${\bf By}$ the Committees on Government Efficiency Appropriations; and Community Affairs

593-2403-06

1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to growth management; amending
3	s. 163.3164, F.S.; conforming terminology;
4	amending s. 163.3177, F.S.; correcting a
5	cross-reference; amending s. 163.3180, F.S.;
6	correcting cross-references; conforming
7	terminology; amending s. 163.3184, F.S.;
8	correcting a cross-reference; amending s.
9	163.3247, F.S.; expanding the membership of the
10	Century Commission for a Sustainable Florida;
11	revising the date for completion of
12	appointments to the commission; amending s.
13	339.2819, F.S.; correcting a cross-reference;
14	repealing s. 339.55(10), F.S., which
15	appropriates certain funds to the State
16	Infrastructure Bank from the State
17	Transportation Trust Fund; amending s. 380.06,
18	F.S.; conforming terminology; amending s.
19	1013.65, F.S.; revising the sum appropriated
20	for the Classrooms for Kids Program; providing
21	a continuing appropriation for the High Growth
22	District Capital Outlay Assistance Grant
23	Program; amending s. 1013.738, F.S.; revising
24	the prerequisites to the establishment of the
25	High Growth District Capital Outlay Assistance
26	Grant Program; revising the eligibility
27	criteria for the program; revising provisions
28	for allocation of funds provided by the General
29	Appropriations Act to the Public Education
30	Capital Outlay and Debt Service Trust Fund;
31	reducing the amount appropriated in section 27

```
1
           of chapter 2005-290, Laws of Florida, to the
 2
           State Transportation Trust Fund in the
           Department of Transportation for the 2005-2006
 3
           fiscal year; providing an appropriation;
 4
 5
           providing an effective date.
 6
 7
   Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
 8
           Section 1. Subsection (32) of section 163.3164,
 9
   Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
10
           163.3164 Local Government Comprehensive Planning and
11
12
   Land Development Regulation Act; definitions. -- As used in this
13
   act:
           (32) "Financial feasibility" means that sufficient
14
   revenues are currently available or will be available from
15
    committed funding sources for the first 3 years, or will be
16
17
   available from committed or planned funding sources for years
18
    4 and 5, of a 5-year capital improvement schedule for
    financing capital improvements, such as ad valorem taxes,
19
   bonds, state and federal funds, tax revenues, impact fees, and
20
21
   developer contributions, which are adequate to fund the
22
   projected costs of the capital improvements identified in the
23
    comprehensive plan necessary to ensure that adopted
    level-of-service standards are achieved and maintained within
2.4
    the period covered by the 5-year schedule of capital
25
    improvements. The requirement that level-of-service standards
26
27
   be achieved and maintained shall not apply if the
2.8
   proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate share
   process set forth in s. 163.3180(12) and (16) is used.
29
30
           Section 2. Paragraph (c) of subsection (13) of section
   163.3177, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
```

3

4

5

7

8

9

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

- 163.3177 Required and optional elements of comprehensive plan; studies and surveys.--
- (13) Local governments are encouraged to develop a community vision that provides for sustainable growth, recognizes its fiscal constraints, and protects its natural resources. At the request of a local government, the applicable regional planning council shall provide assistance in the development of a community vision.
- (c) As part of the workshops and public meetings, the local government must discuss strategies for addressing the topics discussed under paragraph (b), including:
- 1. Strategies to preserve open space and environmentally sensitive lands, and to encourage a healthy agricultural economy, including innovative planning and development strategies, such as the transfer of development rights;
- 2. Incentives for mixed-use development, including increased height and intensity standards for buildings that provide residential use in combination with office or commercial space;
 - 3. Incentives for workforce housing;
- 4. Designation of an urban service boundary pursuant to subsection(14) $\frac{(2)}{(2)}$; and
- 5. Strategies to provide mobility within the community and to protect the Strategic Intermodal System, including the development of a transportation corridor management plan under s. 337.273.
- Section 3. Subsection (13) and paragraphs (b), (c), and (f) of subsection (16) of section 163.3180, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
- 31 163.3180 Concurrency.--

