A bill to be entitled

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

An act relating to civil justice reform; creating s. 46.100, F.S.; providing for dismissal of actions based on fraudulent or deceptive activity; providing for recovery of damages and attorney fees and costs in certain actions; amending s. 324.021, F.S.; repealing the dangerous instrumentality doctrine; providing for liability for personal injuries under certain circumstances; deleting provisions specifying ownership of motor vehicles for certain purposes; deleting provisions specifying application of certain limits of liability; amending s. 624.155, F.S.; limiting actions against an insurer to insureds; specifying a duty to cooperate with an insurer in asserting a demand for settlement; specifying certain activities as a defense in certain actions; revising certain time periods relating to notices in certain actions; revising notice requirements; providing for preemption of specified civil remedies; specifying effect of certain judgments; specifying a criterion for burden of proof in actions against an insurer; limiting insurer liability for failure to pay policy limits under certain circumstances; authorizing parties to request certain court orders relating to unnecessary delay; providing requirements for amending witness lists; limiting

Page 1 of 29

action; amending s. 768.0710, F.S.; limiting liability for

considerations for a trier of fact in certain actions;

providing construction relating to assigning causes of

admissibility of certain evidence; specifying

29

30

31

32

33

3435

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

damages to a claimant resulting from intentional or criminal acts; creating s. 768.1254, F.S.; providing definitions; creating s. 768.1255, F.S.; providing general rules for product liability actions against product sellers; specifying criteria for liability of a product seller as a manufacturer; amending s. 768.1256, F.S.; deleting a rebuttable presumption provision in product liability actions; creating s. 768.1382, F.S.; limiting liability of certain public and private entities providing street lights, security lights, or other similar illumination; providing that certain entities do not owe a duty to the public to provide, operate, or maintain illumination; providing exceptions; prohibiting certain findings of fault or responsibility of an entity not a party to litigation; amending s. 768.28, F.S.; limiting the liability of law enforcement officers or sheriffs and employing law enforcement agencies for civil damages for injury or death from pursuing fleeing persons under certain circumstances; amending s. 768.76, F.S.; requiring a jury to be informed of the amount of certain benefits paid or available for payment from collateral sources; amending s. 768.79, F.S.; specifying absence of restrictions on certain settlement or release agreements; limiting attorney fees under certain circumstances; amending s. 768.81, F.S.; deleting exceptions to a requirement for liability based on percentage of fault instead of joint and several liability; expanding application of provisions to additional negligence cases;

Page 2 of 29

revising a nonapplication provision; providing severability; providing applicability; providing an effective date.

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Legislature to protect the right of the citizen to access the courts while protecting jobs by limiting the liability of citizens, governmental agencies, and businesses, and

WHEREAS, civil lawsuits and counterclaims, often involving millions of dollars, have been and are being filed against countless citizens, governmental agencies, and businesses in this state where those citizens, governmental agencies, and business ought not be held liable, and

WHEREAS, such lawsuits and counterclaims are often filed against citizens, governmental agencies, and businesses with the most amount of money and ability to pay large settlements, and

WHEREAS, such lawsuits and counterclaims put the citizens, governmental agencies, and businesses of this state through great and needless expense, harassment, and interruption of their duties, and

WHEREAS, such lawsuits and counterclaims have increased significantly over the last 30 years and have become a threat to the employment security and public safety of the citizens of this state, and

WHEREAS, the following changes to the manner in which civil actions are conducted will ensure that citizens continue to have a right of access to courts and that jobs in this state will be protected by ensuring that citizens, governmental agencies, and

Page 3 of 29

businesses will not be held liable when they ought not be, and WHEREAS, the Legislature acknowledges that the civil justice system is a very complex system which touches upon many areas, and, in order to accomplish the aforementioned goals, any reforms to this system must be broad, comprehensive, and all-inclusive, and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Legislature to accomplish these goals by reforming the civil justice system of this state and that the Legislature believes the changes made by this act are thus needed, and

WHEREAS, section 13 of Article X of the State Constitution grants the Legislature the authority to waive sovereign immunity, and

WHEREAS, in 1973, the Legislature, exercising that authority, adopted s. 768.28, Florida Statutes, and

