Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

	CHAMBER ACTION <u>Senate</u> <u>House</u>
1	Comm: RCS
2	03/27/2006 06:16 PM
3	
4	·
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	The Committee on Environmental Preservation (Baker)
12	recommended the following amendment:
13	
14	Senate Amendment (with title amendment)
15	Delete everything after the enacting clause
16	
17	and insert:
18	Section 1. Paragraph (g) of subsection (6) of section
19	163.3177, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
20	163.3177 Required and optional elements of
21	comprehensive plan; studies and surveys
22	(6) In addition to the requirements of subsections
23	(1)-(5) and (12) , the comprehensive plan shall include the
24	following elements:
25	(g) <u>1.</u> For those units of local government identified
26	in s. 380.24, a coastal management element, appropriately
27	related to the particular requirements of paragraphs (d) and
28	(e) and meeting the requirements of s. $163.3178(2)$ and (3) .
29	The coastal management element shall set forth the policies
30	that shall guide the local government's decisions and program
31	implementation with respect to the following objectives:
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	<u>a.1. Maintenance, restoration, and enhancement of the</u>
2	overall quality of the coastal zone environment, including,
3	but not limited to, its amenities and aesthetic values.
4	<u>b.</u> 2. Continued existence of viable populations of all
5	species of wildlife and marine life.
6	c.3. The orderly and balanced utilization and
7	preservation, consistent with sound conservation principles,
8	of all living and nonliving coastal zone resources.
9	<u>d.</u> 4. Avoidance of irreversible and irretrievable loss
10	of coastal zone resources.
11	e.5. Ecological planning principles and assumptions to
12	be used in the determination of suitability and extent of
13	permitted development.
14	f.6. Proposed management and regulatory techniques.
15	g.7. Limitation of public expenditures that subsidize
16	development in high-hazard coastal areas.
17	<u>h.</u> 8. Protection of human life against the effects of
18	natural disasters.
19	<u>i.</u> 9. The orderly development, maintenance, and use of
20	ports identified in s. 403.021(9) to facilitate deepwater
21	commercial navigation and other related activities.
22	<u>j.</u> 10. Preservation, including sensitive adaptive use
23	of historic and archaeological resources.
24	2. As part of this element, affected local governments
25	are encouraged to adopt a boating facility siting plan or
26	policy that includes applicable criteria and considers such
27	factors as natural resources, manatee protection needs, and
28	recreation and economic demands as generally outlined in the
29	Boat Facility Siting Guide dated August 2000 and prepared by
30	the Bureau of Protected Species Management of the Florida Fish
31	and Wildlife Conservation Commission. A comprehensive plan
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 that adopts a boating facility siting plan or policy is exempt from the provisions of s. 163.3187(1). Local governments that 2 wish to adopt a boating facility siting plan or policy may be 3 4 eligible for assistance with the development of a plan or policy through the Florida Coastal Management Program. 5 Section 2. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2) of section 6 7 163.3180, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 163.3180 Concurrency.--8 9 (2) (c) Consistent with the public welfare, and except as 10 11 otherwise provided in this section, transportation facilities needed to serve new development shall be in place or under 12 13 actual construction within 3 years after the local government approves a building permit or its functional equivalent that 14 15 results in traffic generation. A local government may not require these transportation facilities to be in place or 16 under actual construction within a shorter timeframe than the 17 18 <u>3-year period.</u> Section 3. Subsection (3) of section 197.303, Florida 19 Statutes, is amended to read: 20 21 197.303 Ad valorem tax deferral for recreational and 22 commercial working waterfront properties .--(3) The ordinance shall designate the percentage or 23 2.4 amount of the deferral and the type and location of working waterfront property, including the type of public lodging 25 establishments, for which deferrals may be granted, which may 26 include any property meeting the provisions of s. 342.07(2), 27 which property, including the type of public lodging 28 29 establishments, may be further required to be located within a particular geographic area or areas of the county or 30 31 municipality. 3 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 Section 4. Section 342.07, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 2 342.07 Recreational and commercial working 3 4 waterfronts; legislative findings; definitions .--(1) The Legislature recognizes that there is an 5 б important state interest in facilitating boating and other 7 recreational access to the state's navigable waters. This access is vital to tourists and recreational users and the 8 marine industry in the state, to maintaining or enhancing the 9 \$57-billion economic impact of tourism and the \$14 billion 10 11 economic impact of boating in the state <u>annually</u>, and to ensuring continued access to all residents and visitors to the 12 navigable waters of the state. The Legislature recognizes that 13 there is an important state interest in maintaining viable 14 15 water-dependent support facilities, such as public lodging establishments, boat hauling and repairing, and commercial 16 fishing facilities, and in maintaining the availability of 17 public access to the navigable waters of the state. The 18 19 Legislature further recognizes that the waterways of the state 20 are important for engaging in commerce and the transportation of goods and people upon such waterways and that such commerce 21 22 and transportation is not feasible unless there is access to and from the navigable waters of the state through 23 2.4 recreational and commercial working waterfronts. (2) As used in this section, the term "recreational 25 and commercial working waterfront" means a parcel or parcels 26 of real property that provide access for water-dependent 27 commercial and recreational activities, including public 28 29 lodging establishments as defined in chapter 509, or provide access for the public to the navigable waters of the state. 30 31 Recreational and commercial working waterfronts require direct 4 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	access to or a location on, over, or adjacent to a navigable
2	body of water. The term includes water-dependent facilities
3	that are open to the public and offer public access by vessels
4	to the waters of the state or that are support facilities for
5	recreational, commercial, research, or governmental vessels.
6	These facilities include public accommodations, docks, wharfs,
7	lifts, wet and dry marinas, boat ramps, boat hauling and
8	repair facilities, commercial fishing facilities, boat
9	construction facilities, and other support structures over the
10	water. As used in this section, the term "vessel" has the same
11	meaning as in s. 327.02(37). Seaports are excluded from the
12	definition.
13	Section 5. Section 373.4132, Florida Statutes, is
14	created to read:
15	373.4132 Dry storage facility permittingThe
16	governing board or the department shall require a permit under
17	this part, including s. 373.4145, for the construction,
18	alteration, operation, maintenance, abandonment, or removal of
19	a dry storage facility for 10 or more vessels which is
20	functionally associated with a boat launching area. As part of
21	an applicant's demonstration that such a facility will not be
22	harmful to the water resources and will not be inconsistent
23	with the overall objectives of the district, the governing
24	board or department shall require the applicant to provide
25	reasonable assurance that the secondary impacts from the
26	facility will not cause adverse impacts to the functions of
27	wetlands and surface waters, including violations of state
28	water quality standards applicable to water as defined in s.
29	403.031(1), and will meet the public interest test of s.
30	373.414(1)(a), including the potential adverse impacts to
31	manatees. Nothing in this section shall affect the authority
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	l

