Bill No. <u>CS for SB 2562</u>

	CHAMBER ACTION <u>Senate</u> <u>House</u>
1	15/AD/2R
1 2	05/03/2005 03:46 PM .
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Senator Webster moved the following amendment:
12	
13	Senate Amendment
14	On page 15, line 28, through page 17, line 24, delete
15	those lines
16	
17	and insert:
18	(3) A person who is a smoker may not file or maintain
19	a civil action alleging an asbestos claim which is based upon
20	cancer of the lung, larynx, pharynx, or esophagus in the
21	absence of a prima facie showing that includes all of the
22	following requirements:
23	(a) A diagnosis by a qualified physician who is
24	board-certified in pathology, pulmonary medicine, or oncology,
25	as appropriate for the type of cancer claimed, of a primary
26	cancer of the lung, larynx, pharynx, or esophagus, and that
27	exposure to asbestos was a substantial contributing factor to
28	the condition.
29	(b) Evidence sufficient to demonstrate that at least
30	10 years have elapsed between the date of first exposure to
31	asbestos and the date of diagnosis of the cancer. 1
	10:57 PM 05/02/05 s2562.09ju.p01

Florida Senate - 2005

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 2562</u>

1	(c) Radiological or pathological evidence of
2	asbestosis or diffuse pleural thickening or a qualified
3	physician's diagnosis of asbestosis based on a chest x-ray
4	graded by a certified B-reader as at least 1/0 on the ILO
5	scale and high-resolution computed tomography supporting the
6	diagnosis of asbestosis to a reasonable degree of medical
7	certainty.
8	(d) Evidence of the exposed person's substantial
9	occupational exposure to asbestos. If a plaintiff files a
10	civil action alleging an asbestos-related claim based on
11	cancer of the lung, larynx, pharynx, or esophagus, and that
12	plaintiff alleges that his or her exposure to asbestos was the
13	result of extended contact with another exposed person who, if
14	the civil action had been filed by the other exposed person,
15	would have met the substantial occupational exposure
16	requirement of this subsection, and the plaintiff alleges that
17	he or she had extended contact with the exposed person during
18	the time period in which that exposed person met the
19	substantial occupational exposure requirement of this
20	subsection, the plaintiff has satisfied the requirements of
21	this paragraph. The plaintiff in such a civil action must
22	individually satisfy the requirements of this subsection.
23	(e) If the exposed person is deceased, the qualified
24	physician, or someone working under the direct supervision and
25	control of a qualified physician, may obtain the evidence
26	required in paragraph (b) and paragraph (d) from the person
27	most knowledgeable about the alleged exposures that form the
28	basis of the asbestos claim.
29	(f) A conclusion by a qualified physician that the
30	exposed person's medical findings and impairment were not more
31	probably the result of causes other than the asbestos exposure
	10:57 PM 05/02/05 s2562.09ju.p01

Florida Senate - 2005

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 2562</u>

1	revealed by the exposed person's employment and medical
2	history. A conclusion that the medical findings and impairment
3	are "consistent with" or "compatible with" exposure to
4	asbestos does not meet the requirements of this subsection.
5	(4) In a civil action alleging an asbestos claim by a
б	nonsmoker based on cancer of the lung, larynx, pharynx, or
7	esophagus, a prima facie showing of an impairment due to
8	asbestos exposure is not required.
9	(5) A person may not file or maintain a civil action
10	alleging an asbestos claim which is based on cancer of the
11	colon, rectum, or stomach in the absence of a prima facie
12	showing that includes all of the following requirements:
13	(a) A diagnosis by a qualified physician who is
14	board-certified in pathology, pulmonary medicine, or oncology,
15	as appropriate for the type of cancer claimed, of cancer of
16	the colon, rectum, or stomach, and that exposure to asbestos
17	was a substantial contributing factor to the condition.
18	(b) Evidence sufficient to demonstrate that at least
19	10 years have elapsed between the date of first exposure to
20	asbestos and the date of diagnosis of the cancer.
21	(c)1.a. Radiological or pathological evidence of
22	asbestosis or diffuse pleural thickening or a qualified
23	physician's diagnosis of asbestosis based on a chest x-ray
24	graded by a certified B-reader as at least $1/0$ on the ILO
25	scale and high-resolution computed tomography supporting the
26	diagnosis of asbestosis to a reasonable degree of medical
27	<u>certainty; or</u>
28	b. Evidence of the exposed person's substantial
29	occupational exposure to asbestos. If a plaintiff files a
30	civil action alleging an asbestos-related claim based on
31	cancer of the colon, rectum, or stomach, and that plaintiff
	10:57 PM 05/02/05 s2562.09ju.p01

Florida Senate - 2005

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 2562</u>

1	alleges that his or her exposure to asbestos was the result of
2	extended contact with another exposed person who, if the civil
3	action had been filed by the other exposed person, would have
4	met the substantial occupational exposure requirement of this
5	subsection, and the plaintiff alleges that he or she had
6	extended contact with the exposed person during the time
7	period in which that exposed person met the substantial
8	occupational exposure requirement of this subsection, the
9	plaintiff has satisfied the requirements of this
10	sub-subparagraph. The plaintiff in such a civil action must
11	individually satisfy the requirements of this subsection.
12	2. In the case of an exposed person who is a smoker,
13	the criteria in sub-subparagraphs 1.a. and b. must be met.
14	3. If the exposed person is deceased, the qualified
15	physician, or someone working under the direct supervision and
16	control of a qualified physician, may obtain the evidence
17	required in sub-subparagraph 1.b. and paragraph (b) from the
18	person most knowledgeable about the alleged exposures that
19	form the basis of the asbestos claim.
20	(d) A conclusion by a qualified physician that the
21	exposed person's medical findings and impairment were not more
22	probably the result of causes other than the asbestos exposure
23	revealed by the exposed person's employment and medical
24	history. A conclusion that the medical findings and impairment
25	are "consistent with" or "compatible with" exposure to
26	asbestos does not meet the requirements of this subsection.
27	(6) In a civil action alleging an asbestos claim based
28	upon mesothelioma a prima facie showing of an impairment due
29	to asbestos exposure is not required.
30	
31	(Redesignate subsequent subsections.)
	10:57 PM 05/02/05 s2562.09ju.p01