Florida Senate - 2005

Bill No. CS for CS for CS for SB 360, 1st Eng.

Barcode 433086

CHAMBER ACTION		
i	<u>Senate</u> .	<u>House</u>
1	1 6/AD/3R .	
2	05/04/2005 07:37 PM . 2	
3	3	
4		
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
10	0	
11	Senator Bennett moved the following amendment:	
12	2	
13	Senate Amendment (with title amendment)	
14	4 On page 133, between lines 22 an	d 23,
15	5	
16	6 insert:	
17	Section 30. In any challenge filed regarding the	
18	validity of an impact fee, the local government imposing the	
19	fee has the burden of proving, by a preponderance of the	
20	evidence, that the fee is directly proportional to the need	
21	1 created by the development for which th	e fee is assessed, that
22	2 the fee is based upon the actual cost of	f any capital
23	3 <u>improvements for which the fee will be</u>	expended less all
24	4 <u>credits to which the fee payer is entit</u>	led, and that the
25	5 <u>capital expenditures paid for by the im</u>	pact fee provide a
26	6 direct benefit to the property upon whi	ch the fee is imposed.
27	7	
28	8	
29	(Redesignate subsequent sections.)	
30	0	
31	1	
	12:13 PM 05/03/05	s0360e1c-21-1m9

Florida Senate - 2005 SENATOR AMENDMENT Bill No. CS for CS for CS for SB 360, 1st Eng. Barcode 433086 1 | ======== TITLE AMENDMENT ========== And the title is amended as follows: On page 6, line 13, after the semicolon, insert: б specifying the evidentiary standard a local government must meet when defending a challenge to an ordinance establishing an impact fee; 12:13 PM 05/03/05 s0360e1c-21-1m9