Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

	CHAMBER ACTION <u>Senate</u> <u>House</u>
1	
1 2	
∠ 3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Senator Bennett moved the following amendment:
12	
13	Senate Amendment (with title amendment)
14	On page 3, line 28, through
15	page 17, line 16, delete those lines
16	
17	and insert:
18	Section 3. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2), paragraph
19	(f) of subsection (5) , subsection (7) , subsection (13) , and
20	paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (e), and (f) of subsection (16) of
21	section 163.3180, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
22	163.3180 Concurrency
23	(2)
24	(c) Consistent with the public welfare, and except as
25	otherwise provided in this section, transportation facilities
26	needed to serve new development shall be in place or under
27	actual construction or programmed for construction to commence
28	in the Department of Transportation's work program or the
29	local government's schedule of capital improvements within 3
30	years after the local government approves a building permit or
31	its functional equivalent that results in traffic generation.
	3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

	Barcoue 305/34
1	(5)
2	(f) Prior to the designation of a concurrency
3	exception area, the Department of Transportation shall be
4	consulted by the local government to assess the impact that
5	the proposed exception area is expected to have on the adopted
6	level-of-service standards established for Strategic
7	Intermodal System facilities, as defined in s. 339.64, and
8	roadway facilities funded in accordance with s. 339.2819.
9	Further, the local government shall, in cooperation with the
10	Department of Transportation, develop a plan to mitigate any
11	impacts to the Strategic Intermodal System, including, if
12	appropriate, the development of a long-term concurrency
13	management system pursuant to subsection (9) and s.
14	163.3177(3)(d). The exceptions may be available only within
15	the specific geographic area of the jurisdiction designated in
16	the plan. Pursuant to s. 163.3184, any affected person may
17	challenge a plan amendment establishing these guidelines and
18	the areas within which an exception could be granted. By
19	October 1, 2006, the Department of Transportation, after
20	publicly noticed workshops, shall publish and distribute to
21	local governments a policy guideline containing criteria and
22	options to assist local governments in planning to assess and
23	mitigate the impacts of a proposed concurrency exception area
24	as described in this paragraph.
25	(7) In order to promote infill development and
26	redevelopment, one or more transportation concurrency
27	management areas may be designated in a local government
28	comprehensive plan. A transportation concurrency management
29	area must be a compact geographic area with an existing
30	network of roads where multiple, viable alternative travel
31	paths or modes are available for common trips. A local $\frac{2}{3}$
	2 3:30 PM 05/02/06 21858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

Barcode 305734

1 government may establish an areawide level-of-service standard for such a transportation concurrency management area based 2 upon an analysis that provides for a justification for the 3 4 areawide level of service, how urban infill development or redevelopment will be promoted, and how mobility will be 5 accomplished within the transportation concurrency management 6 7 area. Prior to the designation of a concurrency management area, the Department of Transportation shall be consulted by 8 the local government to assess the impact that the proposed 9 10 concurrency management area is expected to have on the adopted 11 level-of-service standards established for Strategic Intermodal System facilities, as defined in s. 339.64, and 12 13 roadway facilities funded in accordance with s. 339.2819. Further, the local government shall, in cooperation with the 14 15 Department of Transportation, develop a plan to mitigate any 16 impacts to the Strategic Intermodal System, including, if appropriate, the development of a long-term concurrency 17 management system pursuant to subsection (9) and s. 18 19 163.3177(3)(d). Transportation concurrency management areas 20 existing prior to July 1, 2005, shall meet, at a minimum, the 21 provisions of this section by July 1, 2006, or at the time of 22 the comprehensive plan update pursuant to the evaluation and appraisal report, whichever occurs last. The state land 23 24 planning agency shall amend chapter 9J-5, Florida Administrative Code, to be consistent with this subsection. By 25 October 1, 2006, the Department of Transportation, after 26 publicly noticed workshops, shall publish and distribute to 27 local governments a policy guideline containing criteria and 28 options to assist local governments in planning to assess and 29 30 mitigate the impacts of a proposed concurrency management area as described in this paragraph. 31 3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

