Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

	CHAMBER ACTION <u>Senate</u> <u>House</u>
1	1/AD/3R . C 05/04/2005 10:09 PM . 05/05/2005 10:48:20
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
3 4	•
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10 11	Senator Webster moved the following amendment:
12	Senator webster moved the forrowing amendment.
13	Senate Amendment (with title amendment)
14	Delete everything after the enacting clause
15	
16	and insert:
17	Section 1. <u>Short titleThis act may be cited as the</u>
18 19	"Asbestos and Silica Comn Fairness Act".
20	Section 2. <u>PurposeIt is the purpose of this act to:</u> (1) Give priority to true victims of asbestos and
21	silica, claimants who can demonstrate actual physical
22	impairment caused by exposure to asbestos or silica;
23	(2) Fully preserve the rights of claimants who were
24	exposed to asbestos or silica to pursue compensation if they
25	become impaired in the future as a result of the exposure;
26	(3) Enhance the ability of the judicial system to
27	supervise and control asbestos and silica litigation; and
28	(4) Conserve the scarce resources of the defendants to
29 30	allow compensation to cancer victims and others who are physically impaired by exposure to asbestos or silica while
31	securing the right to similar compensation for those who may
	1 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

Barcode 324490

1 suffer physical impairment in the future. 2 Section 3. Definitions.--As used in this act, the 3 term: 4 (1) "AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment" means the American Medical Association's Guides to 5 б the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment. 7 (2) "Asbestos" includes all minerals defined as asbestos' in 29 C.F.R. section 1910, as amended. 8 9 (3) "Asbestos claim" means a claim for damages or other civil or equitable relief presented in a civil action, 10 11 arising out of, based on, or related to the health effects of exposure to asbestos, including loss of consortium, wrongful 12 13 death, and any other derivative claim made by or on behalf of an exposed person or a representative, spouse, parent, child, 14 or other relative of an exposed person. The term does not 15 16 include claims for benefits under a workers' compensation law or veterans' benefits program, or claims brought by a person 17 as a subrogee by virtue of the payment of benefits under a 18 workers' compensation law. 19 20 (4) "Asbestosis" means bilateral diffuse interstitial fibrosis of the lungs caused by inhalation of asbestos fibers. 21 22 (5) "Board-certified in internal medicine" means a physician who is certified by the American Board of Internal 23 24 Medicine or the American Osteopathic Board of Internal Medicine. 25 (6) "Board-certified in occupational medicine" means a 2.6 physician who is certified in the subspecialty of occupational 27 medicine by the American Board of Preventive Medicine or the 28 29 American Osteopathic Board of Preventive Medicine. (7) "Board-certified in oncology" means a physician 30 31 who is certified in the subspecialty of medical oncology by 2 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904e1c-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 1019, 1st Eng.

Barcode 324490

1 the American Board of Internal Medicine or the American Osteopathic Board of Internal Medicine. 2 (8) "Board-certified in pathology" means a physician 3 4 who holds primary certification in anatomic pathology or clinical pathology from the American Board of Pathology or the 5 б American Osteopathic Board of Internal Medicine and whose 7 professional practice: (a) Is principally in the field of pathology; and 8 9 (b) Involves regular evaluation of pathology materials 10 obtained from surgical or postmortem specimens. 11 (9) "Board-certified in pulmonary medicine" means a physician who is certified in the subspecialty of pulmonary 12 medicine by the American Board of Internal Medicine or the 13 American Osteopathic Board of Internal Medicine. 14 15 (10) "Bankruptcy proceeding" means a case brought under Title 11, United State Code, or any related proceeding 16 as provided in section 157 of Title 28, United States Code. 17 (11) "Certified B-reader" means an individual 18 qualified as a "final" or "B-reader" under 42 C.F.R. section 19 20 37.51(b), as amended. 21 (12) "Civil action" means all suits or claims of a 22 civil nature in court, whether cognizable as cases at law or in equity or in admiralty. The term does not include an action 23 2.4 relating to a workers' compensation law, or a proceeding for benefits under a veterans' benefits program. 25 2.6 (13) "Exposed person" means a person whose exposure to 27 asbestos or to asbestos-containing products is the basis for 28 an asbestos claim. 29 (14) "FEV1" means forced expiratory volume in the 30 first second, which is the maximal volume of air expelled in 31 one second during performance of simple spirometric tests. 3 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904e1c-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

Barcode 324490

1 (15) "FVC" means forced vital capacity, which is the maximal volume of air expired with maximum effort from a 2 position of full inspiration. 3 4 (16) "ILO Scale" means the system for the classification of chest x-rays set forth in the International 5 б Labour Office's Guidelines for the Use of ILO International 7 Classification of Radiographs of Pneumoconioses. (17) "Lung cancer" means a malignant tumor in which 8 the primary site of origin of the cancer is inside of the 9 10 lungs, but the term does not include an asbestos claim based 11 upon mesothelioma. (18) "Mesothelioma" means a malignant tumor with a 12 13 primary site in the pleura or the peritoneum, which has been diagnosed by a board-certified pathologist, using standardized 14 15 and accepted criteria of microscopic morphology or appropriate 16 staining techniques. (19) "Nonmalignant condition" means any condition that 17 can be caused by asbestos or silica other than a diagnosed 18 19 cancer. 20 (20) "Nonsmoker" means the exposed person has not 21 smoked cigarettes or used other tobacco products on a 22 consistent and frequent basis within the last 15 years. (21) "Pathological evidence of asbestosis" means a 23 24 statement by a board-certified pathologist that more than one representative section of lung tissue uninvolved with any 25 other disease process demonstrates a pattern of 2.6 27 peribronchiolar or parenchymal scarring in the presence of characteristic asbestos bodies and that there is no other more 28 29 likely explanation for the presence of the fibrosis. (22) "Predicted lower limit of normal" for any test 30 31 means the fifth percentile of healthy populations based on 4 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904e1c-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 1019, 1st Eng.