WHEREAS, it has been the intent of the Legislature that such waiver provisions be strictly construed, and

WHEREAS, it has been brought to the Legislature's attention that court interpretations have provided that law enforcement agencies may be liable for the actions of a person fleeing from a law enforcement officer even though the officer has no control over the actions of the person fleeing, and

WHEREAS, the intent of the Legislature is to provide that law enforcement officers and their employing agencies should have no liability for injuries caused by the person fleeing the officer in a pursuit, and

WHEREAS, law enforcement officers perform a valuable function in protecting the public from harm and must, of

Page 4 of 29

necessity, from time to time, apprehend those who violate the law and who, through flight from apprehension, place members of the public at risk, and

WHEREAS, the Legislature finds it necessary to balance the risks of harm to the public with the need to apprehend persons as long as the apprehension and pursuit are accomplished within proper and rational bounds, and law enforcement operates with due care, and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the Legislature to overrule the decision in City of Pinellas Park v. Brown, 604 So.2d 1222 (Fla. 1992), NOW, THEREFORE,

124125

116

117

118119

120

121

122

123

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

126127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135136

137

138

139

140

Section 1. Section 46.100, Florida Statutes, is created to read:

46.100 Dismissal due to fraud.--

- (1) In any civil action, the defendant shall be entitled to dismissal upon a motion for dismissal with evidence demonstrating that the plaintiff engaged in any fraudulent or deceptive activity in any aspect of the lawsuit which is the subject of the damages sought from the defendant. Such motion for motion for dismissal shall be granted based on a preponderance of the evidence. The judge shall rule on such motions in a timely manner.
- (2) A defendant prevailing in such action under subsection
 (1) may recover compensatory, consequential, and punitive
 damages subject to the requirements and limitations of part II

Page 5 of 29

of chapter 768 and attorney's fees and costs incurred in litigating a cause of action against any person convicted of, or who, regardless of adjudication of guilt, pleads guilty or nolo contendere to insurance fraud under s. 817.234, associated with a claim for damages or other benefits.

Section 2. Subsection (9) of section 324.021, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

324.021 Definitions; minimum insurance required.--The following words and phrases when used in this chapter shall, for the purpose of this chapter, have the meanings respectively ascribed to them in this section, except in those instances where the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

- OWNER/LESSOR. -- The dangerous instrumentality doctrine is repealed. A person or entity that negligently entrusts the use of a vehicle to a third party may be liable for any personal injuries that occur as a result of the negligent operation of the vehicle by the third party if the entrusting party knew or had reason to know that the third party would use the vehicle in such a manner as to create an unreasonable risk of harm to others.
- (a) Owner.—A person who holds the legal title of a motor vehicle; or, in the event a motor vehicle is the subject of an agreement for the conditional sale or lease thereof with the right of purchase upon performance of the conditions stated in the agreement and with an immediate right of possession vested in the conditional vendee or lessee, or in the event a mortgagor of a vehicle is entitled to possession, then such conditional

Page 6 of 29

vendee or lessee or mortgagor shall be deemed the owner for the purpose of this chapter.

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185 186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

(b) Owner/lessor.--Notwithstanding any other provision of the Florida Statutes or existing case law:

The lessor, under an agreement to lease a motor vehicle for 1 year or longer which requires the lessee to obtain insurance acceptable to the lessor which contains limits not less than \$100,000/\$300,000 bodily injury liability and \$50,000 property damage liability or not less than \$500,000 combined property damage liability and bodily injury liability, shall not be deemed the owner of said motor vehicle for the purpose of determining financial responsibility for the operation of said motor vehicle or for the acts of the operator in connection therewith; further, this subparagraph shall be applicable so long as the insurance meeting these requirements is in effect. The insurance meeting such requirements may be obtained by the lessor or lessee, provided, if such insurance is obtained by the lessor, the combined coverage for bodily injury liability and property damage liability shall contain limits of not less than \$1 million and may be provided by a lessor's blanket policy.