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 of the governing board or the department to regulate such secondary impacts under this part for other regulated 2 <u>activities.</u> 3 4 Section 6. Paragraphs (a) and (i) of subsection (4) and subsections (15), (19), and (24) of section 380.06, 5 Florida Statutes, are amended, and subsection (28) is added to 6 7 that section, to read: 380.06 Developments of regional impact.--8 9 (4) BINDING LETTER.--10 (a) If any developer is in doubt whether his or her 11 proposed development must undergo development-of-regional-impact review under the guidelines and 12 standards, whether his or her rights have vested pursuant to 13 14 subsection (20), or whether a proposed substantial change to a 15 development of regional impact concerning which rights had previously vested pursuant to subsection (20) would divest 16 such rights, the developer may request a determination from 17 18 the state land planning agency. The developer or the 19 appropriate local government having jurisdiction may request 20 that the state land planning agency determine whether the amount of development that remains to be built in an approved 21 22 development of regional impact meets the criteria of 23 subparagraph (15)(g)3. 2.4 (i) In response to an inquiry from a developer or the appropriate local government having jurisdiction, the state 25 land planning agency may issue an informal determination in 26 the form of a clearance letter as to whether a development is 27 28 required to undergo development-of-regional-impact review or whether the amount of development that remains to be built in 29 an approved development of regional impact meets the criteria 30 31 of subparagraph (15)(g)3. A clearance letter may be based 6 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	solely on the information provided by the developer, and the
2	state land planning agency is not required to conduct an
3	investigation of that information. If any material information
4	provided by the developer is incomplete or inaccurate, the
5	clearance letter is not binding upon the state land planning
6	agency. A clearance letter does not constitute final agency
7	action.
8	(15) LOCAL GOVERNMENT DEVELOPMENT ORDER
9	(a) The appropriate local government shall render a
10	decision on the application within 30 days after the hearing
11	unless an extension is requested by the developer.
12	(b) When possible, local governments shall issue
13	development orders concurrently with any other local permits
14	or development approvals that may be applicable to the
15	proposed development.
16	(c) The development order shall include findings of
17	fact and conclusions of law consistent with subsections (13)
18	and (14). The development order:
19	1. Shall specify the monitoring procedures and the
20	local official responsible for assuring compliance by the
21	developer with the development order.
22	2. Shall establish compliance dates for the
23	development order, including a deadline for commencing
24	physical development and for compliance with conditions of
25	approval or phasing requirements, and shall include a <u>buildout</u>
26	termination date that reasonably reflects the time <u>anticipated</u>
27	required to complete the development.
28	3. Shall establish a date until which the local
29	government agrees that the approved development of regional
30	impact shall not be subject to downzoning, unit density
31	reduction, or intensity reduction, unless the local government 7
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	can demonstrate that substantial changes in the conditions
2	underlying the approval of the development order have occurred
3	or the development order was based on substantially inaccurate
4	information provided by the developer or that the change is
5	clearly established by local government to be essential to the
6	public health, safety, or welfare. The date established
7	pursuant to this subparagraph shall be no sooner than the
8	buildout date of the project.
9	4. Shall specify the requirements for the biennial
10	report designated under subsection (18), including the date of
11	submission, parties to whom the report is submitted, and
12	contents of the report, based upon the rules adopted by the
13	state land planning agency. Such rules shall specify the
14	scope of any additional local requirements that may be
15	necessary for the report.
16	5. May specify the types of changes to the development
17	which shall require submission for a substantial deviation
18	determination or a notice of proposed change under subsection
19	(19).
20	6. Shall include a legal description of the property.
21	(d) Conditions of a development order that require a
22	developer to contribute land for a public facility or
23	construct, expand, or pay for land acquisition or construction
24	or expansion of a public facility, or portion thereof, shall
25	meet the following criteria:
26	1. The need to construct new facilities or add to the
27	present system of public facilities must be reasonably
28	attributable to the proposed development.
29	2. Any contribution of funds, land, or public
30	facilities required from the developer shall be comparable to
31	the amount of funds, land, or public facilities that the state $\frac{8}{8}$
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	or the local government would reasonably expect to expend or
2	provide, based on projected costs of comparable projects, to
3	mitigate the impacts reasonably attributable to the proposed
4	development.
5	3. Any funds or lands contributed must be expressly
6	designated and used to mitigate impacts reasonably
7	attributable to the proposed development.
8	4. Construction or expansion of a public facility by a
9	nongovernmental developer as a condition of a development
10	order to mitigate the impacts reasonably attributable to the
11	proposed development is not subject to competitive bidding or
12	competitive negotiation for selection of a contractor or
13	design professional for any part of the construction or design
14	unless required by the local government that issues the
15	development order.
16	(e)1. Effective July 1, 1986, A local government shall
17	not include, as a development order condition for a
18	development of regional impact, any requirement that a
19	developer contribute or pay for land acquisition or
20	construction or expansion of public facilities or portions
21	thereof unless the local government has enacted a local
22	ordinance which requires other development not subject to this
23	section to contribute its proportionate share of the funds,
24	land, or public facilities necessary to accommodate any
25	impacts having a rational nexus to the proposed development,
26	and the need to construct new facilities or add to the present
27	system of public facilities must be reasonably attributable to
28	the proposed development.
29	2. A local government shall not approve a development
30	of regional impact that does not make adequate provision for
31	the public facilities needed to accommodate the impacts of the
	9 7:53 PM 03/24/06 9 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	l

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. CS for SB 1020

Barcode 934324

1 proposed development unless the local government includes in the development order a commitment by the local government to 2 provide these facilities consistently with the development 3 4 schedule approved in the development order; however, a local government's failure to meet the requirements of subparagraph 5 1. and this subparagraph shall not preclude the issuance of a 6 7 development order where adequate provision is made by the developer for the public facilities needed to accommodate the 8 impacts of the proposed development. Any funds or lands 9 10 contributed by a developer must be expressly designated and 11 used to accommodate impacts reasonably attributable to the proposed development. 12

3. The Department of Community Affairs and other state
and regional agencies involved in the administration and
implementation of this act shall cooperate and work with units
of local government in preparing and adopting local impact fee
and other contribution ordinances.

(f) Notice of the adoption of a development order or 18 19 the subsequent amendments to an adopted development order 20 shall be recorded by the developer, in accordance with s. 21 28.222, with the clerk of the circuit court for each county in 22 which the development is located. The notice shall include a legal description of the property covered by the order and 23 24 shall state which unit of local government adopted the development order, the date of adoption, the date of adoption 25 of any amendments to the development order, the location where 26 the adopted order with any amendments may be examined, and 27 that the development order constitutes a land development 28 29 regulation applicable to the property. The recording of this notice shall not constitute a lien, cloud, or encumbrance on 30 real property, or actual or constructive notice of any such 31 10 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 lien, cloud, or encumbrance. This paragraph applies only to developments initially approved under this section after July 2 1, 1980. 3 4 (q) A local government shall not issue permits for development subsequent to the buildout termination date or 5 б expiration date contained in the development order unless: 7 1. The proposed development has been evaluated cumulatively with existing development under the substantial 8 deviation provisions of subsection (19) subsequent to the 9 10 termination or expiration date; 11 2. The proposed development is consistent with an abandonment of development order that has been issued in 12 13 accordance with the provisions of subsection (26); or 3. The development of regional impact is essentially 14 15 built out, in that all the mitigation requirements in the 16 development order have been satisfied, all developers are in compliance with all applicable terms and conditions of the 17 development order except the buildout date, and the amount of 18 19 proposed development that remains to be built is less than 20 percent of any applicable development-of-regional-impact 20 threshold; or 21 22 4.3. The project has been determined to be an essentially built-out development of regional impact through 23 24 an agreement executed by the developer, the state land planning agency, and the local government, in accordance with 25 s. 380.032, which will establish the terms and conditions 26 under which the development may be continued. If the project 27 is determined to be essentially built out built-out, 28 development may proceed pursuant to the s. 380.032 agreement 29 after the termination or expiration date contained in the 30 31 development order without further 11 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	development-of-regional-impact review subject to the local
2	government comprehensive plan and land development regulations
3	or subject to a modified development-of-regional-impact
4	analysis. As used in this paragraph, an "essentially
5	built-out" development of regional impact means:
б	a. The <u>developers are</u> development is in compliance
7	with all applicable terms and conditions of the development
8	order except the <u>buildout</u> built-out date; and
9	b.(I) The amount of development that remains to be
10	built is less than the substantial deviation threshold
11	specified in paragraph (19)(b) for each individual land use
12	category, or, for a multiuse development, the sum total of all
13	unbuilt land uses as a percentage of the applicable
14	substantial deviation threshold is equal to or less than 100
15	percent; or
16	(II) The state land planning agency and the local
17	government have agreed in writing that the amount of
18	development to be built does not create the likelihood of any
19	additional regional impact not previously reviewed.
20	(h) The single-family residential portions of a
21	development may be considered "essentially built out" if all
22	of the infrastructure and horizontal development have been
23	completed, at least 50 percent of the dwelling units have been
24	completed, and more than 80 percent of the lots have been
25	conveyed to third-party individual lot owners or to individual
26	builders who own no more than 40 lots at the time of the
27	determination.
28	(i) The mobile home park portions of a development may
29	be considered "essentially built out" if all the
30	infrastructure and horizontal development has been completed
31	and at least 50 percent of the lots are leased to individual 12
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	I

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 mobile home owners.