1	(13) School concurrency shall be established on a
2	districtwide basis and shall include all public schools in the
3	district and all portions of the district, whether located in
4	a municipality or an unincorporated area unless exempt from
5	the public school facilities element pursuant to s.
б	163.3177(12). The application of school concurrency to
7	development shall be based upon the adopted comprehensive
8	plan, as amended. All local governments within a county,
9	except as provided in paragraph (f), shall adopt and transmit
10	to the state land planning agency <u>and adopt</u> the necessary plan
11	amendments, along with the interlocal agreement, for a
12	compliance review pursuant to s. 163.3184(7) and (8). The
13	minimum requirements for school concurrency are the following:
14	(a) Public school facilities elementA local
15	government shall adopt and transmit to the state land planning
16	agency <u>and adopt</u> a plan or plan amendment <u>that</u> which includes
17	a public school facilities element which is consistent with
18	the requirements of s. $163.3177(12)$ and which is determined to
19	be in compliance as defined in s. 163.3184(1)(b). All local
20	government public school facilities plan elements within a
21	county must be consistent with each other as well as the
22	requirements of this part.
23	(b) Level-of-service standardsThe Legislature
24	recognizes that an essential requirement for a concurrency
25	management system is the level of service at which a public
26	facility is expected to operate.
27	1. Local governments and school boards imposing school
28	concurrency shall exercise authority in conjunction with each
29	other to establish jointly adequate level-of-service
30	standards, as defined in chapter 9J-5, Florida Administrative
31	Code, necessary to implement the adopted local government 4
	3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

s1858c2c-21-c3g

Bill No. CS for CS for SB 1858

Barcode 305734

1 comprehensive plan, based on data and analysis.

2. Public school level-of-service standards shall be 2 included and adopted into the capital improvements element of 3 4 the local comprehensive plan and shall apply districtwide to all schools of the same type. Types of schools may include 5 elementary, middle, and high schools as well as special 6 7 purpose facilities such as magnet schools. 3. Local governments and school boards shall have the 8 option to utilize tiered level-of-service standards to allow 9 10 time to achieve an adequate and desirable level of service as 11 circumstances warrant. (c) Service areas.--The Legislature recognizes that an 12 essential requirement for a concurrency system is a 13 designation of the area within which the level of service will 14 15 be measured when an application for a residential development permit is reviewed for school concurrency purposes. This 16 delineation is also important for purposes of determining 17 whether the local government has a financially feasible public 18 19 school capital facilities program that will provide schools which will achieve and maintain the adopted level-of-service 20 21 standards. 22 1. In order to balance competing interests, preserve the constitutional concept of uniformity, and avoid disruption 23 24 of existing educational and growth management processes, local governments are encouraged to initially apply school 25 concurrency to development only on a districtwide basis so 26 that a concurrency determination for a specific development 27 will be based upon the availability of school capacity 28 29 districtwide. To ensure that development is coordinated with

30 schools having available capacity, within 5 years after 31 adoption of school concurrency, local governments shall apply 5

3:30 PM 05/02/06

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. CS for CS for SB 1858

Barcode 305734

school concurrency on a less than districtwide basis, such as
 using school attendance zones or concurrency service areas, as
 provided in subparagraph 2.

4 2. For local governments applying school concurrency on a less than districtwide basis, such as utilizing school 5 attendance zones or larger school concurrency service areas, 6 7 local governments and school boards shall have the burden to demonstrate that the utilization of school capacity is 8 maximized to the greatest extent possible in the comprehensive 9 10 plan and amendment, taking into account transportation costs 11 and court-approved desegregation plans, as well as other factors. In addition, in order to achieve concurrency within 12 the service area boundaries selected by local governments and 13 school boards, the service area boundaries, together with the 14 15 standards for establishing those boundaries, shall be identified and included as supporting data and analysis for 16 the comprehensive plan. 17

18 3. Where school capacity is available on a 19 districtwide basis but school concurrency is applied on a less than districtwide basis in the form of concurrency service 20 areas, if the adopted level-of-service standard cannot be met 21 22 in a particular service area as applied to an application for a development permit and if the needed capacity for the 23 2.4 particular service area is available in one or more contiguous service areas, as adopted by the local government, then the 25 local government may not deny an application for site plan or 26 final subdivision approval or the functional equivalent for a 27 28 development or phase of a development on the basis of school 29 concurrency, and if issued, development impacts shall be shifted to contiguous service areas with schools having 30 31 available capacity. 6 3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

