

## HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

**BILL #:** HB 1015 CS                      Agricultural Economic Development  
**SPONSOR(S):** Pickens and others  
**TIED BILLS:**                                **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** SB 1880

---

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) <u>Agriculture Committee</u>	<u>9 Y, 0 N, w/CS</u>	<u>Kaiser</u>	<u>Reese</u>
2) <u>Agriculture &amp; Environment Appropriations Committee</u>	<u>11 Y, 0 N, w/CS</u>	<u>Davis</u>	<u>Dixon</u>
3) <u>State Resources Council</u>	<u>7 Y, 0 N, w/CS</u>	<u>Kaiser</u>	<u>Hamby</u>
4) _____	_____	_____	_____
5) _____	_____	_____	_____

---

### SUMMARY ANALYSIS

HB 1015 CS reduces the notice period from 180 days to 90 days for property classified as agricultural under the Bert Harris Private Property Rights Protection Act.

The bill establishes an "agricultural enclave" designation and authorizes the landowners of such to apply for a comprehensive plan amendment (CPA) that includes land uses and intensities of use consistent with uses and intensities of use of surrounding industrial, commercial, or residential uses. The bill provides that each application for a comprehensive plan amendment, for a parcel larger than 640 acres, must include appropriate new urbanism concepts to discourage urban sprawl while protecting landowner rights. The bill stipulates the property must meet Greenbelt criteria, have been in agricultural production for the past five years and meet additional criteria. An agricultural enclave may not exceed 2,560 acres, unless the property is surrounded by existing or authorized residential development that will result in a build out density of at least 1,000 residents per square mile, in which case it should be determined urban and may not exceed 5,120 acres. The bill exempts the CPA from certain rules of the Department of Community Affairs (DCA) relating to urban sprawl.

The bill provides for good faith negotiations between the local government and landowner, with certain criteria to be met regarding the negotiations. Upon completion of negotiations, regardless of the outcome, the CPA must be transmitted to the DCA for review at the first available transmittal cycle. The bill forbids the DCA from using certain rules relating to urban sprawl as a factor in determining compliance of a CPA. If the landowner fails to negotiate in good faith, all DCA rules relating to urban sprawl apply to the CPA. The bill states, "Nothing relating to amendments to local comprehensive plans in regards to agricultural enclaves shall preempt or replace any protection currently existing for any property located within the boundaries of the Wekiva Study Area or the Everglades Protection Area."

The bill provides economic protection to an agricultural lessee when property for which an agricultural lease exists is purchased by the state or an agency of the state. The bill requires the purchasing agency to allow the lease to remain in full force for the remainder of the lease term. Where consistent with the purposes for which the property was acquired, the purchasing agency must make reasonable efforts to keep lands in agricultural production which are in agricultural production at the time of the purchase.

The bill establishes in law that agricultural self-supplied water users have limitations on their ability to develop alternative water supplies. Furthermore, the bill requires water management districts to notify agricultural applicants for consumptive use permits of the right to apply for permits valid for 20 years.

By July 1, 2007, the bill requires each water management district to enter into a memorandum of agreement (MOA) with the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (DACCS) to determine whether an existing or proposed activity qualifies for the agricultural wetlands exemptions set forth in law.

The bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact requiring new state expenditures. The effective date of this legislation is upon becoming law.

**This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.**

**STORAGE NAME:** h1015e.SRC.doc  
**DATE:** 4/11/2006

## FULL ANALYSIS

### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

**Safeguard individual liberty:** The bill creates a process for owners of agricultural enclaves to request comprehensive plan amendments allowing land uses and intensities of use consistent with uses and intensities of use of surrounding industrial, commercial, or residential uses. The bill provides economic protection to an agricultural lessee when property for which an agricultural lease exists is purchased by the state or an agency of the state. Additionally, the bill reduces the notice period from 180 days to 90 days for property classified as agricultural under the Bert Harris Private Property Rights Protection Act.

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

##### **Bert Harris Private Property Rights Protection Act**

Currently, s. 70.001, F.S., sets forth the Bert Harris Act, which provides relief to property owners in instances where a specific action of a governmental entity has inordinately burdened the use of real property under circumstances that do not amount to a taking but result in the owner being permanently unable to attain the reasonable investment-backed expectation for the property. A 180-day time period is required between filing of a claim and the filing of an action to allow the government to make a written settlement offer. There is no special treatment for agricultural land which has been rezoned or subjected to a designation which lowers residential density. The bill reduces the time period from 180 days to 90 days.

##### **Agricultural Enclaves**

The Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act of 1985 (act)<sup>1</sup> establishes a growth management system in Florida which requires each local government (or combination of local governments) to adopt a plan, capital improvements, and an intergovernmental coordination element. The local government comprehensive plan is intended to be the policy document guiding local governments in land use decision-making. Section 163.3184, F.S., sets forth certain requirements that must be met in the adoption of a comprehensive plan or plan amendment. The act contains a special designation and specific provisions relating to an urban infill and redevelopment area. However, there is neither a designation of property as an “agricultural enclave” nor any special provisions pertaining to such an area.