T	mobile nome owners.
2	<u>(j)(h)</u> If the property is annexed by another local
3	jurisdiction, the annexing jurisdiction shall adopt a new
4	development order that incorporates all previous rights and
5	obligations specified in the prior development order.
б	(19) SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATIONS
7	(a) Any proposed change to a previously approved
8	development which creates a reasonable likelihood of
9	additional regional impact, or any type of regional impact
10	created by the change not previously reviewed by the regional
11	planning agency, shall constitute a substantial deviation and
12	shall cause the <u>proposed change</u> development to be subject to
13	further development-of-regional-impact review. There are a
14	variety of reasons why a developer may wish to propose changes
15	to an approved development of regional impact, including
16	changed market conditions. The procedures set forth in this
17	subsection are for that purpose.
18	(b) Any proposed change to a previously approved
19	development of regional impact or development order condition
20	which, either individually or cumulatively with other changes,
21	exceeds any of the following criteria shall constitute a
22	substantial deviation and shall cause the development to be
23	subject to further development-of-regional-impact review
24	without the necessity for a finding of same by the local
25	government:
26	1. An increase in the number of parking spaces at an
27	attraction or recreational facility by 5 percent or 300
28	spaces, whichever is greater, or an increase in the number of
29	spectators that may be accommodated at such a facility by <u>10</u> $\frac{5}{2}$
30	percent or $1,100$ $1,000$ spectators, whichever is greater.
31	2. A new runway, a new terminal facility, a 25-percent 13
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	I

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

	BIII NO. <u>CS IOF SB 1020</u>
	Barcode 934324
1	lengthening of an existing runway, or a 25-percent increase in
2	the number of gates of an existing terminal, but only if the
3	increase adds at least three additional gates.
4	3. An increase in the number of hospital beds by 5
5	percent or 60 beds, whichever is greater.
б	<u>3.</u> 4. An increase in industrial development area by <u>10</u>
7	$\frac{5}{5}$ percent or $\frac{35}{32}$ acres, whichever is greater.
8	<u>4.</u> 5. An increase in the average annual acreage mined
9	by <u>10</u> 5 percent or <u>11</u> 10 acres, whichever is greater, or an
10	increase in the average daily water consumption by a mining
11	operation by 10 5 percent or $330,000$ $300,000$ gallons,
12	whichever is greater. An increase in the size of the mine by
13	10 5 percent or 825 750 acres, whichever is less. An increase
14	in the size of a heavy mineral mine as defined in s.
15	378.403(7) will only constitute a substantial deviation if the
16	average annual acreage mined is more than 550 500 acres and
17	consumes more than 3.3 3 million gallons of water per day.
18	Additions or deletions to contiguous lands described in
19	sub-subparagraph (e)2.k. do not constitute a substantial
20	deviation.
21	<u>5.6.</u> An increase in land area for office development
22	by <u>10</u> $\frac{1}{5}$ percent or an increase of gross floor area of office
23	development by <u>10</u> 5 percent or <u>66,000</u> 60,000 gross square
24	feet, whichever is greater.
25	7. An increase in the storage capacity for chemical or
26	petroleum storage facilities by 5 percent, 20,000 barrels, or
27	7 million pounds, whichever is greater.
28	8. An increase of development at a waterport of wet
29	storage for 20 watercraft, dry storage for 30 watercraft, or
30	wet/dry storage for 60 watercraft in an area identified in the
31	state marina siting plan as an appropriate site for additional 14
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

	Barcode 934324
1	waterport development or a 5-percent increase in watercraft
2	storage capacity, whichever is greater.
3	6.9. An increase in the number of dwelling units by <u>10</u>
4	$\frac{5}{5}$ percent or $\frac{55}{50}$ dwelling units, whichever is greater.
5	7. An increase in the number of dwelling units by 50
6	percent or 200 units, whichever is greater, provided that 15
7	percent of the increase in the number of dwelling units is
8	dedicated to the construction of workforce housing. For
9	purposes of this subparagraph, the term "workforce housing"
10	means housing that is affordable to a person who earns less
11	than 150 percent of the area median income.
12	<u>8.</u> 10. An increase in commercial development by <u>55,000</u>
13	50,000 square feet of gross floor area or of parking spaces
14	provided for customers for $\underline{330}$ $\overline{300}$ cars or a $\underline{10-percent}$
15	5-percent increase of either of these, whichever is greater.
16	<u>9.11. An increase in hotel or motel <u>rooms</u> facility</u>
17	units by <u>10</u> 5 percent or <u>83 rooms</u> 75 units, whichever is
18	greater.
19	<u>10.12. An increase in a recreational vehicle park area</u>
20	by <u>10</u> $\frac{5}{5}$ percent or <u>110</u> $\frac{100}{100}$ vehicle spaces, whichever is less.
21	11.13. A decrease in the area set aside for open space
22	of 5 percent or 20 acres, whichever is less.
23	<u>12.</u> 14. A proposed increase to an approved multiuse
24	development of regional impact where the sum of the increases
25	of each land use as a percentage of the applicable substantial
26	deviation criteria is equal to or exceeds 110 100 percent. The
27	percentage of any decrease in the amount of open space shall
28	be treated as an increase for purposes of determining when $\underline{110}$
29	100 percent has been reached or exceeded.
30	<u>13.</u> 15. A 15-percent increase in the number of external
31	vehicle trips generated by the development above that which 15
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
l	

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. CS for SB 1020

Barcode 934324

1 was projected during the original

development-of-regional-impact review. 2

14.16. Any change which would result in development of 3 4 any area which was specifically set aside in the application for development approval or in the development order for 5 preservation or special protection of endangered or threatened 6 7 plants or animals designated as endangered, threatened, or species of special concern and their habitat, any species 8 protected by 16 U.S.C. s. 668a-668d, primary dunes, or 9 10 archaeological and historical sites designated as significant 11 by the Division of Historical Resources of the Department of State. The further refinement of the boundaries and 12 13 configuration of such areas by survey shall be considered under sub-subparagraph(e)2.j (e)5.b. 14 15 16 The substantial deviation numerical standards in subparagraphs 3., 5., 9., 10., and 13. 4., 6., 10., 14., excluding 17 residential uses, and in subparagraph 14. 15., are increased 18 by 100 percent for a project certified under s. 403.973 which 19 20 creates jobs and meets criteria established by the Office of Tourism, Trade, and Economic Development as to its impact on 21 22 an area's economy, employment, and prevailing wage and skill levels. The substantial deviation numerical standards in 23 24 subparagraphs <u>3., 5., 7., 8., 9., 10., 13., and 14.</u> 4., 6., 9., 10., 11., and 14. are increased by 50 percent for a 25 project located wholly within an urban infill and 26 redevelopment area designated on the applicable adopted local 27 28 comprehensive plan future land use map and not located within 29 the coastal high hazard area. (c) An extension of the date of buildout of a 30 development, or any phase thereof, by $\underline{more\ than}\ 7\quad \underline{or\ more}$ 31 16 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. CS for SB 1020

Barcode 934324

1 years shall be presumed to create a substantial deviation subject to further development-of-regional-impact review. An 2 extension of the date of buildout, or any phase thereof, of 3 4 more than 5 years or more but less than 7 years shall be presumed not to create a substantial deviation. The extension 5 of the date of buildout of an areawide development of regional 6 7 impact by more than 5 years but less than 10 years is presumed not to create a substantial deviation. These presumptions may 8 be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence at the public 9 10 hearing held by the local government. An extension of 5 years 11 or less than 5 years is not a substantial deviation. For the purpose of calculating when a buildout or, phase, or 12 13 termination date has been exceeded, the time shall be tolled during the pendency of administrative or judicial proceedings 14 15 relating to development permits. Any extension of the buildout date of a project or a phase thereof shall automatically 16 extend the commencement date of the project, the termination 17 date of the development order, the expiration date of the 18 19 development of regional impact, and the phases thereof if 20 <u>applicable</u> by a like period of time. 21 (d) A change in the plan of development of an approved 22 development of regional impact resulting from requirements imposed by the Department of Environmental Protection or any 23 2.4 water management district created by s. 373.069 or any of their successor agencies or by any appropriate federal 25 regulatory agency shall be submitted to the local government 26 pursuant to this subsection. The change shall be presumed not 27 28 to create a substantial deviation subject to further 29 development-of-regional-impact review. The presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence at the public 30

31 hearing held by the local government.