Barcode 324490

1 age, height, and gender, as referenced in the AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment. 2 (23) "Qualified physician" means a medical doctor, 3 4 who: 5 (a) Is a board-certified pathologist licensed to б practice and actively practices in this country who performed 7 services requested or authorized by a physician who: 1. Has conducted a physical examination of the exposed 8 9 person or, if the person is deceased, has reviewed all 10 available records relating to the exposed person's medical 11 condition; 2. Is actually treating or treated the exposed person, 12 13 and has or had a doctor-patient relationship with the person; 14 and 15 3. Is licensed to practice and actively practices in 16 this country; or (b) Is a board-certified oncologist, pulmonary 17 specialist, or specialist in occupational and environmental 18 19 medicine who: 20 1. Has conducted a physical examination of the exposed person or, if the person is deceased, has reviewed all 21 22 available records relating to the exposed person's medical 23 condition; 2.4 2. Is actually treating or treated the exposed person, and has or had a doctor-patient relationship with the person; 25 26 and 27 3. Is licensed to practice and actively practices in 28 this country. 29 (24) "Radiological evidence of asbestosis" means a quality 1 chest x-ray under the ILO System of classification 30 31 (in a death case where no pathology is available, the 5 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904e1c-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	necessary radiologic findings may be made with a quality 2
2	film if a quality 1 film is not available) showing small,
3	irregular opacities (s, t, u) graded by a certified B-reader
4	as at least 1/1 on the ILO scale.
5	(25) "Radiological evidence of diffuse pleural
6	thickening" means a quality 1 chest x-ray under the ILO System
7	of classification (in a death case where no pathology is
8	available, the necessary radiologic findings may be made with
9	a quality 2 film if a quality 1 film is not available) showing
10	bilateral pleural thickening of at least B2 on the ILO scale
11	and blunting of at least one costophrenic angle.
12	(26) "Silica" means a respirable crystalline form of
13	silicon dioxide, including, but not limited to, alpha, quartz,
14	cristobalite, and trydmite.
15	(27) "Silica claim" means a claim for damages or other
16	civil or equitable relief presented in a civil action, arising
17	out of, based on, or related to the health effects of exposure
18	to silica, including loss of consortium, wrongful death, and
19	any other derivative claim made by or on behalf of an exposed
20	person or a representative, spouse, parent, child, or other
21	relative of an exposed person. The term does not include
22	claims for benefits under a workers' compensation law or
23	veterans' benefits program, or claims brought by a person as a
24	subrogee by virtue of the payment of benefits under a workers'
25	compensation law.
26	(28) "Silicosis" means nodular interstitial fibrosis
27	of the lungs caused by inhalation of silica.
28	(29) "Smoker" means a person who has smoked cigarettes
29	or used other tobacco products on a consistent and frequent
30	basis within the last 15 years.
31	(30) "Substantial occupational exposure" means
	6 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	employment for an extended period of time in industries and
2	occupations in which, for a substantial portion of a normal
3	work year for that occupation, the exposed person did any of
4	the following:
5	(a) Handled raw asbestos fibers;
6	(b) Fabricated asbestos-containing products so that
7	the person was exposed to raw asbestos fibers in the
8	fabrication process;
9	(c) Altered, repaired, or otherwise worked with an
10	asbestos-containing product in a manner that exposed the
11	person on a regular basis to asbestos fibers; or
12	(d) Worked in close proximity to other persons engaged
13	in any of the activities described in paragraphs (a)-(c) in a
14	manner that exposed the person on a regular basis to asbestos
15	fibers.
16	(31) "Veterans benefits program" means a program for
17	benefits in connection with military service administered by
18	the Veterans' Administration under Title 38, United States
19	Code.
20	(32) "Workers' compensation law" means a law
21	respecting a program administered by this state or the United
22	States to provide benefits, funded by a responsible employer
23	or its insurance carrier, for occupational diseases or
24	injuries or for disability or death caused by occupational
25	diseases or injuries. The term includes the Longshore and
26	Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, 33 U.S.C. sections 901-944,
27	948-950, and the Federal Employees Compensation Act, chapter
28	81 of Title 5, United States Code, but does not include the
29	Act of April 22, 1908, the Federal Employers Liability Act, 45
30	<u>U.S.C. 51 et seq.</u>
31	Section 4. <u>Physical impairment</u> 7
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-segl-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	(1) Physical impairment of the exposed person, to
2	which asbestos or silica exposure was a substantial
3	contributing factor, is an essential element of an asbestos or
4	silica claim.
5	(2) A person may not file or maintain a civil action
6	alleging a nonmalignant asbestos claim in the absence of a
7	prima facie showing of physical impairment as a result of a
8	medical condition to which exposure to asbestos was a
9	substantial contributing factor. The prima facie showing must
10	include all of the following requirements:
11	(a) Evidence verifying that a qualified physician, or
12	someone working under the direct supervision and control of a
13	qualified physician, has taken a detailed occupational and
14	exposure history of the exposed person or, if the person is
15	deceased, from a person who is knowledgeable about the
16	exposures that form the basis of the nonmalignant asbestos
17	<u>claim, including:</u>
18	1. Identification of all of the exposed person's
19	principal places of employment and exposures to airborne
20	contaminants; and
21	2. Whether each place of employment involved exposures
22	to airborne contaminants, including but not limited to
23	
0.4	asbestos fibers or other disease causing dusts, that can cause
24	asbestos fibers or other disease causing dusts, that can cause pulmonary impairment and the nature, duration and level of any
24 25	
	pulmonary impairment and the nature, duration and level of any
25	pulmonary impairment and the nature, duration and level of any such exposure.
25 26	pulmonary impairment and the nature, duration and level of any such exposure. (b) Evidence verifying that a qualified physician, or
25 26 27	<pre>pulmonary impairment and the nature, duration and level of any such exposure. (b) Evidence verifying that a qualified physician, or someone working under the direct supervision and control of a</pre>
25 26 27 28	<pre>pulmonary impairment and the nature, duration and level of any such exposure. (b) Evidence verifying that a qualified physician, or someone working under the direct supervision and control of a qualified physician, has taken detailed medical and smoking</pre>
25 26 27 28 29	<pre>pulmonary impairment and the nature, duration and level of any such exposure. (b) Evidence verifying that a qualified physician, or someone working under the direct supervision and control of a qualified physician, has taken detailed medical and smoking history, including a thorough review of the exposed person's</pre>