2. The lessor, under an agreement to rent or lease a motor vehicle for a period of less than 1 year, shall be deemed the owner of the motor vehicle for the purpose of determining liability for the operation of the vehicle or the acts of the operator in connection therewith only up to \$100,000 per person and up to \$300,000 per incident for bodily injury and up to \$50,000 for property damage. If the lessee or the operator of the motor vehicle is uninsured or has any insurance with limits

less than \$500,000 combined property damage and bodily injury liability, the lessor shall be liable for up to an additional \$500,000 in economic damages only arising out of the use of the motor vehicle. The additional specified liability of the lessor for economic damages shall be reduced by amounts actually recovered from the lessee, from the operator, and from any insurance or self-insurance covering the lessee or operator.

Nothing in this subparagraph shall be construed to affect the liability of the lessor for its own negligence.

3. The owner who is a natural person and loans a motor vehicle to any permissive user shall be liable for the operation of the vehicle or the acts of the operator in connection therewith only up to \$100,000 per person and up to \$300,000 per incident for bodily injury and up to \$50,000 for property damage. If the permissive user of the motor vehicle is uninsured or has any insurance with limits less than \$500,000 combined property damage and bodily injury liability, the owner shall be liable for up to an additional \$500,000 in economic damages only arising out of the use of the motor vehicle. The additional specified liability of the owner for economic damages shall be reduced by amounts actually recovered from the permissive user and from any insurance or self-insurance covering the permissive user. Nothing in this subparagraph shall be construed to affect the liability of the owner for his or her own negligence.

(c) Application. --

1. The limits on liability in subparagraphs (b)2. and 3.
do not apply to an owner of motor vehicles that are used for
commercial activity in the owner's ordinary course of business,

Page 8 of 29

2.2.7

other than a rental company that rents or leases motor vehicles. For purposes of this paragraph, the term "rental company" includes only an entity that is engaged in the business of renting or leasing motor vehicles to the general public and that rents or leases a majority of its motor vehicles to persons with no direct or indirect affiliation with the rental company. The term also includes a motor vehicle dealer that provides temporary replacement vehicles to its customers for up to 10 days.

2. Furthermore, with respect to commercial motor vehicles as defined in s. 627.732, the limits on liability in subparagraphs (b)2. and 3. do not apply if, at the time of the incident, the commercial motor vehicle is being used in the transportation of materials found to be hazardous for the purposes of the Hazardous Materials Transportation Authorization Act of 1994, as amended, 49 U.S.C. ss. 5101 et seq., and that is required pursuant to such act to carry placards warning others of the hazardous cargo, unless at the time of lease or rental either:

a. The lessee indicates in writing that the vehicle will not be used to transport materials found to be hazardous for the purposes of the Hazardous Materials Transportation Authorization Act of 1994, as amended, 49 U.S.C. ss. 5101 et seq.; or

b. The lessee or other operator of the commercial motor vehicle has in effect insurance with limits of at least \$5,000,000 combined property damage and bodily injury liability.

251 Section 3. Subsections (1), (3), and (8) of section 624.155, Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsections (9), 252 253 (10), (11), and (12) are added to said section, to read: 254 624.155 Civil remedy.--255 An insured Any person may bring a civil action against an insurer when such person is damaged: 256 257 (a) By a violation of any of the following provisions by the insurer: 258 259 Section 626.9541(1)(i), (o), or (x); 2. Section 626.9551; 260 3. Section 626.9705; 261 Section 626.9706; 262 4. 5. Section 626.9707; or 263 264 6. Section 627.7283. 265 (b) By the commission of any of the following acts by the 266 insurer: 267 Not attempting in good faith to settle claims when, under all the circumstances, it could and should have done so, 268 269

- under all the circumstances, it could and should have done so, had it acted fairly and honestly toward its insured and with due regard for her or his interests and the interests of all other policyholders. However, both the insured and any person asserting any demand for such settlement owes a similar duty to the insurer to cooperate fully with the insurer, and it shall be a defense to any action under this section if the court finds that the insured or other person demanding settlement:
- a. Failed to cooperate fully in facilitating the settlement;

Page 10 of 29

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

b. Imposed or adhered to time limits or other conditions on settlement without at that time demonstrating to the insurer valid reasons that such time limits or other conditions were reasonable and necessary and that such reasons were totally unrelated to the possibility of obtaining damages under this section; or

- <u>c.</u> Lacked authority to make the demand or to accept the amount demanded in full settlement of all claims, including liens, arising from the occurrence;
- 2. Making claims payments to insureds or beneficiaries not accompanied by a statement setting forth the coverage under which payments are being made; or
- 3. Except as to liability coverages, failing to promptly settle claims, when the obligation to settle a claim has become reasonably clear, under one portion of the insurance policy coverage in order to influence settlements under other portions of the insurance policy coverage.

