HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 853 **SPONSOR(S)**: Reagan Motor Vehicle Lease Agreements

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS: SB 1356

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Agriculture Committee	8 Y, 0 N	Smith	Reese
2) State Resources Council	_		
3)	_		
4)	_		
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Current Florida law requires retail lessors to provide a lessee with a copy of each document signed by the lessee during the course of an automobile lease transaction. The bill would require the retail lessor to provide only a copy of the signed agreement.

The bill appears to have no impact on state or local government.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0853b.AG.doc 3/16/2005

DATE:

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Safeguard Individual Liberty – The bill decreases the obligation motor vehicle lessors have to provide copies of all signed documents to lessees

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

PRESENT SITUATION

State Law and Rules

Under the Florida Motor Vehicle Lease Disclosure Act (Act), retail lessors are obligated to provide the lessee with a copy of each document signed by the lessee during the course of the lease transaction.¹ A lease transaction is considered to be the presentation made to the lessee concerning the motor vehicle, including a sales presentation or a document presented to the retail lessee, resulting in the execution of a lease agreement.² The lease agreement itself is the written agreement between lessor and lessee, stipulating a minimum lease of 4 months or more, regardless if there is an option to later buy the vehicle after the lease expires.3 A lease agreement does not include the transactions or contracts expressly intended for the sale of a motor vehicle as governed by the Motor Vehicle Retail Sales Finance Act.4

Florida law requires a disclosure clause as part of a lease agreement. The agreement must specify to the lessee that the document is, in fact, a lease and not sale. The clause must also inform the lessee of their entitlement to be provided with a signed copy of the lease agreement.⁵ Specific language for the clause is set forth in statutes; however other required disclosure statements may be listed elsewhere in the lease agreement.6

Chapter 520 governs the different sales contracts. Section 520.07 (c), F.S., requires the seller to provide a copy of the contract to the buyer, as well as all subsequent information required by law. ⁷ The statute is silent in respect to disclosure of other documents, other than documents involving financing of the motor vehicle sale.8

The Act does not supersede any laws or rules under the Federal Truth in Lending Act or the Uniform Commercial Code of Florida (UCC). Federal codes exempt states from the provisions of lease transactions if state law is considered "substantially similar" and a state has adequate provisions for enforcement.¹⁰

Any retail lessor that fails to comply with this Act is liable for damages sustained to the lessee as well as up to a \$1000 civil penalty per lease transaction. Both the lessee as well as the Department of Legal Affairs, acting in behalf of a consumer, may bring an action for any damages sustained. An

STORAGE NAME:

s. 521.004 (2), F.S.

s. 521.003 (5), F.S.

s. 521.003 (4), F.S.

⁴ s. 521.003 (4), F.S.

⁵ s. 521.004 (1), F.S.

⁶ s. 521.004 (1), F.S.

⁷ s. 520.07 (b) (2b.), F.S.

⁸ s. 520.34 (b) (2b.), F.S.

⁹ s. 521.004 (2), F.S.

¹⁰ 15 USC 1633

action must be brought within 1 year of the lease expiration, and any bona fide typographic error on a lease agreement does not constitute a violation.¹¹

Lease transactions between dealers and customers generally include the signing and disclosure of a variety of documents. Such documents include: the lease agreement, any service or maintenance plans, any cosigned documents for minor customers, title and tag documentation, and Florida lemon law disclosure documents.¹² Copies of other federally required documentation, such as odometer readings, must be given to the lessee.¹³

Under the Florida Deceptive and Unfair Trade Practices Act, limitations on a dealer entering into a lease agreement concerning signed sales and lease documentation include but are not limited to:¹⁴

- Misrepresent directly or indirectly that a vehicle is a factory executive vehicle unless it was purchased directly form the factory.
- Misrepresent directly or indirectly that a vehicle is a demonstrator unless it complies with the definition of a demonstrator
- Misrepresent the quality of care of the vehicle, regardless of service
- Misrepresent orally or in writing that a vehicle has not sustained damages unless otherwise able to be proved.
- Fail to fully disclose the terms of any warranties that the dealer and customer share.
- Fail to honor a warranty unless properly disclaimed
- Misrepresent warranty coverage
- Obtain signatures from a customer on contracts that are not fully completed or misrepresent the negotiation.
- Alter or change the odometer mileage of a vehicle.

EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES

The bill would require retail a lessor to provide the lessee only with a copy of the signed lease document during the course of a lease transaction or agreement.

\sim	C = C		DIL		$F \cap D V$
U.	SEU	ION	יווט	ベニしょ	TORY:

Section 1: Amends s. 521.004 (2), F.S., requiring retail lessors to provide retail

lessee with a copy of the lease agreement.

Section 2: Provides an effective date.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h0853b.AG.doc 3/16/2005

s. 521.006, F.S

¹² Personal Communication, Finance Department, University Toyota, Tallahassee, Florida

¹³ Personal Communication, Finance Department, University Toyota, Tallahassee, Florida

¹⁴ s. 501.976, F.S.

The Department of Legal Affairs may incur costs of bringing an action against a lessor on behalf of a lessee.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

None

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

Not applicable because this bill does not appear to: require the counties or cities to spend funds or take an action requiring the expenditure of funds; reduce the authority that cities or counties have to raise revenues in the aggregate; or reduce the percentage of a state tax shared with cities or counties.

2. Other:

None

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

STORAGE NAME: DATE:

h0853b.AG.doc 3/16/2005