2.4

2.8

- districtwide basis and shall include all public schools in the district and all portions of the district, whether located in a municipality or an unincorporated area unless exempt from the public school facilities element pursuant to s. 163.3177(12). The application of school concurrency to development shall be based upon the adopted comprehensive plan, as amended. All local governments within a county, except as provided in paragraph (f), shall adopt and transmit to the state land planning agency and adopt the necessary plan amendments, along with the interlocal agreement, for a compliance review pursuant to s. 163.3184(7) and (8). The minimum requirements for school concurrency are the following:
- (a) Public school facilities element.—A local government shall adopt and transmit to the state land planning agency and adopt a plan or plan amendment that which includes a public school facilities element which is consistent with the requirements of s. 163.3177(12) and which is determined to be in compliance as defined in s. 163.3184(1)(b). All local government public school facilities plan elements within a county must be consistent with each other as well as the requirements of this part.
- (b) Level-of-service standards.--The Legislature recognizes that an essential requirement for a concurrency management system is the level of service at which a public facility is expected to operate.
- 1. Local governments and school boards imposing school concurrency shall exercise authority in conjunction with each other to establish jointly adequate level-of-service standards, as defined in chapter 9J-5, Florida Administrative

2.8

Code, necessary to implement the adopted local government comprehensive plan, based on data and analysis.

- 2. Public school level-of-service standards shall be included and adopted into the capital improvements element of the local comprehensive plan and shall apply districtwide to all schools of the same type. Types of schools may include elementary, middle, and high schools as well as special purpose facilities such as magnet schools.
- 3. Local governments and school boards shall have the option to utilize tiered level-of-service standards to allow time to achieve an adequate and desirable level of service as circumstances warrant.
- (c) Service areas.--The Legislature recognizes that an essential requirement for a concurrency system is a designation of the area within which the level of service will be measured when an application for a residential development permit is reviewed for school concurrency purposes. This delineation is also important for purposes of determining whether the local government has a financially feasible public school capital facilities program that will provide schools which will achieve and maintain the adopted level-of-service standards.
- 1. In order to balance competing interests, preserve the constitutional concept of uniformity, and avoid disruption of existing educational and growth management processes, local governments are encouraged to initially apply school concurrency to development only on a districtwide basis so that a concurrency determination for a specific development will be based upon the availability of school capacity districtwide. To ensure that development is coordinated with schools having available capacity, within 5 years after

2.8

adoption of school concurrency, local governments shall apply school concurrency on a less than districtwide basis, such as using school attendance zones or concurrency service areas, as provided in subparagraph 2.

- 2. For local governments applying school concurrency on a less than districtwide basis, such as utilizing school attendance zones or larger school concurrency service areas, local governments and school boards shall have the burden to demonstrate that the utilization of school capacity is maximized to the greatest extent possible in the comprehensive plan and amendment, taking into account transportation costs and court-approved desegregation plans, as well as other factors. In addition, in order to achieve concurrency within the service area boundaries selected by local governments and school boards, the service area boundaries, together with the standards for establishing those boundaries, shall be identified and included as supporting data and analysis for the comprehensive plan.
- 3. Where school capacity is available on a districtwide basis but school concurrency is applied on a less than districtwide basis in the form of concurrency service areas, if the adopted level-of-service standard cannot be met in a particular service area as applied to an application for a development permit and if the needed capacity for the particular service area is available in one or more contiguous service areas, as adopted by the local government, then the local government may not deny an application for site plan or final subdivision approval or the functional equivalent for a development or phase of a development on the basis of school concurrency, and if issued, development impacts shall be

2.4

2.8

shifted to contiguous service areas with schools having available capacity.

