

# SENATE STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

---

Prepared By: Agriculture Committee

---

BILL: SB 556

SPONSOR: Senator Peaden

SUBJECT: Documentary Stamp Tax

DATE: January 25, 2005

REVISED: \_\_\_\_\_

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	<u>Weidenbenner</u>	<u>Poole</u>	<u>AG</u>	<b>Favorable</b>
2.	_____	_____	<u>GE</u>	_____
3.	_____	_____	<u>WM</u>	_____
4.	_____	_____	<u>RC</u>	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____

---

## I. Summary:

Senate Bill 1386 would eliminate the excise tax on documents, currently payable at the rate of 35 cents on each \$100, on debt obligations of agricultural producers to the federal Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC). The CCC is a federal corporation within the United States Department of Agriculture that was created to stabilize, support, and protect farm income and prices and to help maintain a balanced and adequate supply of agricultural commodities.

This bill substantially amends section 201.08 of the Florida Statutes.

## II. Present Situation:

The Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) is a federal corporation within the United States Department of Agriculture that was created in 1933 to stabilize, support, and protect farm income and prices and to help maintain a balanced and adequate supply of agricultural commodities. The CCC aids producers of crops, such as cotton, peanuts, sugar, corn, honey, soya, and wheat, who participate under the program through loans, purchases, and payment programs. If the producer does not redeem the commodity by loan repayment time, CCC takes title and possession, without recourse. This means that the producers have the option of either repaying the principal and interest or forfeiting the commodity to the CCC in full settlement of the loan.

Section 201.08, F.S., currently requires that a tax be paid on promissory notes, nonnegotiable notes, and written obligations at the rate of 35 cents on each \$100 or fraction thereof, with a maximum tax of \$2,450 per document. An identical tax is due on mortgages or other security instruments except that there is no cap. If there is both a debt instrument and a security instrument, the tax must be paid on the security instrument with a notation being made on the

debt instrument to that effect. The only exclusion is for debts on student loans. Accordingly, CCC loans are taxed in the same manner as all other loans.

### III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

**Section 1.** Amends s. 201.08, F.S., to exempt agriculture producers of certain commodities in this state from paying documentary stamp tax on notes or debt obligations and related security instruments to the Commodity Credit Corporation.

**Section 2.** Provides that this act shall take effect upon becoming law.

### IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues:

Proponents of the bill argue that the Commodity Credit Corporation, as a governmental corporation, is exempt from taxation by the federal, state, or local government. They take the position that the transaction was tantamount to shifting the tax burden to the borrower from the government, but it is still unconstitutional. The proponents rely upon the U.S. Supreme Court case of *Federal Land Bank of New Orleans v. Crosland*, 261 U.S. 374, (U.S. Supreme Ct. 1923). In this case, the court held that states could not tax mortgages executed to Federal Land Banks as the Federal Farm Loan Act of July 17, 1916 deemed such mortgages to be instrumentalities of the government. The proponents acknowledge that the Florida Supreme Court considered the foregoing case in *Plymouth Citrus Growers Ass'n v. Lee*, 27 So.2d 415 (Florida 1946). In *Plymouth*, it was contended that a note under the Farm Credit Act of 1933 was exempt because it was an instrumentality of the United States. The Florida Supreme Court examined the terms of the Farm Credit Act and the ruling in *Crosland* and found nothing to support the position that such notes should be exempt or that the Federal Law forbids such a tax. There does not appear to be any different judicial guidance for CCC loans made in the state of Florida at this time.

**V. Economic Impact and Fiscal Note:**

**A. Tax/Fee Issues:**

When it last reviewed this subject in 2004, the Revenue Estimating Conference projected that this documentary stamp exemption would result in a loss of \$300,000 in General Revenue and \$100,000 in state trust fund revenues on an annualized basis for FY 2004-05 and FY 2005-06. . The estimating conference anticipates that it will review the proposed exemption before this bill is considered in the second committee of reference and this note will be updated if there is any change.

**B. Private Sector Impact:**

Agricultural producers that obtain CCC loans would benefit from the tax exemption on these transactions.

**C. Government Sector Impact:**

For the five year period ending in 2004, data from the Farm Service Agency, which administers the CCC loans shows that 4,308 CCC loans were made in the total dollar amount of \$613.8 million which had a tax impact of \$2,148,300. A 2004 Loan Summary County Report prepared by the Farm Service Agency shows that loans for 2004 were made to the following producers:

<b>Commodity</b>	<b>Number of Loans</b>	<b>Amount of Loans</b>	<b>Documentary Stamp Tax Paid</b>
Peanut	1181	\$55,263,394	\$193,422
Upland cotton	48	786,254	2,752
Honey	12	120,657	422
Sugar cane	9	79,297,000	277,540
Soya	9	224,781	786
Corn	3	34,408	120
Wheat	3	86138	301
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,265</b>	<b>\$135,812,632</b>	<b>\$475,343</b>

**VI. Technical Deficiencies:**

None.

**VII. Related Issues:**

None.



## **VIII. Summary of Amendments:**

---

This Senate staff analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's sponsor or the Florida Senate.

---