Notwithstanding the provisions of the above to the contrary, a person pursuing a remedy under this section need not prove that such act was committed or performed with such frequency as to indicate a general business practice.

(3)(a) As a condition precedent to bringing an action under this section, the department and the authorized insurer must have been given 90 60 days' written notice of the violation. If the department returns a notice for lack of specificity, the 90-day 60-day time period shall not begin until a proper notice is filed.

Page 11 of 29

(b) The notice shall be on a form provided by the department and shall state with specificity the following information, and such other information as the department may require:

- 1. The statutory provision, including the specific language of the statute, which the authorized insurer allegedly violated.
- 2. The <u>specific</u> facts and circumstances giving rise to the violation, including facts and circumstances pertinent to each factor stated in subsection (10) and the identity of all parties who have made claims against the insured for the occurrence giving rise to the claim and any documentation pertaining to such claims.
 - 3. The name of any individual involved in the violation.
- 4. Reference to specific policy <u>coverage and</u> language that is relevant to the violation, if any. If the person bringing the civil action is a third party claimant, she or he shall not be required to reference the specific policy language if the authorized insurer has not provided a copy of the policy to the third party claimant pursuant to written request.
- 5. A statement that the notice is given in order to perfect the right to pursue the civil remedy authorized by this section.
- 6. A detailed description of the specific dollar amounts that are due and unpaid under each available coverage and how such amounts are calculated and of any other actions requested to cure the violation.

(c) Within 30 20 days of receipt of the notice, the department shall may return any notice that does not provide the specific information required by this section, and the department shall indicate the specific deficiencies contained in the notice. A determination by the department to return a notice for lack of specificity shall be exempt from the requirements of chapter 120.

- (d) No action shall lie if, within $\underline{90}$ 60 days after filing notice, the damages are paid or the circumstances giving rise to the violation are corrected.
- (e) The authorized insurer that is the recipient of a notice filed pursuant to this section shall report to the department on the disposition of the alleged violation.
- (f) The applicable statute of limitations for an action under this section shall be tolled for a period of <u>95</u> 65 days by the mailing of the notice required by this subsection or the mailing of a subsequent notice required by this subsection.
- all does not preempt any other remedies and causes remedy or cause of action for extra-contractual damages for failure to settle under an insurance contract provided for pursuant to any other statute or pursuant to the common law of this state. Any person may obtain a judgment under either the common-law remedy of bad faith or this statutory remedy, but shall not be entitled to a judgment under both remedies. This section shall not be construed to create a common-law cause of action. The damages recoverable pursuant to this section shall include, but not exceed, those actual damages which are a reasonably foreseeable

Page 13 of 29

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

result of a specified violation of this section by the authorized insurer and may include an award or judgment in an amount that exceeds the policy limits. The rendition of a judgment against a liability insured shall not raise any presumption or inference that the violation will foreseeably result in actual damages, except to the extent it is proven that the insured has or is reasonably expected to have assets from which such judgment is expected to be paid. The satisfaction of a judgment rendered against an insurer pursuant to this subsection shall operate as the satisfaction of the underlying judgment against the insured.