- (d) Financial feasibility.--The Legislature recognizes that financial feasibility is an important issue because the premise of concurrency is that the public facilities will be provided in order to achieve and maintain the adopted level-of-service standard. This part and chapter 9J-5, Florida Administrative Code, contain specific standards to determine the financial feasibility of capital programs. These standards were adopted to make concurrency more predictable and local governments more accountable.
- 1. A comprehensive plan amendment seeking to impose school concurrency shall contain appropriate amendments to the capital improvements element of the comprehensive plan, consistent with the requirements of s. 163.3177(3) and rule 9J-5.016, Florida Administrative Code. The capital improvements element shall set forth a financially feasible public school capital facilities program, established in conjunction with the school board, that demonstrates that the adopted level-of-service standards will be achieved and maintained.
- 2. Such amendments shall demonstrate that the public school capital facilities program meets all of the financial feasibility standards of this part and chapter 9J-5, Florida Administrative Code, that apply to capital programs which provide the basis for mandatory concurrency on other public facilities and services.
- 3. When the financial feasibility of a public school capital facilities program is evaluated by the state land planning agency for purposes of a compliance determination,

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

2.4

25

2627

2.8

29

30

the evaluation shall be based upon the service areas selected by the local governments and school board.

- (e) Availability standard. -- Consistent with the public welfare, a local government may not deny an application for site plan, final subdivision approval, or the functional equivalent for a development or phase of a development authorizing residential development for failure to achieve and maintain the level-of-service standard for public school capacity in a local school concurrency management system where adequate school facilities will be in place or under actual construction within 3 years after the issuance of final subdivision or site plan approval, or the functional equivalent. School concurrency shall be satisfied if the developer executes a legally binding commitment to provide proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate to the demand for public school facilities to be created by actual development of the property, including, but not limited to, the options described in subparagraph 1. Options for proportionate fair-share proportionate share mitigation of impacts on public school facilities shall be established in the public school facilities element and the interlocal agreement pursuant to s. 163.31777.
- 1. Appropriate <u>proportionate fair-share</u> mitigation options include the contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition or construction of a public school facility; or the creation of mitigation banking based on the construction of a public school facility in exchange for the right to sell capacity credits. Such options must include execution by the applicant and the local government of a binding development agreement that constitutes a legally binding commitment to pay <u>proportionate fair-share</u>

2.4

2.8

proportionate share mitigation for the additional residential units approved by the local government in a development order and actually developed on the property, taking into account residential density allowed on the property prior to the plan amendment that increased overall residential density. The district school board shall be a party to such an agreement. As a condition of its entry into such a development agreement, the local government may require the landowner to agree to continuing renewal of the agreement upon its expiration.

- 2. If the education facilities plan and the public educational facilities element authorize a contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition; or the construction or expansion of a public school facility, or a portion thereof, as proportionate fair-share proportionate share mitigation, the local government shall credit such a contribution, construction, expansion, or payment toward any other impact fee or exaction imposed by local ordinance for the same need, on a dollar-for-dollar basis at fair market value.
- 3. Any proportionate fair-share proportionate share mitigation must be directed by the school board toward a school capacity improvement identified in a financially feasible 5-year district work plan and which satisfies the demands created by that development in accordance with a binding developer's agreement.
- 4. This paragraph does not limit the authority of a local government to deny a development permit or its functional equivalent pursuant to its home rule regulatory powers, except as provided in this part.
 - (f) Intergovernmental coordination. --