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	(c) Evidence sufficient to demonstrate that at least
2	10 years have elapsed between the date of first exposure to
3	asbestos and the date the diagnosis is made.
4	(d) A determination by a qualified physician, on the
5	basis of a medical examination and pulmonary function testing,
6	that the exposed person has a permanent respiratory impairment
7	rating of at least Class 2 as defined by and evaluated
8	pursuant to the AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent
9	Impairment.
10	(e) A diagnosis by a qualified physician of asbestosis
11	or diffuse pleural thickening, based at a minimum on
12	radiological or pathological evidence of asbestosis or
13	radiological evidence of diffuse pleural thickening.
14	(f) A determination by a qualified physician that
15	asbestosis or diffuse pleural thickening, rather than chronic
16	obstructive pulmonary disease, is a substantial contributing
17	factor to the exposed person's physical impairment, based at a
18	minimum on a determination that the exposed person has:
19	1. Total lung capacity, by plethysmography or timed
20	gas dilution, below the predicted lower limit of normal;
21	2. Forced vital capacity below the lower limit of
22	normal and a ratio of FEV1 to FVC that is equal to or greater
23	than the predicted lower limit of normal; or
24	3. A chest x-ray showing small, irregular opacities
25	(s, t, u) graded by a certified B-reader at least 2/1 on the
26	ILO scale.
27	(g) If the exposed person meets the requirements of
28	paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), and if a qualified physician
29	determines that the exposed person has a physical impairment,
30	as demonstrated by meeting the criteria set forth in
31	paragraphs (d) and (f)1. or 2., but the exposed person's chest 9
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	x-ray does not demonstrate radiological evidence of
2	asbestosis, the exposed person may meet the criteria of
3	paragraph (e) if his or her chest x-ray is graded by a
4	certified B-reader as at least 1/0 and a qualified physician,
5	relying on high-resolution computed tomography, determines to
б	a reasonable degree of medical certainty that the exposed
7	person has asbestosis and forms the conclusion set forth in
8	paragraph (h).
9	(h) A conclusion by a qualified physician that the
10	exposed person's medical findings and impairment were not more
11	probably the result of causes other than the asbestos exposure
12	revealed by the exposed person's employment and medical
13	history. A diagnosis that states that the medical findings and
14	impairment are "consistent with" or "compatible with" exposure
15	to asbestos does not meet the requirements of this subsection.
16	(i) If a plaintiff files a civil action alleging a
17	nonmalignant asbestos claim, and that plaintiff alleges that
18	his or her exposure to asbestos was the result of extended
19	contact with another exposed person who, if the civil action
20	had been filed by the other exposed person, would have met the
21	requirements of paragraph (a) and the plaintiff alleges that
22	he or she had extended contact with the exposed person during
23	the time period in which that exposed person met the
24	requirements of paragraph (a), the plaintiff has satisfied the
25	requirements of paragraph (a). The plaintiff in such a civil
26	action must individually satisfy the requirements of
27	paragraphs (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), and (h).
28	(3) A person who is a smoker may not file or maintain
29	a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based upon
30	cancer of the lung, larynx, pharynx, or esophagus in the
31	absence of a prima facie showing that includes all of the 10
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

