A bill to be entitled

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

An act relating to drug court programs; amending s. 39.001, F.S.; providing additional legislative purposes and intent with respect to the treatment of substance abuse, including the use of the drug court program model; authorizing the court to require certain persons to undergo treatment following adjudication; providing that the court is not precluded from ordering drug testing; amending ss. 39.402 and 39.407, F.S.; authorizing the court to order specified persons to submit to a substance abuse assessment upon a showing of good cause in connection with a shelter hearing or petition for dependency; amending ss. 39.507 and 39.521, F.S.; authorizing the court to order specified persons to submit to a substance abuse assessment as part of an adjudicatory order or pursuant to a disposition hearing; requiring a showing of good cause; authorizing the court to require participation in a treatment-based drug court program; authorizing the court to impose sanctions for noncompliance; amending s. 39.701, F.S.; authorizing the court to extend the time for completing a case plan during judicial review, based upon participation in a treatmentbased drug court program; amending s. 397.334, F.S.; revising legislative intent with respect to treatmentbased drug court programs to reflect participation by community support agencies, the Department of Education, and other individuals; including postadjudicatory programs as part of treatment-based drug court programs; providing

requirements and sanctions, including clinical placement or incarceration, for the coordinated strategy developed by the drug court team to encourage participant compliance; requiring each judicial circuit to establish a position for a coordinator of the treatment-based drug court program, subject to annual appropriation by the Legislature; authorizing the chief judge of each judicial circuit to appoint an advisory committee for the treatment-based drug court program; providing for membership of the committee; revising language with respect to an annual report; amending s. 910.035, F.S.; revising language with respect to conditions for the transfer of a case in the drug court treatment program to a county other than that in which the charge arose; amending ss. 948.08, 948.16, and 985.306, F.S., relating to felony, misdemeanor, and delinquency pretrial substance abuse education and treatment intervention programs; providing requirements and sanctions, including clinical placement or incarceration, for the coordinated strategy developed by the drug court team to encourage participant compliance and removing provisions authorizing appointment of an advisory committee, to conform to changes made by the act; providing an effective date.

5253

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:

54

55 56 Section 1. Subsection (4) of section 39.001, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

39.001 Purposes and intent; personnel standards and screening.--

(4) SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES. --

- (a) The Legislature recognizes that substance abuse is a primary cause of the dramatic rise in cases of child abuse and neglect, immeasurably increases the complexity of cases in the dependency system, severely compromises or destroys the ability of parents to provide a safe and nurturing home for children, and severely confounds the dependency system's ability to protect children. The Legislature also recognizes that early referral and comprehensive treatment can help combat substance abuse in families and that treatment is cost effective. The Legislature further recognizes that treatment-based drug court program models that integrate judicial supervision, treatment, accountability, sanctions, and community support greatly increase the effectiveness of substance abuse treatment and reduce the number of cases of child abuse and neglect.
- (b) The substance abuse treatment and family safety programs of the Department of Children and Family Services have identified the following goals for the state:
 - 1. To ensure the safety of children.
- 2. To prevent and remediate the consequences of substance abuse on families involved in protective supervision or foster care and reduce substance abuse, including alcohol abuse, for families who are at risk of being involved in protective supervision or foster care.
- 3. To expedite permanency for children and reunify healthy, intact families, when appropriate.

4. To support families in recovery.

- (c) The Legislature finds that children in the care of the state's dependency system need appropriate health care services, that the impact of substance abuse on health indicates the need for health care services to include substance abuse services to children and parents where appropriate, and that it is in the state's best interest that such children be provided the services they need to enable them to become and remain independent of state care. In order to provide these services, the state's dependency system must have the ability to identify and provide appropriate intervention and treatment for children with personal or family-related substance abuse problems.
- (d) It is the intent of the Legislature to encourage the court to support the drug court program model by assessing parents and children to identify and address substance abuse problems as the court deems appropriate at every stage of the dependency process. Participation in treatment, including a treatment-based drug court program, may be required by the court following adjudication. This subsection does not prevent a child's parents and, when appropriate, the legal custodian from voluntarily entering treatment, including a treatment-based drug court program, at the earliest stage of the process. Nothing in this subsection precludes a court from ordering drug testing where substance abuse is suspected to determine the safety of the placement of a child with a caretaker.
- (e) It is therefore the purpose of the Legislature to provide authority for the state to contract with community substance abuse treatment providers for the development and

operation of specialized support and overlay services for the dependency system, which will be fully implemented and \underline{used} $\underline{utilized}$ as resources permit.