Barcode 305734

1 (d) Financial feasibility.--The Legislature recognizes that financial feasibility is an important issue because the 2 premise of concurrency is that the public facilities will be 3 4 provided in order to achieve and maintain the adopted level-of-service standard. This part and chapter 9J-5, Florida 5 Administrative Code, contain specific standards to determine 6 7 the financial feasibility of capital programs. These standards were adopted to make concurrency more predictable and local 8 governments more accountable. 9

10 1. A comprehensive plan amendment seeking to impose 11 school concurrency shall contain appropriate amendments to the capital improvements element of the comprehensive plan, 12 consistent with the requirements of s. 163.3177(3) and rule 13 9J-5.016, Florida Administrative Code. The capital 14 15 improvements element shall set forth a financially feasible public school capital facilities program, established in 16 conjunction with the school board, that demonstrates that the 17 adopted level-of-service standards will be achieved and 18 19 maintained.

20 2. Such amendments shall demonstrate that the public 21 school capital facilities program meets all of the financial 22 feasibility standards of this part and chapter 9J-5, Florida 23 Administrative Code, that apply to capital programs which 24 provide the basis for mandatory concurrency on other public 25 facilities and services.

3. When the financial feasibility of a public school capital facilities program is evaluated by the state land planning agency for purposes of a compliance determination, the evaluation shall be based upon the service areas selected by the local governments and school board.

31 (e) Availability standard.--Consistent with the public 7 3:30 PM 05/02/06 51858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. CS for CS for SB 1858

Barcode 305734

1 welfare, a local government may not deny an application for site plan, final subdivision approval, or the functional 2 equivalent for a development or phase of a development 3 4 authorizing residential development for failure to achieve and maintain the level-of-service standard for public school 5 capacity in a local school concurrency management system where 6 7 adequate school facilities will be in place or under actual construction within 3 years after the issuance of final 8 subdivision or site plan approval, or the functional 9 10 equivalent. School concurrency shall be satisfied if the 11 developer executes a legally binding commitment to provide proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate to the 12 13 demand for public school facilities to be created by actual development of the property, including, but not limited to, 14 15 the options described in subparagraph 1. Options for 16 proportionate fair-share proportionate-share mitigation of impacts on public school facilities shall be established in 17 the public school facilities element and the interlocal 18 19 agreement pursuant to s. 163.31777. 1. Appropriate proportionate fair-share mitigation 20 21 options include the contribution of land; the construction, 22 expansion, or payment for land acquisition or construction of a public school facility; or the creation of mitigation 23 24 banking based on the construction of a public school facility 25 in exchange for the right to sell capacity credits. Such options must include execution by the applicant and the local 26 government of a binding development agreement that constitutes 27 a legally binding commitment to pay proportionate fair-share 28 29 proportionate-share mitigation for the additional residential units approved by the local government in a development order 30 31 and actually developed on the property, taking into account 3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

1	residential density allowed on the property prior to the plan
2	amendment that increased overall residential density. The
3	district school board shall be a party to such an agreement.
4	As a condition of its entry into such a development agreement,
5	the local government may require the landowner to agree to
б	continuing renewal of the agreement upon its expiration.
7	2. If the education facilities plan and the public
8	educational facilities element authorize a contribution of
9	land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land
10	acquisition; or the construction or expansion of a public
11	school facility, or a portion thereof, as proportionate
12	fair-share proportionate-share mitigation, the local
13	government shall credit such a contribution, construction,
14	expansion, or payment toward any other impact fee or exaction
15	imposed by local ordinance for the same need, on a
16	dollar-for-dollar basis at fair market value.
17	3. Any proportionate fair-share proportionate-share
18	mitigation must be directed by the school board toward a
19	school capacity improvement identified in a financially
20	feasible 5-year district work plan and which satisfies the
21	demands created by that development in accordance with a
22	binding developer's agreement.
23	4. This paragraph does not limit the authority of a
24	local government to deny a development permit or its
25	functional equivalent pursuant to its home rule regulatory
26	powers, except as provided in this part.
27	(f) Intergovernmental coordination
28	1. When establishing concurrency requirements for
29	public schools, a local government shall satisfy the
30	requirements for intergovernmental coordination set forth in
31	s. 163.3177(6)(h)1. and 2., except that a municipality is not 9
	3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