7:53 PM 03/24/06

s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	(e)1. Except for a development order rendered pursuant
2	to subsection (22) or subsection (25), a proposed change to a
3	development order that individually or cumulatively with any
4	previous change is less than any numerical criterion contained
5	in subparagraphs (b)115. and does not exceed any other
6	criterion, or that involves an extension of the buildout date
7	of a development, or any phase thereof, of less than 5 years
8	is not subject to the public hearing requirements of
9	subparagraph (f)3., and is not subject to a determination
10	pursuant to subparagraph (f)5. Notice of the proposed change
11	shall be made to the regional planning council and the state
12	land planning agency. Such notice shall include a description
13	of previous individual changes made to the development,
14	including changes previously approved by the local government,
15	and shall include appropriate amendments to the development
16	order.
17	2. The following changes, individually or cumulatively
18	with any previous changes, are not substantial deviations:
19	a. Changes in the name of the project, developer,
20	owner, or monitoring official.
21	b. Changes to a setback that do not affect noise
22	buffers, environmental protection or mitigation areas, or
23	archaeological or historical resources.
24	c. Changes to minimum lot sizes.
25	d. Changes in the configuration of internal roads that
26	do not affect external access points.
27	e. Changes to the building design or orientation that
28	stay approximately within the approved area designated for
29	such building and parking lot, and which do not affect
30	historical buildings designated as significant by the Division
31	of Historical Resources of the Department of State. 18
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 f. Changes to increase the acreage in the development, provided that no development is proposed on the acreage to be 2 added. 3 4 q. Changes to eliminate an approved land use, provided that there are no additional regional impacts. 5 б h. Changes required to conform to permits approved by 7 any federal, state, or regional permitting agency, provided that these changes do not create additional regional impacts. 8 9 i. Any renovation or redevelopment of development 10 within a previously approved development of regional impact 11 which does not change land use or increase density or intensity of use. 12 13 j. Changes that modify boundaries and configuration of areas described in subparagraph (b)15. due to science-based 14 15 refinement of such areas by survey, by habitat evaluation, by 16 other recognized assessment methodology, or by an environmental assessment. In order for changes to qualify 17 under this subparagraph, the survey, habitat evaluation, or 18 19 assessment must occur prior to the time a conservation 20 easement protecting such lands is recorded and must not result 21 in any net decrease in the total acreage of the lands 22 specifically set aside for permanent preservation in the final 23 development order. 2.4 k. Addition or deletion of land contiguous to lands contained in a phosphate mining development of regional impact 25 approved prior to January 1, 2006, regardless of quantity or 2.6 the resulting time extensions, where the land subject to the 27 addition or deletion will be reviewed pursuant to part III of 28 29 chapter 378 and part IV of chapter 373, provided that no new beneficiation or processing facility will be constructed. 30 31 1.j. Any other change which the state land planning 19 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	agency agrees in writing is similar in nature, impact, or
2	character to the changes enumerated in sub-subparagraphs <u>aj.</u>
3	ai. and which does not create the likelihood of any
4	additional regional impact.
5	
6	This subsection does not require the filing of a notice of
7	proposed change but shall require an application to the local
8	government to amend the development order in accordance with
9	the local government's procedures for amendment of a
10	development order. In accordance with the local government's
11	procedures, including requirements for notice to the applicant
12	and the public, the local government shall either deny the
13	application for amendment or adopt an amendment to the
14	development order which approves the application with or
15	without conditions. Following adoption, the local government
16	shall render the amendment to the development order to the
17	state land planning agency. The state land planning agency may
18	appeal, pursuant to s. 380.07(2), the amendment to the
19	development order if the amendment involves sub-subparagraphs
20	g., h., j., or k. and it believes the change creates a
21	reasonable likelihood of new or additional regional impacts $\frac{1}{2}$
22	development order amendment for any change listed in
23	sub-subparagraphs aj. unless such issue is addressed either
24	in the existing development order or in the application for
25	development approval, but, in the case of the application,
26	only if, and in the manner in which, the application is
27	incorporated in the development order.
28	3. Except for the change authorized by
29	sub-subparagraph 2.f., any addition of land not previously
30	reviewed or any change not specified in paragraph (b) or
31	paragraph (c) shall be presumed to create a substantial
	20 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	l l

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. CS for SB 1020

Barcode 934324

deviation. This presumption may be rebutted by clear and
 convincing evidence.

4. Any submittal of a proposed change to a previously 3 4 approved development shall include a description of individual changes previously made to the development, including changes 5 previously approved by the local government. The local 6 7 government shall consider the previous and current proposed changes in deciding whether such changes cumulatively 8 constitute a substantial deviation requiring further 9 10 development-of-regional-impact review.

5. The following changes to an approved development of regional impact shall be presumed to create a substantial deviation. Such presumption may be rebutted by clear and convincing evidence.

a. A change proposed for 15 percent or more of the
acreage to a land use not previously approved in the
development order. Changes of less than 15 percent shall be
presumed not to create a substantial deviation.

19 b. Except for the types of uses listed in subparagraph 20 (b)16., any change which would result in the development of any area which was specifically set aside in the application 21 22 for development approval or in the development order for 23 preservation, buffers, or special protection, including 2.4 habitat for plant and animal species, archaeological and historical sites, dunes, and other special areas. 25 b.c. Notwithstanding any provision of paragraph (b) to 26

27 the contrary, a proposed change consisting of simultaneous 28 increases and decreases of at least two of the uses within an 29 authorized multiuse development of regional impact which was 30 originally approved with three or more uses specified in s. 31 380.0651(3)(c), (d), (f), and (g) and residential use. 21 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020c1d-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	(f)1. The state land planning agency shall establish
2	by rule standard forms for submittal of proposed changes to a
3	previously approved development of regional impact which may
4	require further development-of-regional-impact review. At a
5	minimum, the standard form shall require the developer to
6	provide the precise language that the developer proposes to
7	delete or add as an amendment to the development order.
8	2. The developer shall submit, simultaneously, to the
9	local government, the regional planning agency, and the state
10	land planning agency the request for approval of a proposed
11	change.
12	3. No sooner than 30 days but no later than 45 days
13	after submittal by the developer to the local government, the
14	state land planning agency, and the appropriate regional
15	planning agency, the local government shall give 15 days'
16	notice and schedule a public hearing to consider the change
17	that the developer asserts does not create a substantial
18	deviation. This public hearing shall be held within 60 90 days
19	after submittal of the proposed changes, unless that time is
20	extended by the developer.
21	4. The appropriate regional planning agency or the
22	state land planning agency shall review the proposed change
23	and, no later than 45 days after submittal by the developer of
24	the proposed change, unless that time is extended by the
25	developer, and prior to the public hearing at which the
26	proposed change is to be considered, shall advise the local
27	government in writing whether it objects to the proposed
28	change, shall specify the reasons for its objection, if any,
29	and shall provide a copy to the developer.
30	5. At the public hearing, the local government shall
31	determine whether the proposed change requires further 22
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	I I