- Department of Children and Family Services, in conjunction with community agencies; treatment-based facilities; facilities dedicated to child welfare, child development, and mental health services; the Department of Health; other similar agencies; local governments; law enforcement agencies; and other interested public or private sources to support the drug court program model. Participation in the treatment-based drug court program does not divest any public or private agency of its responsibility for a child or adult, but enables these agencies to better meet their needs through shared responsibility and resources.
- Section 2. Subsections (11) through (16) of section 39.402, Florida Statutes, are renumbered as subsections (12) through (17), respectively, and a new subsection (11) is added to said section to read:
 - 39.402 Placement in a shelter.--
- (11) At the shelter hearing, the court may order the child or the child's parent, caregiver, legal custodian, or other person requesting custody of the child to submit to a substance abuse assessment or evaluation. The assessment or evaluation must be administered by a qualified professional, as defined in s. 397.311. The order may be made only upon good cause shown.

 Section 3. Section 39.407, Florida Statutes, is amended to
- Section 3. Section 39.407, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

39.407 Medical, psychiatric, and psychological examination and treatment of child; physical, or substance abuse examination of parent or person requesting custody of child.--

- (1) When any child is removed from the home and maintained in an out-of-home placement, the department is authorized to have a medical screening performed on the child without authorization from the court and without consent from a parent or legal custodian. Such medical screening shall be performed by a licensed health care professional and shall be to examine the child for injury, illness, and communicable diseases and to determine the need for immunization. The department shall by rule establish the invasiveness of the medical procedures authorized to be performed under this subsection. In no case does this subsection authorize the department to consent to medical treatment for such children.
- (2) When the department has performed the medical screening authorized by subsection (1), or when it is otherwise determined by a licensed health care professional that a child who is in an out-of-home placement, but who has not been committed to the department, is in need of medical treatment, including the need for immunization, consent for medical treatment shall be obtained in the following manner:
- (a)1. Consent to medical treatment shall be obtained from a parent or legal custodian of the child; or
 - 2. A court order for such treatment shall be obtained.
- (b) If a parent or legal custodian of the child is unavailable and his or her whereabouts cannot be reasonably ascertained, and it is after normal working hours so that a

court order cannot reasonably be obtained, an authorized agent of the department shall have the authority to consent to necessary medical treatment, including immunization, for the child. The authority of the department to consent to medical treatment in this circumstance shall be limited to the time reasonably necessary to obtain court authorization.

(c) If a parent or legal custodian of the child is available but refuses to consent to the necessary treatment, including immunization, a court order shall be required unless the situation meets the definition of an emergency in s. 743.064 or the treatment needed is related to suspected abuse, abandonment, or neglect of the child by a parent, caregiver, or legal custodian. In such case, the department shall have the authority to consent to necessary medical treatment. This authority is limited to the time reasonably necessary to obtain court authorization.

- In no case shall the department consent to sterilization, abortion, or termination of life support.
- (3)(a) A judge may order a child in an out-of-home placement to be examined by a licensed health care professional.
- (b) The judge may also order such child to be evaluated by a psychiatrist or a psychologist or, if a developmental disability is suspected or alleged, by the developmental disability diagnostic and evaluation team of the department. If it is necessary to place a child in a residential facility for such evaluation, the criteria and procedure established in s.

196 394.463(2) or chapter 393 shall be used, whichever is applicable.

- (c) The judge may also order such child to be evaluated by a district school board educational needs assessment team. The educational needs assessment provided by the district school board educational needs assessment team shall include, but not be limited to, reports of intelligence and achievement tests, screening for learning disabilities and other handicaps, and screening for the need for alternative education as defined in s. 1001.42.
- (4) A judge may order a child in an out-of-home placement to be treated by a licensed health care professional based on evidence that the child should receive treatment. The judge may also order such child to receive mental health or developmental disabilities services from a psychiatrist, psychologist, or other appropriate service provider. Except as provided in subsection (5), if it is necessary to place the child in a residential facility for such services, the procedures and criteria established in s. 394.467 or chapter 393 shall be used, whichever is applicable. A child may be provided developmental disabilities or mental health services in emergency situations, pursuant to the procedures and criteria contained in s. 394.463(1) or chapter 393, whichever is applicable.
- (5) Children who are in the legal custody of the department may be placed by the department, without prior approval of the court, in a residential treatment center licensed under s. 394.875 or a hospital licensed under chapter 395 for residential mental health treatment only pursuant to

this section or may be placed by the court in accordance with an order of involuntary examination or involuntary placement entered pursuant to s. 394.463 or s. 394.467. All children placed in a residential treatment program under this subsection must have a guardian ad litem appointed.