- (9) In all actions against an insurer relating to failure to settle claims for liability insurance coverage, the burden of proof shall be clear and convincing evidence of an unreasonable refusal to settle.
- (a) An insurer shall not be held liable for failure to pay its policy limits if the insurer tenders its policy limits by the earlier of:
- 1. The 210th day after service of the complaint in the negligence action upon the insured. The time period specified in this subparagraph shall be extended by an additional 60 days if the court finds in the action for a violation of this section that, at any time during such period and after the 150th day after service of the complaint in the underlying liability action, the claimant provided new information not previously provided to the insurer relating to the identity or testimony of any material witnesses or the identity of any additional claimants or defendants if such disclosure materially alters the

risk to the insured of an excess judgment; or

- 2. The 60th day after the conclusion of all of the following:
- <u>a.</u> Depositions of all claimants named in the complaint or amended complaint.
- b. Depositions of all defendants named in the complaint or amended complaint, including, in the case of a corporate defendant, deposition of a designated representative.
 - c. Depositions of all of the claimants' expert witnesses.
- d. The initial disclosure of witnesses and production of documents.

When there are multiple claimants seeking compensation from the same insured or multiple insureds or when there is a single claimant seeking compensation from multiple insureds for damages arising from the same occurrence, which compensation in the aggregate exceeds policy limits, the insurer of the insured or insureds shall not be held liable for extra-contractual damages for failure to pay its policy limits if the insurer makes a written offer of its policy limits within the time frame set forth in this subsection to all known potential claimants in exchange for releases of all claims against all insureds or tenders such limits to the court for apportionment to the claimants.

(b) Either party may request that the court enter an order finding that the other party has unnecessarily or inappropriately delayed any of the events specified in subparagraph (a)2. If the court finds that the claimant was

Page 15 of 29

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

responsible for such unnecessary or inappropriate delay, subparagraph (a)1. shall not apply to the insurer's tendering of policy limits. If the court finds that the defendant or insurer was responsible for such unnecessary or inappropriate delay, subparagraph (a)2. shall not apply to the insurer's tendering of policy limits.

- (c) If any party to an action alleging liability for acts covered by liability insurance amends its witness list after service of the complaint in such action, that party shall provide a copy of the amended witness list to the insurer of the defendant.
- (d) The time limits specified in this subsection shall not be admissible as evidence that the insurer acted in violation of this section.
- (10) When an insurer does not tender its policy limits to settle a liability insurance claim under subsection (9), the trier of fact, in determining whether an insurer has acted in violation of this section, shall consider only:
- (a) The insurer's willingness to negotiate with the claimant in anticipation of settlement.
- (b) The propriety of the insurer's methods of investigating and evaluating the claim.
- (c) Whether the insurer timely informed the insured of an offer to settle within the limits of coverage, the right to retain personal counsel, and the risk of litigation.
- (d) Whether the insured denied liability or requested that the case be defended after the insurer fully advised the insured as to the facts and risks.

Page 16 of 29

(e) Whether the claimant imposed any condition, other than the tender of the policy limits, on the settlement of the claim.

- (f) Whether the claimant provided all relevant information to the insurer on a timely basis.
- (g) Whether and when other defendants in the case settled or were dismissed from the case.
- (h) Whether there were multiple claimants seeking, in the aggregate, compensation in excess of policy limits from the defendant or the defendant's insurer.
- (i) Whether the insured or claimant misrepresented material facts to the insurer or made material omissions of fact to the insurer.
- (j) Other matters that constitute defenses or limitations to actions or damages that are specified in this section.
- (11) An insurer that tenders policy limits shall be entitled to a release of its insured if the claimant accepts the tender.
- (12) Nothing in this section shall be construed to prohibit an insured from assigning the cause of action to an injured third-party claimant for the insurer's failure to act fairly and honestly towards its insured and with due regard for the insured's interest.
- Section 4. Section 768.0710, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
 - 768.0710 Burden of proof in claims of negligence involving transitory foreign objects or substances against persons or entities in possession or control of business Premises <u>liability</u> for commercial establishments.--

Page 17 of 29

(1) When a person slips and falls on a transitory foreign substance in a retail establishment, the injured person must prove that the retail establishment had actual or constructive knowledge of the dangerous condition such that the condition existed for such a length of time that, in the exercise of ordinary care, the premises' owner should have known of the condition and taken action to remedy the condition. Constructive knowledge may be established by circumstantial evidence showing that:

