Bill No. HB 1865

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

CHAMBER ACTION

Senate House

.

.

Representative(s) Goodlette offered the following:

2

1

Amendment (with directory and title amendments)

(16) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide a

method by which the impacts of development on transportation

facilities can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the

public and private sectors. The methodology used to calculate a

proportionate fair-share mitigation under this subsection must

purpose of funding public facilities necessary to accommodate

any impacts having rational nexus to the proposed development

system of public facilities is reasonably attributable to the

when the need to construct new facilities or add to the present

ensure that development is assessed in a manner and for the

4 5

3

Remove line(s) 1939-1985 and insert:

6 7

9

10 11

12

1314

15

935843

4/30/2005 4:55:26 PM

proposed development.

Page 1 of 5

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

(a) In its concurrency management system, a local
government shall, by December 1, 2006, include methodologies
that will be applied to calculate proportionate fair-share
mitigation to satisfy transportation concurrency requirements
when the impacted road segments are specifically identified for
funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the
capital improvement element of the local plan or the long-term
concurrency management system. If a proportionate fair share
agreement or development order condition reflects mitigation to
a road segment or facility which is not on the 5-year schedule
of capital improvements at the time of approval, the local
government shall reflect such improvement in the 5-year schedule
of capital improvements at the next update of the capital
improvement element. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall
be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that
all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is
used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements
contemplated by the local government's impact fee ordinance.
The credit shall not apply to internal, onsite facilities
required by local regulations or to any offsite facilities to
the extent such facilities are necessary to provide safe and
adequate services to the development. The proportionate fair-
share share methodology shall be applicable to all development
contributing to the need for new or expanded public facilities.
By December 1, 2005, the Department of Transportation shall
develop a model transportation concurrency management ordinance

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

- with methodologies for assessing proportionate fair-share mitigation options.
- (b) A local government may also designate a transportation corridor, district, or area subject to the mitigation; may establish the methodology for determining proportionate fairshare mitigation for development impacts on transportation facilities within such corridor; and shall establish the methods by which such mitigation is calculated and applied to concurrency requirements in the concurrency management system and include the corridor, district, or area in the capital improvements element.
- (c) Proportionate fair-share mitigation includes, without limitation, separately or collectively, private funds, contributions of land, and construction and contribution of facilities and may include public funds as determined by the local government. The fair market value of the proportionate fair-share mitigation shall not differ based on the form of mitigation.
- (d) In order to avoid reaching concurrency problems, a local government may impose proportionate fair-share mitigation adopted under this subsection on a transportation facility regardless of whether it meets or fails to meet the established levels of service.
- (e) The developer and local government shall enter into a development agreement or other legally binding agreement or the development order must contain a condition which evidences the commitment to provide for proportionate fair-share mitigation as

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

- authorized under paragraph (a) or paragraph (b). Approval of such agreement shall not be unreasonably withheld. Any dispute over such agreement shall be resolved through mediation or other alternative dispute resolution. Upon execution of such an agreement, concurrency shall be deemed satisfied for the local government development order authorizing the development and no further concurrency proportionate fair-share mitigation may be assessed for such authorized development.
- (f) Nothing in this subsection limits the home rule authority of a local government to enter into a public-private partnership or funding agreement to provide or govern the provision of essential infrastructure deemed necessary by the local government payable from available taxes, fees, special assessments or developer contributions.
- (g) Mitigation for development impacts to facilities on the Strategic Intermodal System made pursuant to this subsection requires the concurrence of the Department of Transportation.

 However, this does not authorize the Department of Transportation to arbitrarily charge a fee or require additional mitigation. Concurrence by the Department of Transportation may not be withheld unduly.

are amended, and subsection (16) is added to said

======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========

4/30/2005 4:55:26 PM

HOUSE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 1865

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

Remove line(s) 92-103 and insert:

legislative intent relating to mitigation of development on transportation facilities; requiring local governments to include proportionate share mitigation calculation methodologies in concurrency management systems; providing requirements; and criteria; authorizing local governments to designate a transportation corridor, district, or area subject to mitigation; providing for proportionate fair-share mitigation; requiring developers and local governments to enter into development agreements or commit to provide for proportionate fair-share mitigation; providing requirements; protecting certain home rule authority; requiring concurrence of the Department of Transportation; limiting the authority of the department;

4/30/2005 4:55:26 PM