(a) As used in this subsection, the term:

- 1. "Residential treatment" means placement for observation, diagnosis, or treatment of an emotional disturbance in a residential treatment center licensed under s. 394.875 or a hospital licensed under chapter 395.
- 2. "Least restrictive alternative" means the treatment and conditions of treatment that, separately and in combination, are no more intrusive or restrictive of freedom than reasonably necessary to achieve a substantial therapeutic benefit or to protect the child or adolescent or others from physical injury.
- 3. "Suitable for residential treatment" or "suitability" means a determination concerning a child or adolescent with an emotional disturbance as defined in s. 394.492(5) or a serious emotional disturbance as defined in s. 394.492(6) that each of the following criteria is met:
 - a. The child requires residential treatment.
- b. The child is in need of a residential treatment program and is expected to benefit from mental health treatment.
- c. An appropriate, less restrictive alternative to residential treatment is unavailable.
- (b) Whenever the department believes that a child in its legal custody is emotionally disturbed and may need residential treatment, an examination and suitability assessment must be

conducted by a qualified evaluator who is appointed by the Agency for Health Care Administration. This suitability assessment must be completed before the placement of the child in a residential treatment center for emotionally disturbed children and adolescents or a hospital. The qualified evaluator must be a psychiatrist or a psychologist licensed in Florida who has at least 3 years of experience in the diagnosis and treatment of serious emotional disturbances in children and adolescents and who has no actual or perceived conflict of interest with any inpatient facility or residential treatment center or program.

- (c) Before a child is admitted under this subsection, the child shall be assessed for suitability for residential treatment by a qualified evaluator who has conducted a personal examination and assessment of the child and has made written findings that:
- 1. The child appears to have an emotional disturbance serious enough to require residential treatment and is reasonably likely to benefit from the treatment.
- 2. The child has been provided with a clinically appropriate explanation of the nature and purpose of the treatment.
- 3. All available modalities of treatment less restrictive than residential treatment have been considered, and a less restrictive alternative that would offer comparable benefits to the child is unavailable.

A copy of the written findings of the evaluation and suitability assessment must be provided to the department and to the guardian ad litem, who shall have the opportunity to discuss the findings with the evaluator.

279

280

281

282

283

284285

286

287

288

289

290

291292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301 302

303

304

305

- (d) Immediately upon placing a child in a residential treatment program under this section, the department must notify the guardian ad litem and the court having jurisdiction over the child and must provide the guardian ad litem and the court with a copy of the assessment by the qualified evaluator.
- Within 10 days after the admission of a child to a residential treatment program, the director of the residential treatment program or the director's designee must ensure that an individualized plan of treatment has been prepared by the program and has been explained to the child, to the department, and to the guardian ad litem, and submitted to the department. The child must be involved in the preparation of the plan to the maximum feasible extent consistent with his or her ability to understand and participate, and the quardian ad litem and the child's foster parents must be involved to the maximum extent consistent with the child's treatment needs. The plan must include a preliminary plan for residential treatment and aftercare upon completion of residential treatment. The plan must include specific behavioral and emotional goals against which the success of the residential treatment may be measured. A copy of the plan must be provided to the child, to the guardian ad litem, and to the department.
- (f) Within 30 days after admission, the residential treatment program must review the appropriateness and

suitability of the child's placement in the program. The residential treatment program must determine whether the child is receiving benefit toward the treatment goals and whether the child could be treated in a less restrictive treatment program. The residential treatment program shall prepare a written report of its findings and submit the report to the guardian ad litem and to the department. The department must submit the report to the court. The report must include a discharge plan for the child. The residential treatment program must continue to evaluate the child's treatment progress every 30 days thereafter and must include its findings in a written report submitted to the department. The department may not reimburse a facility until the facility has submitted every written report that is due.