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	development-of-regional-impact review. The provisions of
2	paragraphs (a) and (e), the thresholds set forth in paragraph
3	(b), and the presumptions set forth in paragraphs (c) and (d) $\left(d \right)$
4	and subparagraph (e)3. shall be applicable in determining
5	whether further development-of-regional-impact review is
6	required.
7	6. If the local government determines that the
8	proposed change does not require further
9	development-of-regional-impact review and is otherwise
10	approved, or if the proposed change is not subject to a
11	hearing and determination pursuant to subparagraphs 3. and 5.
12	and is otherwise approved, the local government shall issue an
13	amendment to the development order incorporating the approved
14	change and conditions of approval relating to the change. The
15	decision of the local government to approve, with or without
16	conditions, or to deny the proposed change that the developer
17	asserts does not require further review shall be subject to
18	the appeal provisions of s. 380.07. However, the state land
19	planning agency may not appeal the local government decision
20	if it did not comply with subparagraph 4. The state land
21	planning agency may not appeal a change to a development order
22	made pursuant to subparagraph (e)1. or subparagraph (e)2. for
23	developments of regional impact approved after January 1,
24	1980, unless the change would result in a significant impact
25	to a regionally significant archaeological, historical, or
26	natural resource not previously identified in the original
27	development-of-regional-impact review.
28	(g) If a proposed change requires further
29	development-of-regional-impact review pursuant to this
30	section, the review shall be conducted subject to the
31	following additional conditions:
	23 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	1. The development-of-regional-impact review conducted
2	by the appropriate regional planning agency shall address only
3	those issues raised by the proposed change except as provided
4	in subparagraph 2.
5	2. The regional planning agency shall consider, and
6	the local government shall determine whether to approve,
7	approve with conditions, or deny the proposed change as it
8	relates to the entire development. If the local government
9	determines that the proposed change, as it relates to the
10	entire development, is unacceptable, the local government
11	shall deny the change.
12	3. If the local government determines that the
13	proposed change, as it relates to the entire development,
14	should be approved, any new conditions in the amendment to the
15	development order issued by the local government shall address
16	only those issues raised by the proposed change and require
17	mitigation only for the individual and cumulative impacts of
18	the proposed change.
19	4. Development within the previously approved
20	development of regional impact may continue, as approved,
21	during the development-of-regional-impact review in those
22	portions of the development which are not <u>directly</u> affected by
23	the proposed change.
24	(h) When further development-of-regional-impact review
25	is required because a substantial deviation has been
26	determined or admitted by the developer, the amendment to the
27	development order issued by the local government shall be
28	consistent with the requirements of subsection (15) and shall
29	be subject to the hearing and appeal provisions of s. 380.07.
30	The state land planning agency or the appropriate regional
31	planning agency need not participate at the local hearing in 24
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	I I

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 order to appeal a local government development order issued pursuant to this paragraph. 2 (i) An increase in the number of residential dwelling 3 4 units shall not constitute a substantial deviation and shall not be subject to development-of-regional-impact review for 5 additional impacts provided that all the residential dwelling 6 7 units are dedicated to workforce housing. For purposes of this paragraph, the term "workforce housing" means housing that is 8 affordable to a person who earns less than 150 percent of the 9 area median income. 10 (24) STATUTORY EXEMPTIONS. --11 (a) Any proposed hospital which has a designed 12 13 capacity of not more than 100 beds is exempt from the provisions of this section. 14 15 (b) Any proposed electrical transmission line or electrical power plant is exempt from the provisions of this 16 section, except any steam or solar electrical generating 17 18 facility of less than 50 megawatts in capacity attached to a 19 development of regional impact. 20 (c) Any proposed addition to an existing sports facility complex is exempt from the provisions of this section 21 22 if the addition meets the following characteristics: 1. It would not operate concurrently with the 23 2.4 scheduled hours of operation of the existing facility. 2. Its seating capacity would be no more than 75 25 percent of the capacity of the existing facility. 26 3. The sports facility complex property is owned by a 27 public body prior to July 1, 1983. 28 29 30 This exemption does not apply to any pari-mutuel facility. 31 (d) Any proposed addition or cumulative additions 25 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	subsequent to July 1, 1988, to an existing sports facility
2	complex owned by a state university is exempt if the increased
3	seating capacity of the complex is no more than 30 percent of
4	the capacity of the existing facility.
5	(e) Any addition of permanent seats or parking spaces
6	for an existing sports facility located on property owned by a
7	public body prior to July 1, 1973, is exempt from the
8	provisions of this section if future additions do not expand
9	existing permanent seating or parking capacity more than 15
10	percent annually in excess of the prior year's capacity.
11	(f) Any increase in the seating capacity of an
12	existing sports facility having a permanent seating capacity
13	of at least 50,000 spectators is exempt from the provisions of
14	this section, provided that such an increase does not increase
15	permanent seating capacity by more than 5 percent per year and
16	not to exceed a total of 10 percent in any 5-year period, and
17	provided that the sports facility notifies the appropriate
18	local government within which the facility is located of the
19	increase at least 6 months prior to the initial use of the
20	increased seating, in order to permit the appropriate local
21	government to develop a traffic management plan for the
22	traffic generated by the increase. Any traffic management
23	plan shall be consistent with the local comprehensive plan,
24	the regional policy plan, and the state comprehensive plan.
25	(g) Any expansion in the permanent seating capacity or
26	additional improved parking facilities of an existing sports
27	facility is exempt from the provisions of this section, if the
28	following conditions exist:
29	1.a. The sports facility had a permanent seating
30	capacity on January 1, 1991, of at least 41,000 spectator
31	seats;
	26 7:53 PM 03/24/06 26 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
ļ	

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	b. The sum of such expansions in permanent seating
2	capacity does not exceed a total of 10 percent in any 5-year
3	period and does not exceed a cumulative total of 20 percent
4	for any such expansions; or
5	c. The increase in additional improved parking
б	facilities is a one-time addition and does not exceed 3,500
7	parking spaces serving the sports facility; and
8	2. The local government having jurisdiction of the
9	sports facility includes in the development order or
10	development permit approving such expansion under this
11	paragraph a finding of fact that the proposed expansion is
12	consistent with the transportation, water, sewer and
13	stormwater drainage provisions of the approved local
14	comprehensive plan and local land development regulations
15	relating to those provisions.
16	
17	Any owner or developer who intends to rely on this statutory
18	exemption shall provide to the department a copy of the local
19	government application for a development permit. Within 45
20	days of receipt of the application, the department shall
21	render to the local government an advisory and nonbinding
22	opinion, in writing, stating whether, in the department's
23	opinion, the prescribed conditions exist for an exemption
24	under this paragraph. The local government shall render the
25	development order approving each such expansion to the
26	department. The owner, developer, or department may appeal
27	the local government development order pursuant to s. 380.07,
28	within 45 days after the order is rendered. The scope of
29	review shall be limited to the determination of whether the
30	conditions prescribed in this paragraph exist. If any sports
31	facility expansion undergoes development of regional impact 27
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	I