Barcode 324490

1 following requirements: (a) A diagnosis by a gualified physician who is 2 board-certified in pathology, pulmonary medicine, or oncology, 3 4 as appropriate for the type of cancer claimed, of a primary cancer of the lung, larynx, pharynx, or esophagus, and that 5 б exposure to asbestos was a substantial contributing factor to 7 the condition. (b) Evidence sufficient to demonstrate that at least 8 9 10 years have elapsed between the date of first exposure to asbestos and the date of diagnosis of the cancer. 10 11 (c) Radiological or pathological evidence of asbestosis or diffuse pleural thickening or a qualified 12 13 physician's diagnosis of asbestosis based on a chest x-ray graded by a certified B-reader as at least 1/0 on the ILO 14 15 scale and high-resolution computed tomography supporting the 16 diagnosis of asbestosis to a reasonable degree of medical 17 certainty. (d) Evidence of the exposed person's substantial 18 19 occupational exposure to asbestos. If a plaintiff files a civil action alleging an asbestos-related claim based on 20 21 cancer of the lung, larynx, pharynx, or esophagus, and that 22 plaintiff alleges that his or her exposure to asbestos was the result of extended contact with another exposed person who, if 23 24 the civil action had been filed by the other exposed person, would have met the substantial occupational exposure 25 requirement of this subsection, and the plaintiff alleges that 2.6 27 he or she had extended contact with the exposed person during the time period in which that exposed person met the 28 29 substantial occupational exposure requirement of this 30 subsection, the plaintiff has satisfied the requirements of 31 this paragraph. The plaintiff in such a civil action must 11 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904e1c-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	individually satisfy the requirements of this subsection.
2	(e) If the exposed person is deceased, the qualified
3	physician, or someone working under the direct supervision and
4	control of a qualified physician, may obtain the evidence
5	required in paragraph (b) and paragraph (d) from the person
6	most knowledgeable about the alleged exposures that form the
7	basis of the asbestos claim.
8	(f) A conclusion by a qualified physician that the
9	exposed person's medical findings and impairment were not more
10	probably the result of causes other than the asbestos exposure
11	revealed by the exposed person's employment and medical
12	history. A conclusion that the medical findings and impairment
13	are "consistent with" or "compatible with" exposure to
14	asbestos does not meet the requirements of this subsection.
15	(4) In a civil action alleging an asbestos claim by a
16	nonsmoker based on cancer of the lung, larynx, pharynx, or
17	esophagus, a prima facie showing of an impairment due to
18	asbestos exposure is not required.
18 19	<u>asbestos exposure is not required.</u> (5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action
19	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action
19 20	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the
19 20 21	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach in the absence of a prima facie
19 20 21 22	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach in the absence of a prima facie showing that includes all of the following requirements:
19 20 21 22 23	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach in the absence of a prima facie showing that includes all of the following requirements: (a) A diagnosis by a qualified physician who is
19 20 21 22 23 24	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach in the absence of a prima facie showing that includes all of the following requirements: (a) A diagnosis by a qualified physician who is board-certified in pathology, pulmonary medicine, or oncology,
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach in the absence of a prima facie showing that includes all of the following requirements: (a) A diagnosis by a qualified physician who is board-certified in pathology, pulmonary medicine, or oncology, as appropriate for the type of cancer claimed, of cancer of
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach in the absence of a prima facie showing that includes all of the following requirements: (a) A diagnosis by a qualified physician who is board-certified in pathology, pulmonary medicine, or oncology, as appropriate for the type of cancer claimed, of cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach, and that exposure to asbestos
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach in the absence of a prima facie showing that includes all of the following requirements: (a) A diagnosis by a qualified physician who is board-certified in pathology, pulmonary medicine, or oncology, as appropriate for the type of cancer claimed, of cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach, and that exposure to asbestos was a substantial contributing factor to the condition.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach in the absence of a prima facie showing that includes all of the following requirements: (a) A diagnosis by a qualified physician who is board-certified in pathology, pulmonary medicine, or oncology, as appropriate for the type of cancer claimed, of cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach, and that exposure to asbestos was a substantial contributing factor to the condition. (b) Evidence sufficient to demonstrate that at least
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach in the absence of a prima facie showing that includes all of the following requirements: (a) A diagnosis by a qualified physician who is board-certified in pathology, pulmonary medicine, or oncology, as appropriate for the type of cancer claimed, of cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach, and that exposure to asbestos was a substantial contributing factor to the condition. (b) Evidence sufficient to demonstrate that at least 10 years have elapsed between the date of first exposure to