- (g)1. The department must submit, at the beginning of each month, to the court having jurisdiction over the child, a written report regarding the child's progress toward achieving the goals specified in the individualized plan of treatment.
- 2. The court must conduct a hearing to review the status of the child's residential treatment plan no later than 3 months after the child's admission to the residential treatment program. An independent review of the child's progress toward achieving the goals and objectives of the treatment plan must be completed by a qualified evaluator and submitted to the court before its 3-month review.
- 3. For any child in residential treatment at the time a judicial review is held pursuant to s. 39.701, the child's

continued placement in residential treatment must be a subject of the judicial review.

- 4. If at any time the court determines that the child is not suitable for continued residential treatment, the court shall order the department to place the child in the least restrictive setting that is best suited to meet his or her needs.
- (h) After the initial 3-month review, the court must conduct a review of the child's residential treatment plan every 90 days.
- (i) The department must adopt rules for implementing timeframes for the completion of suitability assessments by qualified evaluators and a procedure that includes timeframes for completing the 3-month independent review by the qualified evaluators of the child's progress toward achieving the goals and objectives of the treatment plan which review must be submitted to the court. The Agency for Health Care Administration must adopt rules for the registration of qualified evaluators, the procedure for selecting the evaluators to conduct the reviews required under this section, and a reasonable, cost-efficient fee schedule for qualified evaluators.
- (6) When a child is in an out-of-home placement, a licensed health care professional shall be immediately called if there are indications of physical injury or illness, or the child shall be taken to the nearest available hospital for emergency care.

(7) Except as otherwise provided herein, nothing in this section shall be deemed to eliminate the right of a parent, legal custodian, or the child to consent to examination or treatment for the child.

- (8) Except as otherwise provided herein, nothing in this section shall be deemed to alter the provisions of s. 743.064.
- (9) A court shall not be precluded from ordering services or treatment to be provided to the child by a duly accredited practitioner who relies solely on spiritual means for healing in accordance with the tenets and practices of a church or religious organization, when required by the child's health and when requested by the child.
- (10) Nothing in this section shall be construed to authorize the permanent sterilization of the child unless such sterilization is the result of or incidental to medically necessary treatment to protect or preserve the life of the child.
- (11) For the purpose of obtaining an evaluation or examination, or receiving treatment as authorized pursuant to this section, no child alleged to be or found to be dependent shall be placed in a detention home or other program used primarily for the care and custody of children alleged or found to have committed delinquent acts.
- (12) The parents or legal custodian of a child in an outof-home placement remain financially responsible for the cost of medical treatment provided to the child even if either one or both of the parents or if the legal custodian did not consent to the medical treatment. After a hearing, the court may order the

parents or legal custodian, if found able to do so, to reimburse the department or other provider of medical services for treatment provided.

- (13) Nothing in this section alters the authority of the department to consent to medical treatment for a dependent child when the child has been committed to the department and the department has become the legal custodian of the child.
- (14) At any time after the filing of a shelter petition or petition for dependency, when the mental or physical condition, including the blood group, of a parent, caregiver, legal custodian, or other person requesting custody of a child is in controversy, the court may order the person to submit to a physical or mental examination by a qualified professional. The order may be made only upon good cause shown and pursuant to notice and procedures as set forth by the Florida Rules of Juvenile Procedure.
- (15) At any time after a shelter petition or petition for dependency is filed, the court may order a child or the child's parent, caregiver, legal custodian, or other person requesting custody of the child, if it has not already done so, to submit to a substance abuse assessment and evaluation. The assessment or evaluation must be administered by a qualified professional, as defined in s. 397.311. The order may be made only upon good cause shown.
- Section 4. Subsection (9) is added to section 39.507, Florida Statutes, to read:
 - 39.507 Adjudicatory hearings; orders of adjudication.--
 - (9) The court may order a child or the child's parent,

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439 440

441

442

443

444

caregiver, legal custodian, or other person requesting custody of the child, if it has not already done so, to submit to a substance abuse assessment or evaluation. The assessment or evaluation must be administered by a qualified professional, as defined in s. 397.311. The court may also require such person to participate in and comply with treatment and services identified as necessary, including, when appropriate and available, participation in and compliance with a treatment-based drug court program. The court, including the treatment-based drug court program, shall oversee the progress and compliance with treatment by the child or the child's parent, legal custodian, caregiver, or other person requesting custody of the child and shall impose appropriate available sanctions for noncompliance upon the child or the child's parent, legal custodian, caregiver, or other person requesting custody of the child. Any order entered under this subsection may be made only upon good cause shown.