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	review, all previous expansions which were exempt under this
2	paragraph shall be included in the development of regional
3	impact review.
4	(h) Expansion to port harbors, spoil disposal sites,
5	navigation channels, turning basins, harbor berths, and other
6	related inwater harbor facilities of ports listed in s.
7	403.021(9)(b), port transportation facilities and projects
8	listed in s. 311.07(3)(b), and intermodal transportation
9	facilities identified pursuant to s. 311.09(3) are exempt from
10	the provisions of this section when such expansions, projects,
11	or facilities are consistent with comprehensive master plans
12	that are in compliance with the provisions of s. 163.3178.
13	(i) Any proposed facility for the storage of any
14	petroleum product or any expansion of an existing facility is
15	exempt from the provisions of this section, if the facility is
16	consistent with a local comprehensive plan that is in
17	compliance with s. 163.3177 or is consistent with a
18	comprehensive port master plan that is in compliance with s.
19	163.3178 .
20	(j) Any renovation or redevelopment within the same
21	land parcel which does not change land use or increase density
22	or intensity of use.
23	(k) <u>Waterport and marina development, including dry</u>
24	storage facilities, are exempt from the provisions of this
25	section.
26	1. Any waterport or marina development is exempt from
27	the provisions of this section if the relevant county or
28	municipality has adopted a boating facility siting plan or
29	policy which includes applicable criteria, considering such
30	factors as natural resources, manatee protection needs and
31	recreation and economic demands as generally outlined in the 28
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	Bureau of Protected Species Management Boat Facility Siting
2	Guide, dated August 2000, into the coastal management or land
3	use element of its comprehensive plan. The adoption of boating
4	facility siting plans or policies into the comprehensive plan
5	is exempt from the provisions of s. 163.3187(1). Any waterport
6	or marina development within the municipalities or counties
7	with boating facility siting plans or policies that meet the
8	above criteria, adopted prior to April 1, 2002, are exempt
9	from the provisions of this section, when their boating
10	facility siting plan or policy is adopted as part of the
11	relevant local government's comprehensive plan.
12	2. Within 6 months of the effective date of this law,
13	the Department of Community Affairs, in conjunction with the
14	Department of Environmental Protection and the Florida Fish
15	and Wildlife Conservation Commission, shall provide technical
16	assistance and guidelines, including model plans, policies and
17	criteria to local governments for the development of their
18	siting plans.
19	(1) Any proposed development within an urban service
20	boundary established under s. 163.3177(14) is exempt from the
21	provisions of this section if the local government having
22	jurisdiction over the area where the development is proposed
ົ່	
23	has adopted the urban service boundary and has entered into a
23 24	has adopted the urban service boundary and has entered into a binding agreement with adjacent jurisdictions <u>that would be</u>
24	binding agreement with adjacent jurisdictions that would be
24 25	binding agreement with adjacent jurisdictions <u>that would be</u> <u>impacted</u> and <u>with</u> the Department of Transportation regarding
24 25 26	binding agreement with adjacent jurisdictions <u>that would be</u> <u>impacted</u> and <u>with</u> the Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation
24 25 26 27	binding agreement with adjacent jurisdictions that would be <u>impacted</u> and with the Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation facilities, and has adopted a proportionate share methodology
24 25 26 27 28	binding agreement with adjacent jurisdictions that would be <u>impacted</u> and with the Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation facilities, and has adopted a proportionate share methodology pursuant to s. 163.3180(16).
24 25 26 27 28 29	<pre>binding agreement with adjacent jurisdictions that would be impacted and with the Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation facilities, and has adopted a proportionate share methodology pursuant to s. 163.3180(16). (m) Any proposed development within a rural land</pre>

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	that has adopted the rural land stewardship area has entered
2	into a binding agreement with jurisdictions that would be
3	impacted and the Department of Transportation regarding the
4	mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation
5	facilities, and has adopted a proportionate share methodology
б	pursuant to s. 163.3180(16).
7	(n) Any proposed development or redevelopment within
8	an area designated as an urban infill and redevelopment area
9	under s. 163.2517 is exempt from the provisions of this
10	section if the local government has entered into a binding
11	agreement with jurisdictions that would be impacted and the
12	Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of
13	impacts on state and regional transportation facilities, and
14	has adopted a proportionate share methodology pursuant to s.
15	163.3180(16).
16	(o) The establishment, relocation, or expansion of any
17	military installation as defined in s. 163.3175, is exempt
18	from this section.
19	(p) Any self-storage warehousing that does not allow
20	retail or other services is exempt from this section.
21	(q) Any proposed nursing home or assisted living
22	facility is exempt from this section.
23	(r) Any development identified in an airport master
24	plan and adopted into the comprehensive plan pursuant to s.
25	163.3177(6)(k) is exempt from this section.
26	(s) Any development identified in a campus master plan
27	and adopted pursuant to s. 1013.30 is exempt from this
28	section.
29	(t) Any development in a specific area plan which is
30	prepared pursuant to s. 163.3245 and adopted into the
31	<u>comprehensive plan is exempt from this section.</u> 30
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
1	

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 If a use is exempt from review as a development of regional 2 impact under paragraphs (a)-(t) but will be part of a larger 3 4 project that is subject to review as a development of regional impact, the impact of the exempt use must be included in the 5 б review of the larger project. 7 (28) PARTIAL STATUTORY EXEMPTIONS. --(a) If the binding agreement referenced under 8 paragraph (24)(1) for urban service boundaries is not entered 9 into within 12 months after establishment of the urban service 10 11 boundary, the development-of-regional-impact review for projects within the urban service boundary must address 12 transportation impacts only. 13 (b) If the binding agreement referenced under 14 paragraph (24)(n) for designated urban infill and 15 16 redevelopment areas is not entered into within 12 months after the designation of the area or by July 1, 2007, whichever 17 18 occurs later, the development-of-regional-impact review for projects within the urban infill and redevelopment area must 19 20 address transportation impacts only. 21 (c) If the binding agreement referenced under 22 paragraph (24)(m) for rural land stewardship areas is not entered into within 12 months after the designation of a rural 23 2.4 land stewardship area, the development-of-regional-impact review for projects within the rural land stewardship area 25 must address transportation impacts only. 2.6 (d) A local government that does not wish to enter 27 into a binding agreement or that is unable to agree on the 28 29 terms of the agreement referenced under paragraph (24)(1) or paragraph (24)(n) shall provide written notification to the 30 31 state land planning agency of the decision to not enter into a 31 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	binding agreement or of the failure to enter into a binding
2	agreement within the 12-month period referenced in paragraphs
3	(a), (b), and (c). Following the notification of the state
4	land planning agency, development-of-regional-impact review
5	for projects within an urban service boundary under paragraph
б	(24)(1), a rural land stewardship area under paragraph
7	(24)(m), or an urban infill and redevelopment area under
8	paragraph (24)(n) must address transportation impacts only.
9	Section 7. Paragraphs (d) and (e) of subsection (3) of
10	section 380.0651, Florida Statutes, are amended, paragraphs
11	(f) through (j) are redesignated as paragraphs (e) through
12	(i), respectively, former paragraph (j) is amended, and a new
13	paragraph (j) is added to that subsection, to read:
14	380.0651 Statewide guidelines and standards
15	(3) The following statewide guidelines and standards
16	shall be applied in the manner described in s. 380.06(2) to
17	determine whether the following developments shall be required
18	to undergo development-of-regional-impact review:
19	(d) Office development Any proposed office building
20	or park operated under common ownership, development plan, or
21	management that:
22	1. Encompasses 300,000 or more square feet of gross
23	floor area; or
24	2. Encompasses more than 600,000 square feet of gross
25	floor area in a county with a population greater than 500,000
26	and only in a geographic area specifically designated as
27	highly suitable for increased threshold intensity in the
28	approved local comprehensive plan and in the strategic
29	regional policy plan.
30	(e) Port facilitiesThe proposed construction of any
31	waterport or marina is required to undergo 32
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	•