1	required to be a signatory to the interlocal agreement
2	required by ss. 163.3177(6)(h)2. and <u>163.31777</u> 163.31777(6) ,
3	as a prerequisite for imposition of school concurrency, and as
4	a nonsignatory, shall not participate in the adopted local
5	school concurrency system, if the municipality meets all of
б	the following criteria for having no significant impact on
7	school attendance:
8	a. The municipality has issued development orders for
9	fewer than 50 residential dwelling units during the preceding
10	5 years, or the municipality has generated fewer than 25
11	additional public school students during the preceding 5
12	years.
13	b. The municipality has not annexed new land during
14	the preceding 5 years in land use categories which permit
15	residential uses that will affect school attendance rates.
16	c. The municipality has no public schools located
17	within its boundaries.
18	d. At least 80 percent of the developable land within
19	the boundaries of the municipality has been built upon.
20	2. A municipality <u>that</u> which qualifies as having no
21	significant impact on school attendance pursuant to the
22	criteria of subparagraph 1. must review and determine at the
23	time of its evaluation and appraisal report pursuant to s.
24	163.3191 whether it continues to meet the criteria pursuant to
25	s. 163.31777(6). If the municipality determines that it no
26	longer meets the criteria, it must adopt appropriate school
27	concurrency goals, objectives, and policies in its plan
28	amendments based on the evaluation and appraisal report, and
29	enter into the existing interlocal agreement required by ss.
30	163.3177(6)(h)2. and 163.31777, in order to fully participate
31	in the school concurrency system. If such a municipality 10
	3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. CS for CS for SB 1858

Barcode 305734

1 fails to do so, it will be subject to the enforcement 2 provisions of s. 163.3191.

(g) Interlocal agreement for school concurrency.--When 3 4 establishing concurrency requirements for public schools, a local government must enter into an interlocal agreement that 5 satisfies the requirements in ss. 163.3177(6)(h)1. and 2. and 6 7 163.31777 and the requirements of this subsection. The interlocal agreement shall acknowledge both the school board's 8 constitutional and statutory obligations to provide a uniform 9 10 system of free public schools on a countywide basis, and the 11 land use authority of local governments, including their authority to approve or deny comprehensive plan amendments and 12 13 development orders. The interlocal agreement shall be submitted to the state land planning agency by the local 14 15 government as a part of the compliance review, along with the other necessary amendments to the comprehensive plan required 16 by this part. In addition to the requirements of ss. 17 163.3177(6)(h) and 163.31777, the interlocal agreement shall 18 19 meet the following requirements: 20 1. Establish the mechanisms for coordinating the development, adoption, and amendment of each local 21 22 government's public school facilities element with each other and the plans of the school board to ensure a uniform 23 24 districtwide school concurrency system. 2. Establish a process for the development of siting 25 criteria which encourages the location of public schools 26 proximate to urban residential areas to the extent possible 27 28 and seeks to collocate schools with other public facilities 29 such as parks, libraries, and community centers to the extent 30 possible. 31 3. Specify uniform, districtwide level-of-service 11 3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

3:30 PM

05/02/06

SENATOR AMENDMENT

s1858c2c-21-c3g

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

Barcode 305734

1 standards for public schools of the same type and the process for modifying the adopted level-of-service standards. 2 4. Establish a process for the preparation, amendment, 3 4 and joint approval by each local government and the school board of a public school capital facilities program which is 5 financially feasible, and a process and schedule for 6 7 incorporation of the public school capital facilities program into the local government comprehensive plans on an annual 8 basis. 9 10 5. Define the geographic application of school 11 concurrency. If school concurrency is to be applied on a less than districtwide basis in the form of concurrency service 12 13 areas, the agreement shall establish criteria and standards for the establishment and modification of school concurrency 14 15 service areas. The agreement shall also establish a process and schedule for the mandatory incorporation of the school 16 concurrency service areas and the criteria and standards for 17 18 establishment of the service areas into the local government 19 comprehensive plans. The agreement shall ensure maximum 20 utilization of school capacity, taking into account transportation costs and court-approved desegregation plans, 21 22 as well as other factors. The agreement shall also ensure the achievement and maintenance of the adopted level-of-service 23 2.4 standards for the geographic area of application throughout the 5 years covered by the public school capital facilities 25 plan and thereafter by adding a new fifth year during the 26 annual update. 27 6. Establish a uniform districtwide procedure for 28 29 implementing school concurrency which provides for: a. The evaluation of development applications for 30 31 compliance with school concurrency requirements, including 12