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	asbestosis or diffuse pleural thickening or a qualified
2	physician's diagnosis of asbestosis based on a chest x-ray
3	graded by a certified B-reader as at least 1/0 on the ILO
4	scale and high-resolution computed tomography supporting the
5	diagnosis of asbestosis to a reasonable degree of medical
б	<u>certainty; or</u>
7	b. Evidence of the exposed person's substantial
8	occupational exposure to asbestos. If a plaintiff files a
9	civil action alleging an asbestos-related claim based on
10	cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach, and that plaintiff
11	alleges that his or her exposure to asbestos was the result of
12	extended contact with another exposed person who, if the civil
13	action had been filed by the other exposed person, would have
14	met the substantial occupational exposure requirement of this
15	subsection, and the plaintiff alleges that he or she had
16	extended contact with the exposed person during the time
17	period in which that exposed person met the substantial
18	occupational exposure requirement of this subsection, the
19	plaintiff has satisfied the requirements of this
20	sub-subparagraph. The plaintiff in such a civil action must
21	individually satisfy the requirements of this subsection.
22	2. In the case of an exposed person who is a smoker,
23	the criteria in sub-subparagraphs 1.a. and b. must be met.
24	3. If the exposed person is deceased, the qualified
25	physician, or someone working under the direct supervision and
26	control of a qualified physician, may obtain the evidence
27	required in sub-subparagraph 1.b. and paragraph (b) from the
28	person most knowledgeable about the alleged exposures that
29	form the basis of the asbestos claim.
30	(d) A conclusion by a qualified physician that the
31	exposed person's medical findings and impairment were not more
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-segl-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	probably the result of causes other than the asbestos exposure
2	revealed by the exposed person's employment and medical
3	history. A conclusion that the medical findings and impairment
4	are "consistent with" or "compatible with" exposure to
5	asbestos does not meet the requirements of this subsection.
б	(6) In a civil action alleging an asbestos claim based
7	upon mesothelioma a prima facie showing of an impairment due
8	to asbestos exposure is not required.
9	(7) A person may not file or maintain a civil action
10	alleging a silicosis claim in the absence of a prima facie
11	showing of physical impairment as a result of a medical
12	condition to which exposure to silica was a substantial
13	contributing factor. The prima facie showing must include all
14	of the following requirements:
15	(a) Evidence verifying that a qualified physician, or
16	someone working under the direct supervision and control of a
17	qualified physician, has taken a detailed occupational and
18	exposure history of the exposed person or, if the person is
19	deceased, from a person who is knowledgeable about the
20	exposures that form the basis of the nonmalignant silica
21	claim, including:
22	1. All of the exposed person's principal places of
23	employment and exposures to airborne contaminants; and
24	2. Whether each place of employment involved exposures
25	to airborne contaminants, including but not limited to silica
26	particles or other disease causing dusts, that can cause
27	pulmonary impairment and the nature, duration, and level of
28	any such exposure.
29	(b) Evidence verifying that a qualified physician, or
30	someone working under the direct supervision and control of a
31	gualified physician, has taken detailed medical and smoking 14
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	history, including a thorough review of the exposed person's
2	past and present medical problems and their most probable
3	cause, and verifying a sufficient latency period for the
4	applicable stage of silicosis.
5	(c) A determination by a qualified physician, on the
6	basis of a medical examination and pulmonary function testing,
7	that the exposed person has a permanent respiratory impairment
8	rating of at least Class 2 as defined by and evaluated
9	pursuant to the AMA Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent
10	Impairment.
11	(d) A determination by a qualified physician that the
12	exposed person has:
13	1. A quality 1 chest x-ray under the ILO System of
14	classification and that the x-ray has been read by a certified
15	B-reader as showing, according to the ILO System of
16	<u>classification, bilateral nodular opacities (p, q, or r)</u>
17	occurring primarily in the upper lung fields, graded 1/1 or
18	higher; or
19	2. Pathological demonstration of classic silicotic
20	nodules exceeding one centimeter in diameter as published in
21	112 Archive of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine 7 (July
22	<u>1988).</u>
23	
24	In a death case where no pathology is available, the necessary
25	radiologic findings may be made with a quality 2 film if a
26	<u>quality 1 film is not available.</u>
27	(e) A conclusion by a qualified physician that the
28	exposed person's medical findings and impairment were not more
29	probably the result of causes other than silica exposure
30	revealed by the exposed person's employment and medical
31	history. A conclusion that the medical findings and impairment
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 1019, 1st Eng.

Barcode 324490

1 are "consistent with" or "compatible with" exposure to silica does not meet the requirements of this subsection. 2 (8) A person may not file or maintain a civil action 3 4 alleging a silica claim other than as provided in subsection 7), in the absence of a prima facie showing that includes all 5 б of the following requirements: 7 (a) A report by a qualified physician who is: 1. Board-certified in pulmonary medicine, internal 8 medicine, oncology, or pathology stating a diagnosis of the 9 exposed person of silica-related lung cancer and stating that, 10 11 to a reasonable degree of medical probability, exposure to silica was a substantial contributing factor to the diagnosed 12 13 lung cancer; or 2. Board-certified in pulmonary medicine, internal 14 15 medicine, or pathology stating a diagnosis of the exposed 16 person of silica-related progressive massive fibrosis or acute silicoproteinosis, or silicosis complicated by documented 17 tuberculosis. 18 19 (b) Evidence verifying that a gualified physician, or someone working under the direct supervision and control of a 20 qualified physician, has taken a detailed occupational and 21 22 exposure history of the exposed person or, if the person is deceased, from a person who is knowledgeable about the 23 2.4 exposures that form the basis of the nonmalignant silica 25 claim, including: 1. All of the exposed person's principal places of 2.6 employment and exposures to airborne contaminants; and 27 2. Whether each place of employment involved exposures 28 29 to airborne contaminants, including but not limited to, silica particles or other disease causing dusts, that can cause 30 31 pulmonary impairment and the nature, duration and level of any 16 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904e1c-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

```
Barcode 324490
```

1	such exposure.
2	(c) Evidence verifying that a qualified physician, or
3	someone working under the direct supervision and control of a
4	qualified physician, has taken detailed medical and smoking
5	history, including a thorough review of the exposed person's
б	past and present medical problems and their most probable
7	cause;
8	(d) A determination by a qualified physician that the
9	exposed person has:
10	1. A quality 1 chest x-ray under the ILO System of
11	classification and that the x-ray has been read by a certified
12	B-reader as showing, according to the ILO System of
13	<u>classification, bilateral nodular opacities (p, q, or r)</u>
14	occurring primarily in the upper lung fields, graded 1/1 or
15	<u>higher; or</u>
16	2. Pathological demonstration of classic silicotic
17	nodules exceeding one centimeter in diameter as published in
18	112 Archive of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine 7 (July
19	<u>1988).</u>
20	
21	In a death case where no pathology is available, the necessary
22	radiologic findings may be made with a quality 2 film if a
23	<u>quality 1 film is not available.</u>
24	(e) A conclusion by a qualified physician that the
25	exposed person's medical findings and impairment were not more
26	probably the result of causes other than silica exposure
27	revealed by the exposed person's employment and medical
28	history. A conclusion that the medical findings and impairment
29	are "consistent with" or "compatible with" exposure to silica
30	does not meet the requirements of this subsection.
31	<u>(9) Evidence relating to physical impairment under</u> 17
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-segl-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