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 development-of-regional-impact review, except one designed 2 for: 3 1.a. The wet storage or mooring of fewer than 150 4 watercraft used exclusively for sport, pleasure, or commercial 5 fishing, or b. The dry storage of fewer than 200 watercraft used 6 7 exclusively for sport, pleasure, or commercial fishing, or c. The wet or dry storage or mooring of fewer than 150 8 watercraft on or adjacent to an inland freshwater lake except 9 10 Lake Okeechobee or any lake which has been designated an 11 Outstanding Florida Water, or 12 d. The wet or dry storage or mooring of fewer than 50 13 watercraft of 40 feet in length or less of any type or 14 purpose. The exceptions to this paragraph's requirements for 15 development-of-regional-impact review shall not apply to any waterport or marina facility located within or which serves 16 physical development located within a coastal barrier resource 17 18 unit on an unbridged barrier island designated pursuant to 16 U.S.C. s. 3501. 19 20 In addition to the foregoing, for projects for which no 21 22 environmental resource permit or sovereign submerged land 23 lease is required, the Department of Environmental Protection 2.4 must determine in writing that a proposed marina in excess of 10 slips or storage spaces or a combination of the two is 25 2.6 located so that it will not adversely impact Outstanding 27 Florida Waters or Class II waters and will not contribute boat traffic in a manner that will have an adverse impact on an 28 29 area known to be, or likely to be, frequented by manatees. If the Department of Environmental Protection fails to issue its 30 31 determination within 45 days of receipt of a formal written 33 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	request, it has waived its authority to make such
2	determination. The Department of Environmental Protection
3	determination shall constitute final agency action pursuant to
4	chapter 120.
5	2. The dry storage of fewer than 300 watercraft used
6	exclusively for sport, pleasure, or commercial fishing at a
7	marina constructed and in operation prior to July 1, 1985.
8	3. Any proposed marina development with both wet and
9	dry mooring or storage used exclusively for sport, pleasure,
10	or commercial fishing, where the sum of percentages of the
11	applicable wet and dry mooring or storage thresholds equals
12	100 percent. This threshold is in addition to, and does not
13	preclude, a development from being required to undergo
14	development-of-regional-impact review under sub-subparagraphs
15	1.a. and b. and subparagraph 2.
16	(i)(j) Residential developmentNo rule may be
17	adopted concerning residential developments which treats a
18	residential development in one county as being located in a
19	less populated adjacent county unless more than 25 percent of
20	the development is located within 2 or less miles of the less
21	populated adjacent county. The residential thresholds of
22	adjacent counties with less population and a lower threshold
23	shall not be controlling on any development wholly located
24	within a municipality in a rural county of economic concern.
25	(j) Workforce housingThe applicable guidelines for
26	residential development and the residential component for
27	multiuse development shall be increased by 50 percent where
28	the developer demonstrates that at least 15 percent of the
29	residential dwelling units will be dedicated to workforce
30	housing. For purposes of this subparagraph, the term
31	"workforce housing" means housing that is affordable to a
	34 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	l

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 person who earns less than 150 percent of the area median income. 2 Section 8. Section 380.07, Florida Statutes, is 3 4 amended to read: 380.07 Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory 5 б Commission.--7 (1) There is hereby created the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission, which shall consist of the 8 Administration Commission. The commission may adopt rules 9 10 necessary to ensure compliance with the area of critical state 11 concern program and the requirements for developments of regional impact as set forth in this chapter. 12 13 (2) Whenever any local government issues any development order in any area of critical state concern, or in 14 15 regard to any development of regional impact, copies of such 16 orders as prescribed by rule by the state land planning agency shall be transmitted to the state land planning agency, the 17 regional planning agency, and the owner or developer of the 18 property affected by such order. The state land planning 19 20 agency shall adopt rules describing development order 21 rendition and effectiveness in designated areas of critical 22 state concern. Within 45 days after the order is rendered, the 23 owner, the developer, or the state land planning agency may 24 appeal the order to the Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory Commission by filing a petition alleging that the development 25 order is not consistent with the provisions of this part 26 notice of appeal with the commission. The appropriate 27 28 regional planning agency by vote at a regularly scheduled 29 meeting may recommend that the state land planning agency undertake an appeal of a development-of-regional-impact 30 31 development order. Upon the request of an appropriate regional 35 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	planning council, affected local government, or any citizen,
2	the state land planning agency shall consider whether to
3	appeal the order and shall respond to the request within the
4	45-day appeal period. Any appeal taken by a regional planning
5	agency between March 1, 1993, and the effective date of this
6	section may only be continued if the state land planning
7	agency has also filed an appeal. Any appeal initiated by a
8	regional planning agency on or before March 1, 1993, shall
9	continue until completion of the appeal process and any
10	subsequent appellate review, as if the regional planning
11	agency were authorized to initiate the appeal.
12	(3) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, an
13	appeal of a development order by the state land planning
14	agency under this section may include consistency of the
15	development order with the local comprehensive plan. However,
16	if a development order relating to a development of regional
17	impact has been challenged in a proceeding under s. 163.3215
18	and a party to the proceeding serves notice to the state land
19	planning agency of the pending proceeding under s. 163.3215,
20	the state land planning agency shall:
21	(a) Raise its consistency issues by intervening as a
22	full party in the pending proceeding under s. 163.3215 within
23	30 days after service of the notice; and
24	(b) Dismiss the consistency issues from the
25	development order appeal.
26	(4) The appellant shall furnish a copy of the petition
27	to the opposing party, as the case may be, and to the local
28	government that issued the order. The filing of the petition
29	stays the effectiveness of the order until after the
30	completion of the appeal process.
31	<u>(5)(3)</u> The 45-day appeal period for a development of 36
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
	I

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	regional impact within the jurisdiction of more than one local
2	government shall not commence until after all the local
3	governments having jurisdiction over the proposed development
4	of regional impact have rendered their development orders.
5	The appellant shall furnish a copy of the notice of appeal to
6	the opposing party, as the case may be, and to the local
7	government which issued the order. The filing of the notice
8	of appeal shall stay the effectiveness of the order until
9	after the completion of the appeal process.
10	<u>(6)</u> (4) Prior to issuing an order, the Florida Land and
11	Water Adjudicatory Commission shall hold a hearing pursuant to
12	the provisions of chapter 120. The commission shall encourage
13	the submission of appeals on the record made below in cases in
14	which the development order was issued after a full and
15	complete hearing before the local government or an agency
16	thereof.
17	(7)(5) The Florida Land and Water Adjudicatory
18	Commission shall issue a decision granting or denying
19	permission to develop pursuant to the standards of this
20	chapter and may attach conditions and restrictions to its
21	decisions.
22	(6) If an appeal is filed with respect to any issues
23	within the scope of a permitting program authorized by chapter
24	161, chapter 373, or chapter 403 and for which a permit or
25	conceptual review approval has been obtained prior to the
26	issuance of a development order, any such issue shall be
27	specifically identified in the notice of appeal which is filed
28	pursuant to this section, together with other issues which
29	constitute grounds for the appeal. The appeal may proceed with
30	respect to issues within the scope of permitting programs for
31	which a permit or conceptual review approval has been obtained 37
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd
l	

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	prior to the issuance of a development order only after the					
2	commission determines by majority vote at a regularly					
3	scheduled commission meeting that statewide or regional					
4	interests may be adversely affected by the development. In					
5	making this determination, there shall be a rebuttable					
6	presumption that statewide and regional interests relating to					
7	issues within the scope of the permitting programs for which a					
8	permit or conceptual approval has been obtained are not					
9	adversely affected.					
10	Section 9. Section 380.115, Florida Statutes, is					
11	amended to read:					
12	380.115 Vested rights and duties; effect of <u>size</u>					
13	reduction, changes in guidelines and standards chs. 2002-20					
14	and 2002-296					
15	(1) <u>A change in a development-of-regional-impact</u>					
16	guideline and standard does not abridge or modify Nothing					
17	contained in this act abridges or modifies any vested or other					
18	right or any duty or obligation pursuant to any development					
19	order or agreement that is applicable to a development of					
20	regional impact on the effective date of this act . A					
21	development that has received a development-of-regional-impact					
22	development order pursuant to s. 380.06, but is no longer					
23	required to undergo development-of-regional-impact review by					
24	operation of <u>a change in the quidelines and standards or has</u>					
25	reduced its size below the thresholds in s. 380.0651 this act,					
26	shall be governed by the following procedures:					
27	(a) The development shall continue to be governed by					
28	the development-of-regional-impact development order and may					
29	be completed in reliance upon and pursuant to the development					
30	order unless the developer or landowner has followed the					
31	procedures for rescission in paragraph (b). Any proposed 38					
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd					
	I					