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

1	information provided by the school board on affected schools,
2	impact on levels of service, and programmed improvements for
3	affected schools and any options to provide sufficient
4	capacity;
5	b. An opportunity for the school board to review and
б	comment on the effect of comprehensive plan amendments and
7	rezonings on the public school facilities plan; and
8	c. The monitoring and evaluation of the school
9	concurrency system.
10	7. Include provisions relating to amendment of the
11	agreement.
12	8. A process and uniform methodology for determining
13	proportionate fair-share proportionate-share mitigation
14	pursuant to subparagraph (e)1.
15	(h) Local government authorityThis subsection does
16	not limit the authority of a local government to grant or deny
17	a development permit or its functional equivalent prior to the
18	implementation of school concurrency.
19	(16) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide a
20	method by which the impacts of development on transportation
21	facilities can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the
22	public and private sectors. The methodology used to calculate
23	proportionate fair-share mitigation under this section shall
24	be as provided for in subsection (12).
25	(a) By December 1, 2006, each local government shall
26	adopt by ordinance a methodology for assessing proportionate
27	fair-share mitigation options. <u>A local government that fails</u>
28	to adopt a methodology for assessing proportionate fair-share
29	mitigation options by December 1, 2006, shall be subject to
30	the sanctions described in s. 163.3184(11)(a) imposed by the
31	Administration Commission. By December 1, 2005, the Department
	13 3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

concurrency management ordinance with methodologies for assessing proportionate fair-share mitigation options. (b)1. In its transportation concurrency management system, a local government shall, by December 1, 2006, include methodologies that will be applied to calculate proportionate fair-share mitigation. <u>A local government that fails to</u> include such methodologies by December 1, 2006, shall be subject to the sanctions described in s. 163.3184(11)(a) imposed by the Administration Commission. A developer may choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. <u>163.3164(32)</u> 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements <u>14</u> 05/02/0	1	of Transportation shall develop a model transportation
 (b)1. In its transportation concurrency management system, a local government shall, by December 1, 2006, include methodologies that will be applied to calculate proportionate fair-share mitigation. <u>A local government that fails to</u> include such methodologies by December 1, 2006, shall be subject to the sanctions described in s. 163,3184(11)(a) imposed by the Administration Commission. A developer may choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 163,3164(32) 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 	2	concurrency management ordinance with methodologies for
5 system, a local government shall, by December 1, 2006, include methodologies that will be applied to calculate proportionate fair-share mitigation. <u>A local government that fails to</u> include such methodologies by December 1, 2006, shall be subject to the sanctions described in s. 163.3184(11)(a) imposed by the Administration Commission. A developer may choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 163.3164(32) 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	3	assessing proportionate fair-share mitigation options.
<pre>6 methodologies that will be applied to calculate proportionate 7 fair-share mitigation. <u>A local government that fails to</u> 8 include such methodologies by December 1, 2006, shall be 9 subject to the sanctions described in s. 163.3184(11)(a) 10 imposed by the Administration Commission. A developer may 11 choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements 12 by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation 13 if transportation facilities or facility segments identified 14 as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified 15 for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in 16 the capital improvements element of the local plan or the 17 long-term concurrency management system or if such 18 contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are 19 reflected in the 5-year schedule of the capital 11 improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital 12 improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share 13 contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 14 <u>163.3164(32)</u> 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional 15 contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably 16 anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully 17 mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 18 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be 19 applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all 20 or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is 21 used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 22 14</pre>	4	(b)1. In its transportation concurrency management
fair-share mitigation. <u>A local government that fails to</u> include such methodologies by December 1, 2006, shall be subject to the sanctions described in s. 163.3184(11)(a) imposed by the Administration Commission. A developer may choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 163.3164(32) 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	5	system, a local government shall, by December 1, 2006, include
include such methodologies by December 1, 2006, shall be subject to the sanctions described in s. 163.3184(11)(a) imposed by the Administration Commission. A developer may choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 163.3164(32) 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	б	methodologies that will be applied to calculate proportionate
subject to the sanctions described in s. 163.3184(11)(a) imposed by the Administration Commission. A developer may choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 163.3164(32) 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	7	fair-share mitigation. <u>A local government that fails to</u>
10imposed by the Administration Commission. A developer may11choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements12by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation13if transportation facilities or facility segments identified14as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified15for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in16the capital improvements element of the local plan or the17long-term concurrency management system or if such18contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are19reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in20the next regularly scheduled update of the capital21improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital22improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share23contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss.24163.3164(32)25contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably26anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully27mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities.282. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be29applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all30or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is31used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements	8	include such methodologies by December 1, 2006, shall be
choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. <u>163.3164(32)</u> 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements <u>14</u>	9	subject to the sanctions described in s. 163.3184(11)(a)
by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements	10	imposed by the Administration Commission. A developer may
if transportation facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	11	choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements
as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 163.3164(32) 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	12	by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation
for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. <u>163.3164(32)</u> 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements <u>14</u>	13	if transportation facilities or facility segments identified
the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. <u>163.3164(32)</u> 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements <u>14</u>	14	as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified
17 long-term concurrency management system or if such 18 contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are 19 reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in 20 the next regularly scheduled update of the capital 21 improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital 22 improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share 23 contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 24 <u>163.3164(32)</u> 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional 25 contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably 26 anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully 27 mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 28 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be 29 applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all 30 or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is 31 used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 31	15	for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in
18 contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are 19 reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in 10 the next regularly scheduled update of the capital 11 improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital 122 improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share 133 contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 143.3164(32) 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional 155 contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably 164 anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully 176 mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 187 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be 199 applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all 130 or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is 131 used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	16	the capital improvements element of the local plan or the
 reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. <u>163.3164(32)</u> <u>163.164(32)</u> and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 	17	long-term concurrency management system or if such
the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. <u>163.3164(32)</u> 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements <u>14</u>	18	contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are
 improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 163.3164(32) 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 	19	reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in
improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. <u>163.3164(32)</u> 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements <u>14</u>	20	the next regularly scheduled update of the capital
contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 163.3164(32) 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements	21	improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital
163.3164(32) 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	22	improvements element which reflect proportionate fair-share
25 contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably 26 anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully 27 mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 28 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be 29 applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all 30 or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is 31 used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	23	contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss.
anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	24	<u>163.3164(32)</u> 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional
27 mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 28 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be 29 applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all 30 or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is 31 used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	25	contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably
28 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be 29 applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all 30 or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is 31 used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	26	anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully
29 applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all 30 or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is 31 used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	27	mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities.
<pre>30 or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is 31 used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements</pre>	28	2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be
31 used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements 14	29	applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all
14	30	or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is
	31	