Barcode 324490

1 this section, including pulmonary function testing and 2 diffusing studies, must: (a) Comply with the technical recommendations for 3 4 examinations, testing procedures, quality assurance, quality control, and equipment of the AMA Guides to the Evaluation of 5 б Permanent Impairment, as set forth in 2d C.F.R. Part 404, 7 subpart. P. Appl., part A, section 3.00 E. and F., and the interpretive standards, set forth in the official statement of 8 the American Thoracic Society entitled "lung function testing: 9 selection of reference values and interpretive strategies " as 10 11 published in American Review of Respiratory Disease. 1991: 144:1202-1218; 12 (b) Not be obtained through testing or examinations 13 that violate any applicable law, regulation, licensing 14 15 requirement, or medical code of practice; and 16 (c) Not be obtained under the condition that the exposed person retain legal services in exchange for the 17 examination, test, or screening. 18 19 (10) Presentation of prima facie evidence meeting the requirements of subsection (2), (3), (5), or (6) of this 20 21 section may not: 22 (a) Result in any presumption at trial that the exposed person is impaired by an asbestos-related or 23 24 silica-related condition; (b) Be conclusive as to the liability of any 25 defendant; and 2.6 (c) Be admissible at trial. 27 Section 5. Claimant proceedings .--28 29 (1) A civil action alleging an asbestos or silica claim may be brought in the courts of this state if the 30 31 plaintiff is domiciled in this state or the exposure to 18 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904e1c-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	asbestos or silica that is a substantial contributing factor
2	to the physical impairment of the plaintiff on which the claim
3	is based occurred in this state.
4	(2) A plaintiff in a civil action alleging an asbestos
5	or silica claim must include with the complaint or other
б	initial pleading a written report and supporting test results
7	constituting prima facie evidence of the exposed person's
8	asbestos-related or silica-related physical impairment meeting
9	the requirements of subsection (2), subsection (3), subsection
10	(5), or subsection (6) of section 4. For any asbestos or
11	silica claim pending on the effective date of this act, the
12	plaintiff must file the report and supporting test results at
13	least 30 days before setting a date for trial. The defendant
14	must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to challenge the
15	adequacy of the proffered prima facie evidence of
16	asbestos-related impairment. The claim of the plaintiff shall
17	be dismissed without prejudice upon a finding of failure to
18	make the required prima facie showing.
19	(3) All asbestos claims and silica claims filed in
20	this state on or after the effective date of this act must
21	include, in addition to the written report described in
22	subsection (3) of section 5 and the information required by
23	subsection (2) of section 7, a sworn information form
24	containing the following information:
25	(a) The claimant's name, address, date of birth, and
26	<u>marital status;</u>
27	(b) If the claimant alleges exposure to asbestos or
28	silica through the testimony of another person or alleges
29	other than direct or bystander exposure to a product, the
30	name, address, date of birth, marital status, for each person
31	by which the claimant alleges exposure, hereinafter the "index 19
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	person," and the claimant's relationship to each such person;
2	(c) The specific location of each alleged exposure;
3	(d) The beginning and ending dates of each alleged
4	exposure as to each asbestos product or silica product for
5	each location at which exposure allegedly took place for the
б	plaintiff and each index person;
7	(e) The occupation and name of the employer of the
8	exposed person at the time of each alleged exposure;
9	(f) The specific condition related to asbestos or
10	silica claimed to exist; and
11	(g) Any supporting documentation of the condition
12	claimed to exist.
13	Section 6. Statute of limitations; two-disease rule
14	(1) Notwithstanding any other law, with respect to any
15	asbestos or silica claim not barred as of the effective date
16	of this act, the limitations period does not begin to run
17	until the exposed person discovers, or through the exercise of
18	reasonable diligence should have discovered, that he or she is
19	physically impaired by an asbestos-related or silica-related
20	condition.
21	(2) An asbestos or silica claim arising out of a
22	nonmalignant condition shall be a distinct cause of action
23	from an asbestos or silica claim relating to the same exposed
24	person arising out of asbestos-related or silica-related
25	cancer. Damages may not be awarded for fear or risk of cancer
26	<u>in a civil action asserting an asbestos or silica claim.</u>
27	(3) A settlement of a nonmalignant asbestos or silica
28	claim concluded after the effective date of this act may not
29	require, as a condition of settlement, the release of any
30	future claim for asbestos-related or silica-related cancer.
31	Section 7. <u>Scope of liability; damages</u>
	20 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	(1) Punitive damages may not be awarded in any civil
2	action alleging an asbestos or silica claim.
3	(2) At the time a complaint is filed in a civil action
4	alleging an asbestos or silica claim, the plaintiff must file
5	a verified written report with the court which discloses the
б	total amount of any collateral source payments received,
7	including payments that the plaintiff will receive in the
8	future, as a result of settlements or judgments based upon the
9	same claim. For any asbestos or silica claim pending on the
10	effective date of this act, the plaintiff shall file a
11	verified written report within 60 days after the effective
12	date of this act, or at least 30 days before trial. Further,
13	the plaintiff must update the reports on a regular basis
14	during the course of the proceeding until a final judgment is
15	entered in the case. The court shall permit setoff, based on
16	the collateral source payment information provided, in
17	accordance with the laws of this state as of the effective
18	date of this act.
19	Section 8. Liability rules applicable to protect
20	sellers, renters, and lessors
21	(1)(a) In a civil action alleging an asbestos or
22	silica claim, a product seller other than a manufacturer is
23	liable to a plaintiff only if the plaintiff establishes that:
24	1.a. The product that allegedly caused the harm that
25	is the subject of the complaint was sold, rented, or leased by
26	the product seller;
27	b. The product seller failed to exercise reasonable
28	care with respect to the product; and
29	<u>c. The failure to exercise reasonable care was a</u>
30	proximate cause of the harm to the exposed person;
31	2.a. The product seller made an express warranty
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