Section 5. Paragraph (b) of subsection (1) of section 39.521, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

39.521 Disposition hearings; powers of disposition.--

(1) A disposition hearing shall be conducted by the court, if the court finds that the facts alleged in the petition for dependency were proven in the adjudicatory hearing, or if the parents or legal custodians have consented to the finding of dependency or admitted the allegations in the petition, have failed to appear for the arraignment hearing after proper notice, or have not been located despite a diligent search having been conducted.

(b) When any child is adjudicated by a court to be dependent, the court having jurisdiction of the child has the power by order to:

445

446

447

448

449

450 451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461 462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

- Require the parent and, when appropriate, the legal custodian and the child, to participate in treatment and services identified as necessary. The court may require a child or the child's parent, caregiver, legal custodian, or other person requesting custody of the child to submit to a substance abuse assessment or evaluation. The assessment or evaluation must be administered by a qualified professional, as defined in s. 397.311. The court may also require such person to participate in and comply with treatment and services identified as necessary, including participation in and compliance with a treatment-based drug court program, when appropriate and if available. The court, including the treatment-based drug court program, shall oversee the progress and compliance with treatment by the child or the child's parent, legal custodian, caregiver, or other person requesting custody of the child and shall impose appropriate available sanctions for noncompliance upon the child or the child's parent, legal custodian, caregiver, or other person requesting custody of the child. Any order entered under this subparagraph may be made only upon good cause shown.
- 2. Require, if the court deems necessary, the parties to participate in dependency mediation.
- 3. Require placement of the child either under the protective supervision of an authorized agent of the department in the home of one or both of the child's parents or in the home

of a relative of the child or another adult approved by the court, or in the custody of the department. Protective supervision continues until the court terminates it or until the child reaches the age of 18, whichever date is first. Protective supervision shall be terminated by the court whenever the court determines that permanency has been achieved for the child, whether with a parent, another relative, or a legal custodian, and that protective supervision is no longer needed. The termination of supervision may be with or without retaining jurisdiction, at the court's discretion, and shall in either case be considered a permanency option for the child. The order terminating supervision by the department shall set forth the powers of the custodian of the child and shall include the powers ordinarily granted to a guardian of the person of a minor unless otherwise specified. Upon the court's termination of supervision by the department, no further judicial reviews are required, so long as permanency has been established for the child.

Section 6. Paragraph (d) of subsection (9) of section 39.701, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

39.701 Judicial review.--

(9)

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485 486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496497

498

499

500

(d) The court may extend the time limitation of the case plan, or may modify the terms of the plan, which, in addition to other modifications, may include a requirement that the parent, foster parent, or legal custodian participate in a treatment-based drug court program, based upon information provided by the social service agency, and the guardian ad litem, if one has

Page 18 of 31

been appointed, the parent or parents, and the foster parents or legal custodian, and any other competent information on record demonstrating the need for the amendment. If the court extends the time limitation of the case plan, the court must make specific findings concerning the frequency of past parent-child visitation, if any, and the court may authorize the expansion or restriction of future visitation. Modifications to the plan must be handled as prescribed in s. 39.601. Any extension of a case plan must comply with the time requirements and other requirements specified by this chapter.

Section 7. Section 397.334, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

397.334 Treatment-based drug court programs.--

(1) Each county may fund a treatment-based drug court program under which persons in the justice system assessed with a substance abuse problem will be processed in such a manner as to appropriately address the severity of the identified substance abuse problem through treatment services plans tailored to the individual needs of the participant. It is the intent of the Legislature to encourage the Department of Corrections, the Department of Children and Family Services, the Department of Juvenile Justice, the Department of Health, the Department of Law Enforcement, the Department of Education, and such other agencies, local governments, law enforcement agencies, and other interested public or private sources, and individuals to support the creation and establishment of these problem-solving court programs. Participation in the treatment-based drug court programs does not divest any public or private

agency of its responsibility for a child or adult, but <u>enables</u> allows these agencies to better meet their needs through shared responsibility and resources.

