

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide limited government -- This bill requires persons to submit to an invasive medical test.

Safeguard individual liberty -- This bill requires persons to submit to an invasive medical test.

Promote personal responsibility -- This bill may expand the number of persons entitled to receive medical services provided at government expense. This bill does not require the beneficiaries of the legislation to pay any portion of the cost of implementation.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

The prevalence of HIV/AIDS in prisons exceeds its prevalence in the general population. A reason for the high rate of HIV infection in correctional institutions is the high-risk behaviors of inmates. Not only do inmates engage in more of these behaviors, they also engage in them more frequently than members of the general population.¹ Examples of such behaviors include anal intercourse, tattooing, a history of multiple sexual partners, a history of multiple sexually transmitted diseases, and poor physical and/or mental health. Research has shown that female inmates are more likely to be infected with HIV/AIDS than male inmates. The elevated risk of women for HIV infection can be explained by certain pre-incarceration behaviors, including high rates of economic dependency, injection drug use, and prostitution.

Since July 1, 2002, the Department of Corrections has been required to test all inmates for HIV within the 60 days prior to release.² If the inmate is found to be HIV-positive, the department is required to:

- Notify the Department of Health and the county health department in the county that the inmate intends to reside in.
- Provide counseling and transition assistance related to HIV.
- Provide a 30 day supply to HIV/AIDS related medicine.

Section 951.27, F.S., requires county and municipal detention facilities to have a written procedure developed, in consultation with the facility medical provider, establishing conditions under which an inmate will be tested for infectious disease, including human immunodeficiency virus, which procedure is consistent with guidelines of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and recommendations of the Correctional Medical Authority. The person receiving the test results may divulge the test results to the sheriff or chief correctional officer. Such test results are confidential and exempt public records laws.

Effect of Bill

This bill amends s. 951.27, F.S., to require testing of county and municipal prisoners for HIV prior to release, similar to the requirement related to state prisoners.

A county or municipal detention facility must, consistent with s. 381.004(3), F.S.,³ perform an HIV test on each inmate who is to be released from the facility unless the facility knows that the inmate is HIV positive or unless, within 120 days before the release date, the inmate has been tested for HIV and

¹ Florida Corrections Commission 1998 Annual Report, page 52.

² Chapter 2002-292, L.O.F.

³ Section 381.004, F.S., provides procedures for HIV testing, confidentiality, and referral to services.

does not request retesting. The required test must be performed not less than 30 days before the release date of the inmate.

An HIV test is not required if a prisoner is released due to an emergency or a court order and the detention facility receives less than 30 days' notice of the release date, or if the inmate is transferred to the custody of the Department of Corrections for incarceration in the state correctional system.

Because the testing must be within 30 days prior to release, it is likely that counties will forgo testing until the release date is known. Most prisoners in county jails are released pursuant to court order on less than 30 days notice⁴, and many are held until they are transferred to the Department of Corrections. The net result is that only persons sentenced to more than 30 additional days in county jail, and less than one year total for the offense, will be required to undergo testing.

If the county or municipal detention facility knows that a prisoner who is to be released from the facility is HIV positive or has received a positive HIV test result, that facility must, before the inmate is released:

- Notify the Department of Health and the county health department where the inmate being released plans to reside of the release date and HIV status of the inmate.
- Provide special transitional assistance to the inmate, which must include: education on preventing the transmission of HIV to others; the importance of receiving followup medical care and treatment; and a written, individualized discharge plan that includes referrals to and contacts with the county health department and local primary medical care services for the treatment of HIV infection that are available where the inmate plans to reside.

This bill amends the public records exemption for voluntary HIV tests to cover the mandatory HIV tests created by this bill.

This bill also provides that: “notwithstanding any statute providing for a waiver of sovereign immunity, the state, its agencies, or subdivisions, and employees of the state, its agencies, or subdivisions are not liable to any person for negligently causing death or personal injury arising out of complying with this section.”

This bill also amends s. 381.004(3), F.S., to add this testing to the list of HIV tests that an individual may be compelled to submit to.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 951.27, F.S., to require HIV testing of certain county jail prisoners, and to expand a public records exemption.

Section 2 amends s. 381.004, F.S., to provide that the testing required in Section 1 of the bill may be performed without the consent of the person being tested.

Section 3 provides an effective date of July 1, 2005.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

⁴ This appears to include prisoners granted pretrial release. This also appears to include those convicted of an offense who receive the common sentence of “time served”, which allows the prisoner to be released that day.

None.

2. Expenditures:

Unknown and likely significant.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

Unknown and likely significant.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

It is unknown how many individuals will be tested as a result of this bill. Testing is not required for a prisoner released on less than 30 days notice, so persons arrested and then released on bond will not be tested. This group is the majority of individuals released from local jails. Also not tested are prisoners transferred to the Department of Corrections, which would be felony offenders sentenced to a term in excess of one year.

It appears that the only group that will be tested are prisoners serving more than 30 days of a county jail sentence beyond the sentencing date. This group will include not only misdemeanor offenders, but felony offenders sentenced to a term of less than one year (who by law are incarcerated in county jail). In 2004, there were 25,050 felony offenders sentenced to a term in county jail.

In 2002, the Department of Corrections estimated the cost of a very similar requirement to be \$34.81 per inmate tested.⁵ This cost includes basic testing of all, advanced testing for those few whose preliminary test is positive, and pre-release counseling for those known to be infected with HIV.

In 2002, the Department of Health estimated that every person identified as having HIV/AIDS receives services valued at approximately \$15,000 annually.⁶ To the extent that this bill causes more persons to be referred to the department for the provision of HIV/AIDS services, costs to the Department of Health will increase proportionately. In 2002, the department estimated that 1% of the prison releasees would be identified as having HIV/AIDS, and would seek services from the department.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill requires counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds. The only exception that may apply is the one for "insignificant fiscal impact". If this exception does not apply, then, for enactment, this legislation will require

- Amendment to include a finding by the legislature that the bill fulfills an important state interest.

⁵ See Bill Analysis to HB 1289, from the 2002 session.

⁶ *Id.*

- State funding, authorization to enact a new funding source, or a two-thirds vote of the membership.

2. Other:

None.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None other than the issue identified in the section on Constitutional Issues.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

n/a