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

Barcode 305734

1 contemplated by the local government's impact fee ordinance. (c) Proportionate fair-share mitigation includes, 2 without limitation, separately or collectively, private funds, 3 4 contributions of land, and construction and contribution of facilities and may include public funds as determined by the 5 local government. The fair market value of the proportionate 6 7 fair-share mitigation shall not differ based on the form of mitigation. A local government may not require a development 8 to pay more than its proportionate fair-share mitigation 9 10 contribution regardless of the method of mitigation. 11 (e) Mitigation for development impacts to facilities on the Strategic Intermodal System made pursuant to this 12 13 subsection requires the concurrence of the Department of Transportation. The department has 60 days from the date of 14 15 submission by the applicable local government to concur or withhold concurrence with the mitigation of development 16 impacts to facilities on the Strategic Intermodal System. If 17 the department does not respond within the 60-day period, the 18 19 department is deemed to have concurred with the mitigation. 20 (f) <u>If</u> In the event the funds in an adopted 5-year capital improvements element are insufficient to fully fund 21 22 construction of a transportation improvement required by the 23 local government's concurrency management system, a local 24 government and a developer may still enter into a binding proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate-share 25 agreement authorizing the developer to construct that amount 26 of development on which the proportionate fair-share 27 28 mitigation share is calculated if the proportionate fair-share 29 <u>mitigation</u> proportionate-share amount in such agreement is sufficient to pay for one or more improvements that which 30 will, in the opinion of the governmental entity or entities 31 15 3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