Barcode 324490

1 applicable to the product that allegedly caused the harm that is the subject of the complaint, independent of any express 2 warranty made by the manufacturer as to the same product; 3 4 b. The product failed to conform to the warranty; and c. The failure of the product to conform to the 5 б warranty caused the harm to the exposed person; or 7 3.a. The product seller engaged in intentional wrongdoing, as determined under the law of this state; and 8 9 b. The intentional wrongdoing caused the harm that is the subject of the complaint. 10 (b) For the purpose of sub-subparagraph 1.b., a 11 product seller may not be considered to have failed to 12 exercise reasonable care with respect to a product based upon 13 an alleged failure to inspect the product, if: 14 15 1. The failure occurred because there was no reasonable opportunity to inspect the product; or 16 2. The inspection, in the exercise of reasonable care, 17 would not have revealed the aspect of the product which 18 allegedly caused the exposed person's impairment. 19 20 (2) In a civil action alleging an asbestos or silica 21 claim, a person engaged in the business of renting or leasing 22 a product is not liable for the tortious act of another solely by reason of ownership of that product. 23 2.4 Section 9. Miscellaneous provisions .--(1) Th<u>is act does not affect the scope or operation of</u> 25 any workers' compensation law or veterans' benefit program, 2.6 affect the exclusive remedy or subrogation provisions of the 27 law, or authorize any lawsuit which is barred by law. 28 (2) Nothing in this act is intended to, and nothing in 29 this act shall be interpreted to: 30 31 (a) Affect the rights of any party in bankruptcy 22 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904e1c-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

```
Barcode 324490
```

1	proceedings; or
2	(b) Affect the ability of any person who is able to
3	make a showing that the person satisfies the claim criteria
4	for compensable claims or demands under a trust established
5	under a plan of reorganization under Chapter 11 of the United
6	States Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. Chapter 11, to make a claim
7	or demand against that trust.
8	(3) It is the intent of the Legislature that this law
9	render the utmost comity and respect to the constitutional
10	prerogatives of the judiciary of this state, and nothing in
11	this act should be construed as any effort to impinge upon
12	those prerogatives. To that end, if the Florida Supreme Court
13	enters a final judgment concluding or declaring that any
14	provision of this act improperly encroaches on the authority
15	of the court to adopt the rules of practice and procedure in
16	the courts of this state, the Legislature intends that any
17	such provision be construed as a request for a rule change
18	under Section 2, Article V, of the State Constitution and not
19	as a mandatory legislative directive.
20	(4) This act may not be interpreted to prevent any
21	person from bringing or maintaining an asbestos claim based on
22	nonoccupational exposure where such person would be otherwise
23	able to bring or maintain a claim under this act.
24	(5) If any provision of this act or the application
25	thereof to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the
26	invalidity does not affect other provisions or application of
27	the act which can be given effect without the invalid
28	provision or application, and to this end the provisions of
29	this act are declared severable.
30	Section 10. This act shall take effect July 1, 2005.
31	Because the act expressly preserves the right of all injured 23
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	persons to recover full compensatory damages for their loss,
2	it does not impair vested rights. In addition, because it
3	enhances the ability of the most seriously ill to receive a
4	prompt recovery, it is remedial in nature. Therefore, the act
5	shall apply to any civil action asserting an asbestos claim in
6	which trial has not commenced as of the effective date of this
7	act.
8	
9	
10	======== TITLE AMENDMENT ===========
11	And the title is amended as follows:
12	Delete everything before the enacting clause
13	
14	and insert:
15	A bill to be entitled
16	An act relating to asbestos and silica claims;
17	providing a short title; providing purposes;
18	providing definitions; requiring physical
19	impairment as an essential element of a claim;
20	providing criteria for prima facie evidence of
21	physical impairment for claims and certain
22	actions; providing exceptions; providing
23	additional requirements for evidence relating
24	to physical impairment; specifying absence of
25	certain presumptions at trial; providing
26	procedures for claims and certain actions;
27	providing for venue; providing for preliminary
28	proceedings; requiring asbestos and silica
29	claims to include certain information;
30	specifying certain limitation periods for
31	certain claims; specifying distinct causes of 24
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	action for certain conditions; limiting damages
2	under certain circumstances; prohibiting a
3	general release from liability; prohibiting
4	award of punitive damages; providing for
5	collateral source payments; specifying
6	liability rules applicable to certain persons;
7	providing for construction; providing
8	severability; providing application to certain
9	civil actions; providing an effective date.
10	
11	WHEREAS, asbestos is a mineral that was widely used
12	before the mid 1970's for insulation, fireproofing, and other
13	purposes, and
14	WHEREAS, millions of American workers and others were
15	exposed to asbestos, especially during and after World War II
16	and before the advent of regulation by the Occupational Safety
17	and Health Administration in the early 1970's, and
18	WHEREAS, long-term exposure to asbestos has been
19	associated with various types of cancer, including
20	mesothelioma and lung cancer, as well as such nonmalignant
21	conditions as asbestosis, pleural plaques, and diffuse pleural
22	thickening, and
23	WHEREAS, the diseases caused by asbestos often have
24	long latency periods, and
25	WHEREAS, although the use of asbestos has dramatically
26	declined since the 1970's and workplace exposures have been
27	regulated since 1971 by the Occupational Safety and Health
28	Administration, past exposures will continue to result in
29	significant claims of death and disability as a result of such
30	exposure, and
31	WHEREAS, exposure to asbestos has created a flood of 25
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	litigation in state and federal courts that the United States
2	Supreme Court in Ortiz v. Fibreboard Corporation, 119 S. Ct.
3	2295, 2302 (1999), has characterized as an "elephantine mass"
4	of cases that "defies customary judicial administration," and
5	WHEREAS, asbestos personal injury litigation can be
6	unfair and inefficient, imposing a severe burden on litigants
7	and taxpayers alike, and
8	WHEREAS, the inefficiencies and societal costs of
9	asbestos litigation have been well documented in reports such
10	as the RAND Institutes study on Asbestos Litigation Costs and
11	Compensation, the study of Joseph E. Stiglitz on The Impact of
12	Asbestos Liabilities on Workers in Bankrupt Firms, Dr. Joseph
13	Gitlin's report from Johns Hopkins Medical School on
14	Comparison of B Readers' Interpretations of Chest Radiographs
15	for Asbestos Related Changes, and the Report to the House of
16	Delegates from the American Bar Association Commission on
17	Asbestos Litigation, and
18	WHEREAS, the extraordinary volume of nonmalignant
19	asbestos cases continues to strain state courts, and
20	WHEREAS, the vast majority of asbestos claims are filed
21	by individuals who allege they have been exposed to asbestos
22	and who may have some physical sign of exposure but who suffer
23	no present asbestos-related impairment, and
24	WHEREAS, the cost of compensating exposed individuals
25	who are not sick jeopardizes the ability of defendants to
26	compensate people with cancer and other serious
27	asbestos-related diseases, now and in the future, and
28	WHEREAS, the cost of compensating exposed individuals
29	who are not sick threatens the savings, retirement benefits,
30	and jobs of defendants' current and retired employees and
31	adversely affects the communities in which these defendants 26
	8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