- (a) The dangerous condition existed for such a length of time that, in the exercise of ordinary care, the premises owner should have known of the condition; or
- (b) The condition occurred with regularity and was therefore foreseeable. The person or entity in possession or control of business premises owes a duty of reasonable care to maintain the premises in a reasonably safe condition for the safety of business invitees on the premises, which includes reasonable efforts to keep the premises free from transitory foreign objects or substances that might foreseeably give rise to loss, injury, or damage.
- (2) Notwithstanding any provision of this section, any person or entity in possession or control of a business premises is not liable for any damages to a claimant if such loss, injury, or damage to a business invitee is the result of the intentional or criminal acts of a third party. In any civil action for negligence involving loss, injury, or damage to a business invitee as a result of a transitory foreign object or

substance on business premises, the claimant shall have the burden of proving that:

- (a) The person or entity in possession or control of the business premises owed a duty to the claimant;
- (b) The person or entity in possession or control of the business premises acted negligently by failing to exercise reasonable care in the maintenance, inspection, repair, warning, or mode of operation of the business premises. Actual or constructive notice of the transitory foreign object or substance is not a required element of proof to this claim. However, evidence of notice or lack of notice offered by any party may be considered together with all of the evidence; and
- (c) The failure to exercise reasonable care was a legal cause of the loss, injury, or damage.
- Section 5. Section 768.1254, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
- 768.1254 Definitions.--As used in this section and ss. 768.1255 and 768.1256:
- (1) "Product liability action" means any civil claim or action for harm caused by a product, regardless of the theory on which the claim is based.
- (2) "Harm" means death; personal injury; physical damage to property other than to the product itself; economic loss, including the loss of earnings or other benefits related to employment, medical expenses, lost support and services, funeral and burial costs, loss of business or employment opportunities, and medical monitoring, as permitted under applicable law; and noneceonomic loss, including pain and suffering, mental anguish,

Page 19 of 29

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

disfigurement, loss of capacity for the enjoyment of life, emotional distress, loss of society and companionship, loss of consortium, injury to reputation, humiliation, fear of future injury, or increased risk of disease, as permitted under applicable law. The term does not include direct, incidental, or consequential pecuniary loss to, or resulting from damage to, the product or nonphysical damage to property other than the product.

- (3) "Manufacturer" means any person who, in the course of a business conducted for that purpose, designs, makes, constructs, formulates, produces, fabricates, assembles, packages, or labels any product or component part of a product or engages another to do so. The term does not include independent product designers whose services are contracted for by the manufacturer if such designers are not otherwise engaged in the business of selling products.
- (4) "Person" means any individual, corporation, company, association, firm, partnership, society, organization, joint stock company, or any other entity.
- (5) "Product" means any tangible personal property distributed commercially.
- (6) "Seller" means a person or entity, including a retailer, distributor, wholesaler, or lessor, that is regularly engaged in the selling or leasing of a product.
- Section 6. Section 768.1255, Florida Statutes, is created to read:
- 768.1255 General rule; seller liable as a manufacturer.--

(1) GENERAL RULE. -- No product liability action may be maintained or commenced against a product seller unless the product seller:

(a) Made an express warranty as to the product and the

- (a) Made an express warranty as to the product and the failure of the product to conform to that warranty caused the person's harm;
- (b) Produced, designed, designated, or provided the plans or specifications for the manufacture or preparation of the product;
- (c) Altered, modified, assembled, failed to maintain,
 packaged, labeled, or installed the product in a manner that
 caused the person's harm;
- (d) Violated a statutory or regulatory requirement when the seller sold the product, including any violation of s. 768.125; or
- (e) Negligently entrusted or supplied the product for the use of another whom the product seller knew or should have known would be likely to use the product in a manner that posed an unreasonable risk of physical harm to the user or others.
- (2) SELLER LIABLE AS A MANUFACTURER.--Notwithstanding
 subsection (1), a product seller may be liable as a manufacturer
 if:
- (a) The manufacturer has no identifiable agent, facility, or other presence in the United States;
- (b) The manufacturer is not subject to service of process in any state in which the action could have been brought and service cannot be secured by a long-arm statute;
 - (c) The manufacturer is otherwise immune from suit; or