The bill establishes an “agricultural enclave” designation and authorizes the landowners of such to apply for a comprehensive plan amendment (CPA) that includes land uses and intensities of use consistent with uses and intensities of use of surrounding industrial, commercial, or residential uses. Each application for a comprehensive plan amendment, for a parcel larger than 640 acres, must include appropriate new urbanism concepts such as clustering, mixed-use development, the creation of rural village and city centers, and the transfer of development rights in order to discourage urban sprawl while protecting landowner rights. The property must meet Greenbelt criteria and have been in agricultural production for the past five years. An agricultural enclave is defined as an unincorporated, undeveloped parcel that:

- Is owned by a single person or entity;
- Has been in continuous use for bona fide agricultural purposes, as defined by statute<sup>2</sup> for a period of 5 years prior to the date of any comprehensive plan amendment application;
- Is surrounded on at least 75 percent of its perimeter by:
  - Property that has existing industrial, commercial, or residential development; or

---

<sup>1</sup> ss. 163.3161-163.3244, F.S.

<sup>2</sup> s. 193.461, F.S.

- Property that the local government has designated, in the local government's comprehensive plan, zoning map, and future land use map, as land that is to be developed for industrial, commercial, or residential purposes, and at least 75 percent of such property is existing industrial, commercial, or residential development;
- Has public services, including water, wastewater, transportation, schools, and recreation facilities, available or such public services are scheduled in the capital improvement element to be provided by the local government or can be provided by an alternative provider of local government infrastructure consistent with applicable concurrency provisions of s. 163.3180, F.S.; and
- Does not exceed 2,560 acres, however, if the property is surrounded by existing or authorized residential development that will result in a build out density of at least 1,000 residents per square mile, the parcel shall be determined urban and may not exceed 5,120 acres.

The bill provides for good faith negotiations between the local government and landowner. The negotiation period is set for 180 days following the date the local government receives a complete application for a CPA. The bill requires, within 30 days of receipt by the local government of the complete application, for the local government and landowner to agree, in writing, to a schedule for information submittal, public hearings, negotiations, and final action on the CPA. This schedule may only be changed with the written consent of the local government and the landowner. Compliance with the schedule in written agreement constitutes good faith negotiations.

Upon completion of negotiations, regardless of the outcome, the CPA must be transmitted to the DCA for review at the first available transmittal cycle. The bill forbids the DCA from using certain rules relating to urban sprawl as a factor in determining compliance of a CPA.<sup>3</sup> If the landowner fails to negotiate in good faith, all rules of the DCA relating to urban sprawl apply to the CPA.

The bill states, "Nothing relating to amendments to local comprehensive plans in regards to agricultural enclaves shall preempt or replace any protection currently existing for any property located within the boundaries of the Wekiva Study Area or the Everglades Protection Area."

### **Land Acquisition**

Chapter 259, F.S., is entitled "Land Acquisitions for Conservation and Recreation," and contains Florida's nationally recognized land acquisition programs:

- Conservation and Recreation Lands (CARL),
- Preservation 2000 (P2000), and
- Florida Forever.

The CARL program was created by the Legislature in 1979 to acquire and manage public lands and to conserve and protect environmentally unique and irreplaceable lands and lands of critical state concern. Documentary stamp tax revenues were deposited into the CARL Trust Fund to accomplish the program's purchases. The CARL program was replaced by the P2000 and Florida Forever program. Today, the CARL Trust Fund still receives documentary stamp tax and phosphate severance tax revenue which is used to manage conservation and recreation lands. However, it is not to be used for land acquisition without explicit permission from the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvements Trust Fund.

The P2000 program was created in 1990 as a \$3 billion land acquisition program funded through the annual sales of bonds. Each year for 10 years, the majority of \$300 million in bond proceeds, less the cost of issuance, was distributed to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) for the purchase of environmental lands on the CARL list, the five water management districts for the purchase of water management lands, and the Department of Community Affairs for land acquisition loans and grants to local governments under the Florida Communities Trust Program. The Division of Forestry at the

<sup>3</sup> *Id.*

Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (DACS) received P2000 funds as one of the smaller state acquisition programs.

The Florida Forever program was enacted by the Legislature in 1999 as a successor program to P2000. Florida Forever authorizes the issuance of not more than \$3 billion in bonds over a 10-year period for land acquisition, water resource development projects, the preservation and restoration of open space and greenways, and for outdoor recreation purposes. Until the Florida Forever program was established, the title to lands purchased under the state's acquisition programs vested in the Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund. Under Florida Forever, the Legislature provided public land acquisition agencies with authority to purchase eligible properties using alternatives to fee simple acquisitions. These "less than fee" acquisitions are one method of allowing agricultural lands to remain in production while preventing development on those lands. Public land acquisition agencies with remaining P2000 funds were also encouraged to pursue "less than fee" acquisitions.