Barcode 324490

1 operate, and WHEREAS, the crush of asbestos litigation has been 2 costly to employers, employees, litigants, and the court 3 4 system, and WHEREAS, in 1982, the Johns-Manville Corporation, the 5 nation's largest single supplier of insulation products 6 7 containing asbestos, declared bankruptcy due to the burden of the asbestos litigation, and 8 9 WHEREAS, since 1982, more than 70 other companies have 10 declared bankruptcy due to the burden of asbestos litigation, 11 and WHEREAS, estimates show that between 60,000 and 128,000 12 American workers already have lost their jobs as a result of 13 asbestos-related bankruptcies and that the total number of 14 15 jobs that will be lost due to asbestos-related bankruptcies 16 will eventually reach 432,000, and WHEREAS, each worker who loses his or her job due to an 17 asbestos-related bankruptcy loses between \$25,000 and \$50,000 18 19 in wages over his or her career and loses 25 percent or more 20 of the value of his or her retirement plan, and 21 WHEREAS, asbestos litigation is estimated to have cost 22 over \$54 billion, with well over half of this expense going to attorney's fees and other litigation costs, and 23 2.4 WHEREAS, the seriously ill too often find that the value of their recovery is substantially reduced due to 25 defendant bankruptcies and the inefficiency of the litigation 26 process, and 27 WHEREAS, silica is a naturally occurring mineral, and 28 29 WHEREAS, the Earth's crust is over 90 percent silica, 30 and crystalline silica dust is the primary component of sand, 31 quartz, and granite, and 27 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904e1c-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 1019, 1st Eng.

Barcode 324490

1 WHEREAS, silica-related illness, including silicosis, can occur when tiny silica particles are inhaled, and 2 WHEREAS, silicosis was recognized as an occupational 3 4 disease many years ago, and WHEREAS, the American Foundrymen's Society has 5 б distributed literature for more than 100 years to its members 7 warning of the dangers of silica exposure, and WHEREAS, the number of new lawsuits alleging 8 9 silica-related disease being filed each year began to rise 10 precipitously in recent years, and 11 WHEREAS, silica claims, like asbestos claims, often arise when an individual is identified as having markings on 12 13 his or her lungs that are possibly consistent with silica exposure but the individual has no functional or physical 14 15 impairment from any silica-related disease, and 16 WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that an overpowering public necessity requires it to act to prevent a silica-based 17 18 litigation crisis, and 19 WHEREAS, concerns about statutes of limitations may 20 prompt claimants who have been exposed to asbestos or silica 21 but who do not have any current injury to bring premature 22 lawsuits in order to protect against losing their rights to future compensation should they become impaired, and 23 24 WHEREAS, consolidations, joinders, and similar procedures to which some courts have resorted in order to deal 25 with the mass of asbestos and silica cases can undermine the 26 appropriate functioning of the judicial process and further 27 encourage the filing of thousands of cases by exposed 28 29 individuals who are not sick and who may never become sick, 30 and WHEREAS, punitive damage awards unfairly divert the 31 28 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904e1c-seg1-j01

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>HB 1019, 1st Eng.</u>

1	resources of defendants from compensating genuinely impaired
2	claimants and, given the lengthy history of asbestos and
3	silica litigation and the regulatory and other restrictions on
4	the use of asbestos and silica-containing products in the
5	workplace, the legal justification for such awards,
6	punishment, and deterrence is either inapplicable or
7	inappropriate, and
8	WHEREAS, the Legislature finds that there is an
9	overpowering public necessity to defer the claims of exposed
10	individuals who are not sick in order to preserve, now and for
11	the future, defendants' ability to compensate people who
12	develop cancer and other serious asbestos-related and
13	silica-related injuries and to safeguard the jobs, benefits,
14	and savings of workers in this state and the well-being of the
15	economy of this state, NOW, THEREFORE,
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
	29 8:12 PM 05/04/05 h101904elc-seg1-j01