Page 21 of 29

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

583	(d) The court determines that the person is or would be
584	unable to enforce a judgment against the manufacturer. For
585	purpose of this paragraph, the statute of limitations applicable
586	to a claim asserting the liability of a product seller is tolled
587	from the date of the filing of a complaint against the
588	manufacturer to the date that judgment is entered against the
589	manufacturer.
590	Section 7. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 768.1256,
591	Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
592	768.1256 Government rules defense
593	(2) In a product liability action as described in
594	subsection (1), there is a rebuttable presumption that the
595	product is defective or unreasonably dangerous and the
596	manufacturer or seller is liable if the manufacturer or seller
597	did not comply with the federal or state codes, statutes, rules,
598	regulations, or standards which:
599	(a) Were relevant to the event causing the death or
600	injury;
601	(b) Are designed to prevent the type of harm that
602	allegedly occurred; and
603	(c) Require compliance as a condition for selling or
604	distributing the product.
605	(2) (3) This section does not apply to an action brought
606	for harm allegedly caused by a drug that is ordered off the
607	market or seized by the Federal Food and Drug Administration.
608	Section 8. Section 768.1382, Florida Statutes, is created

609

to read:

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

630

631

632

633

634

635

636

768.1382 Street lights and other similar illumination; limitation on liability. -- Neither the state, any of the state's officers, agencies, or instrumentalities, any political subdivision, as defined in s. 1.01, nor any electric utility, as defined in s. 366.02(2), that provides or operates or maintains street lights, security lights, or other similar illumination shall be held liable for any civil damages for injury or death affected or caused by the adequacy or failure of illumination of such lights, regardless of whether the adequacy or failure of illumination is alleged or demonstrated to have contributed in any manner to the injury or death, unless such liability was expressly assumed by written contract. No such entity that provides, operates, or maintains a manner of illumination as described in this section owes a duty to the public to provide, operate, or maintain the illumination in any manner, except that such a duty may be expressly assumed by written contract. In any civil action for damages arising out of personal injury or wrongful death when an entity's fault regarding the maintenance of street lights is at issue, if the entity responsible for maintaining the street lights is not a party to the litigation, the entity shall not be deemed or found in such action to be in any way at fault or responsible for the injury or death that gave rise to the damages. Section 9. Paragraph (d) is added to subsection (9) of section 768.28, Florida Statutes, to read: 768.28 Waiver of sovereign immunity in tort actions; recovery limits; limitation on attorney fees; statute of

limitations; exclusions; indemnification; risk management
programs.--

639 (9)

- (d) No sheriff or law enforcement officer as defined in s. 943.10(1), employed by any county, municipality, state agency, or any political subdivision of the state, or the employing agency as defined in s. 943.10(4), shall be held liable for any civil damages for injury or death effected or caused by a person fleeing from a sheriff or law enforcement officer when the pursuit of that person is conducted in a manner that did not involve willful or wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property on the part of the sheriff or law enforcement officer and the person fleeing is reasonably believed to have committed a felony violation of the laws of this state.
- Section 10. Subsection (1) of section 768.76, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

768.76 Collateral sources of indemnity.--

(1) In any action to which this part applies in which liability is admitted or is determined by the trier of fact and in which damages are awarded to compensate the claimant for losses sustained, the jury shall be informed of the total of all amounts which have been paid for the benefit of claimant or which are otherwise available to the claimant from all collateral sources, and the court shall reduce the amount of such award by the total of all amounts which have been paid for the benefit of the claimant, or which are otherwise available to the claimant, from all collateral sources; however, there shall be no reduction for collateral sources for which a subrogation

Page 24 of 29

or reimbursement right exists. Such reduction shall be offset to the extent of any amount which has been paid, contributed, or forfeited by, or on behalf of, the claimant or members of the claimant's immediate family to secure her or his right to any collateral source benefit which the claimant is receiving as a result of her or his injury.

Section 11. Subsection (9) is added to section 768.79, Florida Statutes, to read:

768.79 Offer of judgment and demand for judgment. --

(9) Nothing in this section restricts the ability of parties to enter into any settlement agreements or release agreements discharging liability in exchange for an amount of consideration agreed to by the parties. If the parties reach such agreement without the assistance of their respective attorneys, an attorney fee shall be payable to the plaintiff's attorney for an amount not to exceed 25 percent of the agreed-upon consideration for the settlement and release, regardless of any other contractual arrangement for attorney fees that may exist.