The bill provides economic protection to an agricultural lessee when property, which has an agricultural lease, is purchased by the state or an agency of the state. The bill requires the purchasing agent to allow the lease to remain in full force for the remainder of the lease term. In addition, where consistent with the purposes for which the property was acquired, the purchasing agent must make reasonable efforts to keep in agricultural production lands which are in agricultural production at the time of purchase.

### **Regional Water Supply Planning**

In the mid-1990's, when it became apparent that chief groundwater sources may not be sufficient to sustain Florida's population, the five water management districts were charged with developing regional water supply plans. Florida law<sup>4</sup> requires the plan to be conducted in an open public process, in coordination and cooperation with local governments, regional water supply authorities, government-owned and privately-owned water utilities, multijurisdictional water supply entities, self-suppliers, and other affected and interested parties.

The bill establishes that agricultural self-supplied water users have limitations on their ability to develop alternative water supplies.

### **Consumptive Use Permits**

Water use permits can be issued to non-government individuals or entities for a period of up to 20 years, but some applicants are not aware that they may request a 20-year permit for renewals as well as the initial permit. The bill requires water management districts to notify agricultural applicants for consumptive use permits of their right to apply for permits valid for 20 years.

### **Memorandum of Agreement for Agricultural Related Exemption**

Section 373.406(2), F.S., provides an exemption to persons engaged in the occupation of agriculture, silviculture, floriculture, or horticulture to alter the topography of any tract of land for purposes consistent with the practice of such occupation. The law further states such alteration may not be for the sole or predominant purpose of impounding or obstructing surface waters.

The bill establishes a process by which each water management district enters into a memorandum of agreement (MOA) with the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (DACS) to determine whether an existing or proposed activity qualifies for the agricultural wetlands exemption set forth in s. 373.406(2), F.S.

## **C. SECTION DIRECTORY:**

**Section 1:** Amends s. 70.001, F.S.; amending notice period for filing action.

---

<sup>4</sup> s. 373.0361, F.S.

**Section 2:** Amends s. 163.3162, F.S.; providing for owner of land classified as an agricultural enclave to apply for an amendment to the comprehensive plan; providing requirements relating to applications; and, exempting certain amendments from specific rules of the Department of Community Affairs under certain circumstances.

**Section 3:** Amends s. 163.3164, F.S.; providing a definition for agricultural enclave.

**Section 4:** Creates s. 259.047, F.S.; providing requirements relating to purchase of land on which an agricultural lease exists.

**Section 5:** Amending s. 373.0361, F.S.; recognizing that water source options for agricultural self-suppliers are limited.

**Section 6:** Amending s. 373.2234, F.S.; correcting a cross reference.

**Section 7:** Amending s. 373.236, F.S.; requiring water management districts to inform landowners of the option to obtain certain consumptive use permits.

**Section 8:** Amending s. 373.407, F.S.; providing for memoranda of agreement regarding qualification for agricultural-related exemptions.

**Section 9:** Providing an effective date of upon becoming law.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

#### 1. Revenues:

Indeterminate. See fiscal comments below.

#### 2. Expenditures:

See fiscal comments below.

### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

#### 1. Revenues:

None

#### 2. Expenditures:

Indeterminate. It is unknown whether this bill will require local government expenditures.

### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

Not discernable

### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

According to the Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services (DACS), this bill should have no significant impact on the Division of Forestry. Some revenue would be received from existing agricultural production leases when that land is acquired as a state forest. The actual revenue cannot be determined at this time as it is not known what existing agricultural leases will be a part of future state forest acquisitions.

Section 8 of the bill addresses the development of a memorandum of agreement between DACS and each water management district in which DACS would conduct a review to determine exemptions from existing statute. DACS states that this review, involving the Office of Water Policy, would have no fiscal impact.

### III. COMMENTS

#### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

##### 1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

It is not known whether this bill will require counties or municipalities to take action requiring the expenditure of funds. It does not appear to reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate or appear to reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

##### 2. Other:

None

#### B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None

#### C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

As currently drafted, section 163.3162(5)(c), F.S., dealing with the preemption for property located within the boundaries of the Wekiva Study Area or the Everglades Protection Area, is ambiguous as to legislative intent.

### IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On March 22, 2006, the Committee on Agriculture adopted two amendments to HB 1015. **Amendment 1** amended the procedure for applying for a comprehensive plan amendment, which is now the same for anyone seeking to establish an agricultural enclave. **Amendment 2** amended the definition of an "agricultural enclave" to have only one acreage designation rather than two.

On April 4, 2006, the Agriculture and Environment Appropriations Committee adopted one amendment revising the definition of an agricultural enclave to comport with the U.S. Census Bureau's and Department of Community Affairs' language defining urban.

On April 10, 2006, the State Resources Council adopted four amendments to HB 1015. **Amendment 1** requires applications for comprehensive plan amendments for parcels larger than 640 acres to include appropriate new urbanism concepts to discourage urban sprawl while protecting landowner rights. **Amendment 2** clarifies that applications must be complete before negotiations may begin. **Amendment 3** clarifies the requirements relating to public services available in defining an agricultural enclave. **Amendment 4** clarifies that property is surrounded by existing or authorized residential development in defining an agricultural enclave.