1	maintaining the transportation facilities, significantly
2	benefit the impacted transportation system. The improvement or
3	improvements funded by the proportionate fair-share mitigation
4	proportionate-share component must be adopted into the 5-year
5	capital improvements schedule of the comprehensive plan at the
6	next annual capital improvements element update.
7	Section 4. Subsection (17) of section 163.3184,
8	Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
9	163.3184 Process for adoption of comprehensive plan or
10	plan amendment
11	(17) A local government that has adopted a community
12	vision and urban service boundary under <u>s. 163.3177(13)</u> s.
13	$\frac{163.31773(13)}{163.31773(13)}$ and (14) may adopt a plan amendment related to
14	map amendments solely to property within an urban service
15	boundary in the manner described in subsections (1), (2), (7),
16	(14), (15), and (16) and s. 163.3187(1)(c)1.d. and e., 2., and
17	3., such that state and regional agency review is eliminated.
18	The department may not issue an objections, recommendations,
19	and comments report on proposed plan amendments or a notice of
20	intent on adopted plan amendments; however, affected persons,
21	as defined by paragraph (1)(a), may file a petition for
22	administrative review pursuant to the requirements of s.
23	163.3187(3)(a) to challenge the compliance of an adopted plan
24	amendment. This subsection does not apply to any amendment
25	within an area of critical state concern, to any amendment
26	that increases residential densities allowable in high-hazard
27	coastal areas as defined in s. 163.3178(2)(h), or to a text
28	change to the goals, policies, or objectives of the local
29	government's comprehensive plan. Amendments submitted under
30	this subsection are exempt from the limitation on the
31	frequency of plan amendments in s. 163.3187.
	16 3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. CS for CS for SB 1858

Barcode 305734

1 Section 5. Paragraph (a) of subsection (3) of section 163.3247, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 2 3 163.3247 Century Commission for a Sustainable 4 Florida.--(3) CENTURY COMMISSION FOR A SUSTAINABLE FLORIDA; 5 б CREATION; ORGANIZATION. -- The Century Commission for a 7 Sustainable Florida is created as a standing body to help the citizens of this state envision and plan their collective 8 future with an eye towards both 25-year and 50-year horizons. 9 10 (a) The commission shall consist of 15 members, 5 11 appointed by the Governor, 5 appointed by the President of the Senate, and 5 appointed by the Speaker of the House of 12 13 Representatives. Appointments shall be made no later than October 1, 2005. The membership must represent local 14 15 governments, school boards, developers and homebuilders, the business community, the agriculture community, the 16 environmental community, and other appropriate stakeholders. 17 The membership shall reflect the demographic makeup of the 18 19 state. One member shall be designated by the Governor as chair 20 of the commission. Any vacancy that occurs on the commission 21 must be filled in the same manner as the original appointment 22 and shall be for the unexpired term of that commission seat. 23 Members shall serve 4-year terms, except that, initially, to 2.4 provide for staggered terms, the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives 25 shall each appoint one member to serve a 2-year term, two 26 members to serve 3-year terms, and two members to serve 4-year 27 28 terms. All subsequent appointments shall be for 4-year terms. 29 An appointee may not serve more than 6 years. 30 31 17

3:30 PM 05/02/06

s1858c2c-21-c3g

SENATOR AMENDMENT

Bill No. <u>CS for CS for SB 1858</u>

Barcode 305734

1 And the title is amended as follows: 2 On page 1, lines 5 through 12, delete those lines 3 4 5 and insert: б cross-reference; amending s. 163.3180, F.S.; 7 clarifying that local governments may rely on certain projects in the Department of 8 9 Transportation's work program for purposes of 10 transportation concurrency; requiring the 11 Department of Transportation to publish and distribute certain policy guidelines; 12 13 authorizing sanctions against a local government that does not adopt a methodology 14 15 for assessing proportionate fair-share 16 mitigation or include the methodology in the local government's transportation concurrency 17 management system; providing a timeframe for 18 the Department of Transportation to concur or 19 withhold concurrence on the mitigation of 20 21 development impacts on certain transportation 22 facilities; correcting cross-references; conforming terminology; amending s. 163.3184, 23 24 F.S.; correcting a cross-reference; amending s. 163.3247, F.S.; revising criteria for 25 appointments to the Century Commission for a 26 Sustainable Florida; amending s. 27 28 29 30 31 18 3:30 PM 05/02/06 s1858c2c-21-c3g