Section 12. Subsections (3) and (4) of section 768.81, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

768.81 Comparative fault.--

(3) APPORTIONMENT OF DAMAGES. -- In cases to which this section applies, the court shall enter judgment against each party liable on the basis of such party's percentage of fault and not on the basis of the doctrine of joint and several liability., except as provided in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c):

Page 25 of 29

(a) Where a plaintiff is found to be at fault, the
following shall apply:
1. Any defendant found 10 percent or less at fault shall
not be subject to joint and several liability.

- 2. For any defendant found more than 10 percent but less than 25 percent at fault, joint and several liability shall not apply to that portion of economic damages in excess of \$200,000.
- 3. For any defendant found at least 25 percent but not more than 50 percent at fault, joint and several liability shall not apply to that portion of economic damages in excess of \$500,000.
- 4. For any defendant found more than 50 percent at fault, joint and several liability shall not apply to that portion of economic damages in excess of \$1 million.

For any defendant under subparagraph 2., subparagraph 3., or subparagraph 4., the amount of economic damages calculated under joint and several liability shall be in addition to the amount of economic and noneconomic damages already apportioned to that defendant based on that defendant's percentage of fault.

- (b) Where a plaintiff is found to be without fault, the following shall apply:
- 1. Any defendant found less than 10 percent at fault shall not be subject to joint and several liability.
- 2. For any defendant found at least 10 percent but less than 25 percent at fault, joint and several liability shall not apply to that portion of economic damages in excess of \$500,000.

Page 26 of 29

3. For any defendant found at least 25 percent but not more than 50 percent at fault, joint and several liability shall not apply to that portion of economic damages in excess of \$1 million.

4. For any defendant found more than 50 percent at fault, joint and several liability shall not apply to that portion of economic damages in excess of \$2 million.

For any defendant under subparagraph 2., subparagraph 3., or subparagraph 4., the amount of economic damages calculated under joint and several liability shall be in addition to the amount of economic and noneconomic damages already apportioned to that defendant based on that defendant's percentage of fault.

(c) With respect to any defendant whose percentage of fault is less than the fault of a particular plaintiff, the doctrine of joint and several liability shall not apply to any damages imposed against the defendant.

(a)(d) In order to allocate any or all fault to a nonparty, a defendant must affirmatively plead the fault of a nonparty and, absent a showing of good cause, identify the nonparty, if known, or describe the nonparty as specifically as practicable, either by motion or in the initial responsive pleading when defenses are first presented, subject to amendment any time before trial in accordance with the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.

(b)(e) In order to allocate any or all fault to a nonparty and include the named or unnamed nonparty on the verdict form for purposes of apportioning damages, a defendant must prove at

Page 27 of 29

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

trial, by a preponderance of the evidence, the fault of the nonparty in causing the plaintiff's injuries.

(4) APPLICABILITY.--

- (a) This section applies to negligence cases. For purposes of this section, "negligence cases" includes, but is not limited to, civil actions for damages based upon theories of negligence, strict liability, products liability, professional malpractice whether couched in terms of contract or tort, or breach of warranty and like theories, including actions for negligence against any defendant for failure to prevent commission of an intentional tort by another. In determining whether a case falls within the term "negligence cases," the court shall look to the substance of the action and not the conclusory terms used by the parties.
- (b) This section does not apply to any action brought by any person to recover actual economic damages resulting from pollution, to any action in which an intentional tortfeasor is sued and seeks to apportion fault to a negligent tortfeasor based upon an intentional tort, or to any cause of action as to which application of the doctrine of joint and several liability is specifically provided by chapter 403, chapter 498, chapter 517, chapter 542, or chapter 895.
- Section 13. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of this act which can be given effect without the invalid provision or application, and, to this end, the provisions of this act are declared severable.

Section 14. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law and shall apply to causes of action that accrue on or after the effective date.

Page 29 of 29