- (2) The treatment-based drug court programs shall include therapeutic jurisprudence principles and adhere to the following 10 key components, recognized by the Drug Courts Program Office of the Office of Justice Programs of the United States Department of Justice and adopted by the Florida Supreme Court Treatment-Based Drug Court Steering Committee:
- (a) Drug court programs integrate alcohol and other drug treatment services with justice system case processing.
- (b) Using a nonadversarial approach, prosecution and defense counsel promote public safety while protecting participants' due process rights.
- (c) Eligible participants are identified early and promptly placed in the drug court program.
- (d) Drug court programs provide access to a continuum of alcohol, drug, and other related treatment and rehabilitation services.
- (e) Abstinence is monitored by frequent testing for alcohol and other drugs.
- (f) A coordinated strategy governs drug court program responses to participants' compliance.
- (g) Ongoing judicial interaction with each drug court program participant is essential.
- (h) Monitoring and evaluation measure the achievement of program goals and gauge program effectiveness.

(i) Continuing interdisciplinary education promotes effective drug court program planning, implementation, and operations.

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578579

580

581

582

- (j) Forging partnerships among drug court programs, public agencies, and community-based organizations generates local support and enhances drug court program effectiveness.
- Treatment-based drug court programs may include pretrial intervention programs as provided in ss. 948.08, 948.16, and 985.306, postadjudicatory programs, and the monitoring of sentenced offenders through a treatment-based drug court program. Supervision may also be provided for offenders who transfer from jail or a prison-based treatment program into the community. While enrolled in any pretrial intervention program, the participant is subject to a coordinated strategy developed by the drug court team under paragraph (2)(f). Each coordinated strategy must include a protocol of sanctions that may be imposed upon the participant. The protocol of sanctions must include as available options placement in a secure licensed clinical or jail-based treatment program or serving a period of incarceration for noncompliance with program rules within the limits established for contempt of court. The coordinated strategy must be provided in writing to the participant at the time the participant enters into a pretrial drug court program.
- (4) Contingent upon an annual appropriation by the Legislature, each judicial circuit shall establish, at a minimum, one coordinator position for the treatment-based drug court program within the state courts system to coordinate the responsibilities of the participating agencies and service

providers. Each coordinator shall provide direct support to the treatment-based drug court program by providing coordination between the multidisciplinary team and the judiciary, providing case management, monitoring compliance of the participants in the treatment-based drug court program with court requirements, and providing program evaluation and accountability.

- (5)(4)(a) The Florida Association of Drug Court Program Professionals is created. The membership of the association may consist of treatment-based drug court program practitioners who comprise the multidisciplinary treatment-based drug court program team, including, but not limited to, judges, state attorneys, defense counsel, drug court program coordinators, probation officers, law enforcement officers, community representatives, members of the academic community, and treatment professionals. Membership in the association shall be voluntary.
- (b) The association shall annually elect a chair whose duty is to solicit recommendations from members on issues relating to the expansion, operation, and institutionalization of treatment-based drug court programs. The chair is responsible for providing on or before October 1 of each year the association's recommendations and an annual report to the appropriate Supreme Court Treatment-Based Drug Court Steering committee or to the appropriate personnel of the Office of the State Courts Administrator, and shall submit a report each year, on or before October 1, to the steering committee.
- (6)(5) If a county chooses to fund a treatment-based drug court program, the county must secure funding from sources other

than the state for those costs not otherwise assumed by the state pursuant to s. 29.004. However, this does not preclude counties from using treatment and other service dollars provided through state executive branch agencies. Counties may provide, by interlocal agreement, for the collective funding of these programs.

- an advisory committee for the treatment-based drug court program. The committee shall be composed of the chief judge, or his or her designee, who shall serve as chair; the judge of the treatment-based drug court program, if not otherwise designated by the chief judge as his or her designee; the state attorney, or his or her designee; the public defender, or his or her designee; the treatment-based drug court program coordinators; community representatives; treatment representatives; and any other persons the chair finds are appropriate.
- Section 8. Paragraphs (b) and (e) of subsection (5) of section 910.035, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
 - 910.035 Transfer from county for plea and sentence. --
- (5) Any person eligible for participation in a drug court treatment program pursuant to s. 948.08(6) may be eligible to have the case transferred to a county other than that in which the charge arose if the drug court program agrees and if the following conditions are met:
- (b) If approval for transfer is received from all parties, the trial court shall accept a plea of nolo contendere and enter a transfer order directing the clerk to transfer the case to the

county which has accepted the defendant into its drug court program.