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	changes to those developments which continue to be governed by				
2	a development order shall be approved pursuant to s.				
3	380.06(19) as it existed prior to a change in the				
4	development-of-regional-impact guidelines and standards except				
5	that all percentage criteria shall be doubled and all other				
б	criteria shall be increased by 10 percent. The				
7	development-of-regional-impact development order may be				
8	enforced by the local government as provided by ss. 380.06(17)				
9	and 380.11.				
10	(b) If requested by the developer or landowner, the				
11	development-of-regional-impact development order shall may be				
12	rescinded by the local government having jurisdiction upon a				
13	showing that all required mitigation related to the amount of				
14	development that existed on the date of rescission has been				
15	<u>completed</u> abandoned pursuant to the process in s. 380.06(26).				
16	(2) A development with an application for development				
17	approval pending, and determined sufficient pursuant to <u>s.</u>				
18	380.06 s. $380.06(10)$, on the effective date of <u>a change to the</u>				
19	guidelines and standards this act, or a notification of				
20	proposed change pending on the effective date of <u>a change to</u>				
21	the guidelines and standards this act, may elect to continue				
22	such review pursuant to s. 380.06. At the conclusion of the				
23	pending review, including any appeals pursuant to s. 380.07,				
24	the resulting development order shall be governed by the				
25	provisions of subsection (1).				
26	(3) A landowner that has filed an application for a				
27	development-of-regional-impact review prior to the adoption of				
28	an optional sector plan pursuant to s. 163.3245 may elect to				
29	have the application reviewed pursuant to s. 380.06,				
30	comprehensive plan provisions in force prior to adoption of				
31	the sector plan, and any requested comprehensive plan 39				
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd				

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

Barcode 934324

1 amendments that accompany the application. Section 10. Paragraph (i) of subsection (2) of section 2 403.813, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 3 4 403.813 Permits issued at district centers; exceptions.--5 б (2) A permit is not required under this chapter, 7 chapter 373, chapter 61-691, Laws of Florida, or chapter 25214 or chapter 25270, 1949, Laws of Florida, for activities 8 associated with the following types of projects; however, 9 10 except as otherwise provided in this subsection, nothing in 11 this subsection relieves an applicant from any requirement to obtain permission to use or occupy lands owned by the Board of 12 13 Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund or any water management district in its governmental or proprietary 14 15 capacity or from complying with applicable local pollution 16 control programs authorized under this chapter or other requirements of county and municipal governments: 17 (i) The construction of private docks of 1,000 square 18 19 feet or less of over-water surface area and seawalls in artificially created waterways where such construction will 20 21 not violate existing water quality standards, impede 22 navigation, or affect flood control. This exemption does not apply to the construction of vertical seawalls in estuaries or 23 24 lagoons unless the proposed construction is within an existing manmade canal where the shoreline is currently occupied in 25 whole or part by vertical seawalls. 26 Section 11. In order to maintain the security of the 27 ports of this state and to ensure the continuous flow of goods 28 29 critical to the economic health and prosperity of this state, the ports of Jacksonville, Tampa, Port Everglades, Miami, Port 30 31 Canaveral, Ft. Pierce, Palm Beach, Port Manatee, Port St. Joe, 40 7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	Panama City, St. Petersburg, Pensacola, Fernandina, and Key					
2	West may not transfer ownership or exclusive management					
3	control of real property or port operations to an entity					
4	controlled by a foreign government or foreign business entity					
5	without the express consent of the Legislature.					
6	Section 12. <u>If any provision of this act or its</u>					
7	application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the					
8	invalidity does not affect other provisions or applications of					
9	the act which can be given effect without the invalid					
10	provision or application, and to this end the provisions of					
11	this act are severable.					
12	Section 13. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.					
13						
14						
15	======= TITLE AMENDMENT=========					
16	And the title is amended as follows:					
17	Delete everything before the enacting clause					
18						
19	and insert:					
20	A bill to be entitled					
21	An act relating to growth management; amending					
22	s. 163.3177, F.S.; encouraging local					
23	governments to adopt boating facility siting					
24	plans; providing criteria and exemptions for					
25	such plans; authorizing assistance for the					
26	development of such plans; amending s.					
27	163.3180, F.S., relating to concurrency;					
28	providing restrictions upon requirements that					
29	local governments may impose upon					
30	transportation facilities; amending s. 197.303,					
31	F.S.; revising the criteria for ad valorem tax 41					
	7:53 PM 03/24/06 s1020cld-ep20-tdd					

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	deferral for working waterfront properties;
2	including public lodging establishments in the
3	description of working waterfront properties;
4	amending s. 342.07, F.S.; adding recreational
5	activities as an important state interest;
6	including public lodging establishments within
7	the definition of the term "recreational and
8	commercial working waterfront"; creating s.
9	373.4132, F.S.; directing water management
10	district governing boards and the Department of
11	Environmental Protection to require permits for
12	certain activities relating to certain dry
13	storage facilities; providing criteria for
14	application of such permits; preserving
15	regulatory authority for the department and
16	governing boards; amending s. 380.06, F.S.;
17	providing for the state land planning agency to
18	determine the amount of development that
19	remains to be built in certain circumstances;
20	specifying certain requirements for a
21	development order; revising the circumstances
22	in which a local government may issue permits
23	for development subsequent to the buildout
24	date; revising the definition of an essentially
25	built-out development; revising the criteria
26	under which a proposed change constitutes a
27	substantial deviation; clarifying the criteria
28	under which the extension of a buildout date is
29	presumed to create a substantial deviation;
30	requiring that notice of any change to certain
31	set-aside areas be submitted to the local
	42 7:53 PM 03/24/06

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Florida Senate - 2006

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1	l				
1	government; requiring that notice of certain				
2	changes be given to the state land planning				
3	agency, regional planning agency, and local				
4	government; revising the statutory exemptions				
5	from development-of-regional-impact review for				
6	certain facilities; removing waterport and				
7	marina developments from				
8	development-of-regional-impact review;				
9	providing statutory exemptions for the				
10	development of certain facilities; providing				
11	that the impacts from an exempt use that will				
12	be part of a larger project be included in the				
13	development-of-regional-impact review of the				
14	larger project; amending s. 380.0651, F.S.;				
15	revising the statewide guidelines and standards				
16	6 for development-of-regional-impact review of				
17	certain types of developments; allowing the				
18	state land planning agency to consider the				
19	impacts of independent developments of regional				
20	impact cumulatively under certain				
21	circumstances; amending s. 380.07, F.S.;				
22	revising the appellate procedures for				
23	development orders within a development of				
24	regional impact to the Florida Land and Water				
25	Adjudicatory Commission; amending s. 380.115,				
26	F.S.; providing that a change in a				
27	development-of-regional-impact guideline and				
28	standard does not abridge or modify any vested				
29	right or duty under a development order;				
30	providing a process for the rescission of a				
31	development order by the local government in				
	43 7:53 PM 03/24/06 43 s1020cld-ep20-tdd				
	I				

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT

Florida Senate - 2006

Bill No. <u>CS for SB 1020</u>

1								
1		certain circumstances;						
2	for certain applications for development							
3	ä	approval and notices of proposed changes;						
4	1	prohibiting the sale or exclusive control of						
5	;	the real property or operations of any port in						
б	1	this state to an entity controlled by a foreign						
7	9	government or a foreign business entity without						
8	the express consent of the Legislature;							
9	providing for severability; providing an							
10	effective date.							
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
	7:53 PM	03/24/06	44	s1020cld-ep20-tdd				