
- (c) The electronic recording device was capable of making a true, complete, and accurate recording of the interrogation, the operator of such device was competent, and the electronic recording has not been altered.

HB 0783 2004

(d) All voices that are material to the custodial interrogation are identified on the electronic recording.

- (e) During discovery pursuant to Rule 3.220, Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure, but in no circumstances later than the 20th day before the date of the proceeding in which the prosecution intends to offer the statement, the attorney representing the defendant is provided with a true, complete, and accurate copy of all electronic recordings of the defendant made pursuant to this section.
- (5)(a) In the absence of a true, complete, and accurate electronic recording, the prosecution may rebut a presumption of inadmissibility through clear and convincing evidence that:
  - 1. The statement was both voluntary and reliable.
- 2. Law enforcement officers had good cause not to electronically record all or part of the interrogation.
- (b) For purposes of paragraph (a), "good cause" includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- 1. The interrogation occurred in a location not identified in paragraph (3)(b) and under exigent circumstances at which the requisite recording equipment was not readily available and there was no reasonable opportunity to move the defendant to a location identified in paragraph (3)(b) or to a location at which the requisite recording equipment was readily available;
- 2. The accused person refused to have the interrogation electronically recorded and such refusal was electronically recorded;
- 3. The failure to electronically record an entire interrogation was the result of equipment failure and obtaining replacement equipment was not feasible; or

HB 0783 2004

4. The statement of the accused person was obtained in the course of electronic eavesdropping which was being conducted pursuant to a properly obtained and issued warrant.

- (6) Notwithstanding any other provision of this act, a written, oral, or sign language statement of the accused person made as a result of a custodial interrogation is admissible in a criminal proceeding against the accused person in this state if:
- (a) The statement was obtained in another state by law enforcement personnel of such state, acting independently of law enforcement personnel of this state, in compliance with the laws of such state.
- (b) The statement was obtained by a federal law enforcement officer in this state or another state during a lawful federal criminal investigation and was obtained in compliance with the laws of the United States.
- interrogation made pursuant to this section must be preserved until such time as the defendant's conviction for any offense relating to the interrogation is final and all direct and habeas corpus appeals are exhausted, or the prosecution of such offenses is barred by law.
- (8) This section does not preclude the admission into evidence of a statement made by the accused person:
- (a) At the person's trial or other hearing held in open court;
  - (b) Before a grand jury;
- 143 <u>(c)</u> That is the res gestae of the arrest or the offense;
- 144 <u>or</u>

(d) That does not arise from a custodial interrogation, as

HB 0783

defined by this section.

Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2004.

Page 6 of 6