- (e) Upon successful completion of the drug court program, the jurisdiction to which the case has been transferred shall dispose of the case pursuant to s. 948.08(6). If the defendant does not complete the drug court program successfully, the jurisdiction to which the case has been transferred shall dispose of the case within the guidelines of the Criminal Punishment Code case shall be prosecuted as determined by the state attorneys of the sending and receiving counties.
- Section 9. Subsections (6), (7), and (8) of section 948.08, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
 - 948.08 Pretrial intervention program. --
- (6)(a) Notwithstanding any provision of this section, a person who is charged with a felony of the second or third degree for purchase or possession of a controlled substance under chapter 893, prostitution, tampering with evidence, solicitation for purchase of a controlled substance, or obtaining a prescription by fraud; who has not been charged with a crime involving violence, including, but not limited to, murder, sexual battery, robbery, carjacking, home-invasion robbery, or any other crime involving violence; and who has not previously been convicted of a felony nor been admitted to a felony pretrial program referred to in this section is eligible for admission into a pretrial substance abuse education and treatment intervention program approved by the chief judge of the circuit, for a period of not less than 1 year in duration, upon motion of either party or the court's own motion, except:

1. If a defendant was previously offered admission to a pretrial substance abuse education and treatment intervention program at any time prior to trial and the defendant rejected that offer on the record, then the court or the state attorney may deny the defendant's admission to such a program.

- 2. If the state attorney believes that the facts and circumstances of the case suggest the defendant's involvement in the dealing and selling of controlled substances, the court shall hold a preadmission hearing. If the state attorney establishes, by a preponderance of the evidence at such hearing, that the defendant was involved in the dealing or selling of controlled substances, the court shall deny the defendant's admission into a pretrial intervention program.
- (b) While enrolled in a pretrial intervention program authorized by this section, the participant is subject to a coordinated strategy developed by a drug court team under s. 397.334(2). The coordinated strategy must include a protocol of sanctions that may be imposed upon the participant. The protocol of sanctions must include as available options placement in a secure licensed clinical or jail-based treatment program or serving a period of incarceration for noncompliance with program rules within the limits established for contempt of court. The coordinated strategy must be provided in writing to the participant at the time the participant enters into a pretrial drug court program.
- $\underline{(c)}$ At the end of the pretrial intervention period, the court shall consider the recommendation of the administrator pursuant to subsection (5) and the recommendation of the state

attorney as to disposition of the pending charges. The court shall determine, by written finding, whether the defendant has successfully completed the pretrial intervention program.

- (c)1. If the court finds that the defendant has not successfully completed the pretrial intervention program, the court may order the person to continue in education and treatment, which may include secure licensed clinical or jailbased treatment programs, or order that the charges revert to normal channels for prosecution.
- 2. The court shall dismiss the charges upon a finding that the defendant has successfully completed the pretrial intervention program.
- (d) Any entity, whether public or private, providing a pretrial substance abuse education and treatment intervention program under this subsection must contract with the county or appropriate governmental entity, and the terms of the contract must include, but need not be limited to, the requirements established for private entities under s. 948.15(3).
- (7) The chief judge in each circuit may appoint an advisory committee for the pretrial intervention program composed of the chief judge or his or her designee, who shall serve as chair; the state attorney, the public defender, and the program administrator, or their designees; and such other persons as the chair deems appropriate. The advisory committee may not designate any defendant eligible for a pretrial intervention program for any offense that is not listed under paragraph (6)(a) without the state attorney's recommendation and approval. The committee may also include persons representing

any other agencies to which persons released to the pretrial intervention program may be referred.

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

749

- $\underline{(7)}$ (8) The department may contract for the services and facilities necessary to operate pretrial intervention programs.
- Section 10. Section 948.16, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 948.16 Misdemeanor pretrial substance abuse education and treatment intervention program.--
- (1)(a) A person who is charged with a misdemeanor for possession of a controlled substance or drug paraphernalia under chapter 893, and who has not previously been convicted of a felony nor been admitted to a pretrial program, is eligible for admission into a misdemeanor pretrial substance abuse education and treatment intervention program approved by the chief judge of the circuit, for a period based on the program requirements and the treatment plan for the offender, upon motion of either party or the court's own motion, except, if the state attorney believes the facts and circumstances of the case suggest the defendant is involved in dealing and selling controlled substances, the court shall hold a preadmission hearing. If the state attorney establishes, by a preponderance of the evidence at such hearing, that the defendant was involved in dealing or selling controlled substances, the court shall deny the defendant's admission into the pretrial intervention program.
- (b) While enrolled in a pretrial intervention program authorized by this section, the participant is subject to a coordinated strategy developed by a drug court team under s.

 397.334(2). The coordinated strategy must include a protocol of

sanctions that may be imposed upon the participant. The protocol of sanctions must include as available options placement in a secure licensed clinical or jail-based treatment program or serving a period of incarceration for noncompliance with program rules within the limits established for contempt of court. The coordinated strategy must be provided in writing to the participant at the time the participant enters into a pretrial drug court program.

- (2) At the end of the pretrial intervention period, the court shall consider the recommendation of the treatment program and the recommendation of the state attorney as to disposition of the pending charges. The court shall determine, by written finding, whether the defendant successfully completed the pretrial intervention program.
- (a) If the court finds that the defendant has not successfully completed the pretrial intervention program, the court may order the person to continue in education and treatment or return the charges to the criminal docket for prosecution.
- (b) The court shall dismiss the charges upon finding that the defendant has successfully completed the pretrial intervention program.
- (3) Any public or private entity providing a pretrial substance abuse education and treatment program under this section shall contract with the county or appropriate governmental entity. The terms of the contract shall include, but not be limited to, the requirements established for private entities under s. 948.15(3).

Section 11. Section 985.306, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

779

780

781

782

783

784

785

786

787

788

789

790

791

792

793

794

795

796

797

798

799

800

801802

803

804

805

806

985.306 Delinquency pretrial intervention program.--

(1)(a) Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, a child who is charged under chapter 893 with a felony of the second or third degree for purchase or possession of a controlled substance under chapter 893; tampering with evidence; solicitation for purchase of a controlled substance; or obtaining a prescription by fraud, and who has not previously been adjudicated for a felony nor been admitted to a delinquency pretrial intervention program under this section, is eligible for admission into a delinquency pretrial substance abuse education and treatment intervention program approved by the chief judge or alternative sanctions coordinator of the circuit to the extent that funded programs are available, for a period based on the program requirements and the treatment services that are suitable for the offender of not less than 1 year in duration, upon motion of either party or the court's own motion. If the state attorney believes that the facts and circumstances of the case suggest the child's involvement in the dealing and selling of controlled substances, the court shall hold a preadmission hearing. If the state attorney establishes by a preponderance of the evidence at such hearing that the child was involved in the dealing and selling of controlled substances, the court shall deny the child's admission into a delinquency pretrial intervention program.

(2) While enrolled in a delinquency pretrial intervention program authorized by this section, a child is subject to a

coordinated strategy developed by a drug court team under s. 397.334(2). The coordinated strategy must include a protocol of sanctions that may be imposed upon the child. The protocol of sanctions must include as available options placement in a secure licensed clinical facility or placement in a secure detention facility under s. 985.216 for noncompliance with program rules. The coordinated strategy must be provided in writing to the child at the time the child enters the pretrial drug court program.

- (3)(b) At the end of the delinquency pretrial intervention period, the court shall consider the recommendation of the state attorney and the program administrator as to disposition of the pending charges. The court shall determine, by written finding, whether the child has successfully completed the delinquency pretrial intervention program.
- (c)1. If the court finds that the child has not successfully completed the delinquency pretrial intervention program, the court may order the child to continue in an education, treatment, or urine monitoring program if resources and funding are available or order that the charges revert to normal channels for prosecution.
- 2. The court may dismiss the charges upon a finding that the child has successfully completed the delinquency pretrial intervention program.
- $\underline{(4)}$ (d) Any entity, whether public or private, providing pretrial substance abuse education, treatment intervention, and a urine monitoring program under this section must contract with the county or appropriate governmental entity, and the terms of

the contract must include, but need not be limited to, the requirements established for private entities under s. 948.15(3). It is the intent of the Legislature that public or private entities providing substance abuse education and treatment intervention programs involve the active participation of parents, schools, churches, businesses, law enforcement agencies, and the department or its contract providers.

(2) The chief judge in each circuit may appoint an advisory committee for the delinquency pretrial intervention program composed of the chief judge or designee, who shall serve as chair; the state attorney, the public defender, and the program administrator, or their designees; and such other persons as the chair deems appropriate. The committee may also include persons representing any other agencies to which children released to the delinquency pretrial intervention program may be referred.

Section 12. This act shall take effect upon becoming a law.