2.4

2.8

- 1. When establishing concurrency requirements for public schools, a local government shall satisfy the requirements for intergovernmental coordination set forth in s. 163.3177(6)(h)1. and 2., except that a municipality is not required to be a signatory to the interlocal agreement required by ss. 163.3177(6)(h)2. and 163.31777 163.31777(6), as a prerequisite for imposition of school concurrency, and as a nonsignatory, shall not participate in the adopted local school concurrency system, if the municipality meets all of the following criteria for having no significant impact on school attendance:
- a. The municipality has issued development orders for fewer than 50 residential dwelling units during the preceding 5 years, or the municipality has generated fewer than 25 additional public school students during the preceding 5 years.
- b. The municipality has not annexed new land during the preceding 5 years in land use categories which permit residential uses that will affect school attendance rates.
- c. The municipality has no public schools located within its boundaries.
- d. At least 80 percent of the developable land within the boundaries of the municipality has been built upon.
- 2. A municipality that which qualifies as having no significant impact on school attendance pursuant to the criteria of subparagraph 1. must review and determine at the time of its evaluation and appraisal report pursuant to s. 163.3191 whether it continues to meet the criteria pursuant to s. 163.31777(6). If the municipality determines that it no longer meets the criteria, it must adopt appropriate school concurrency goals, objectives, and policies in its plan

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

18

19

20 21

22

23

2.4

2.5 26

27

29

30

amendments based on the evaluation and appraisal report, and 2 enter into the existing interlocal agreement required by ss. 163.3177(6)(h)2. and 163.31777, in order to fully participate in the school concurrency system. If such a municipality 4 fails to do so, it will be subject to the enforcement 5 provisions of s. 163.3191.

- (g) Interlocal agreement for school concurrency. -- When establishing concurrency requirements for public schools, a local government must enter into an interlocal agreement that satisfies the requirements in ss. 163.3177(6)(h)1. and 2. and 163.31777 and the requirements of this subsection. The interlocal agreement shall acknowledge both the school board's constitutional and statutory obligations to provide a uniform system of free public schools on a countywide basis, and the land use authority of local governments, including their authority to approve or deny comprehensive plan amendments and development orders. The interlocal agreement shall be submitted to the state land planning agency by the local government as a part of the compliance review, along with the other necessary amendments to the comprehensive plan required by this part. In addition to the requirements of ss. 163.3177(6)(h) and 163.31777, the interlocal agreement shall meet the following requirements:
- 1. Establish the mechanisms for coordinating the development, adoption, and amendment of each local government's public school facilities element with each other and the plans of the school board to ensure a uniform districtwide school concurrency system.
- 2. Establish a process for the development of siting criteria which encourages the location of public schools proximate to urban residential areas to the extent possible

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

2021

22

23

2.4

2526

27

2.8

29

30

31

and seeks to collocate schools with other public facilities such as parks, libraries, and community centers to the extent possible.

- 3. Specify uniform, districtwide level-of-service standards for public schools of the same type and the process for modifying the adopted level-of-service standards.
- 4. Establish a process for the preparation, amendment, and joint approval by each local government and the school board of a public school capital facilities program which is financially feasible, and a process and schedule for incorporation of the public school capital facilities program into the local government comprehensive plans on an annual basis.
- 5. Define the geographic application of school concurrency. If school concurrency is to be applied on a less than districtwide basis in the form of concurrency service areas, the agreement shall establish criteria and standards for the establishment and modification of school concurrency service areas. The agreement shall also establish a process and schedule for the mandatory incorporation of the school concurrency service areas and the criteria and standards for establishment of the service areas into the local government comprehensive plans. The agreement shall ensure maximum utilization of school capacity, taking into account transportation costs and court-approved desegregation plans, as well as other factors. The agreement shall also ensure the achievement and maintenance of the adopted level-of-service standards for the geographic area of application throughout the 5 years covered by the public school capital facilities plan and thereafter by adding a new fifth year during the annual update.

3

4

5

8

9

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

2.4

25

2627

2.8

29

- 6. Establish a uniform districtwide procedure for implementing school concurrency which provides for:
- a. The evaluation of development applications for compliance with school concurrency requirements, including information provided by the school board on affected schools, impact on levels of service, and programmed improvements for affected schools and any options to provide sufficient capacity;
- b. An opportunity for the school board to review and comment on the effect of comprehensive plan amendments and rezonings on the public school facilities plan; and
- $\ensuremath{\mathtt{c.}}$ The monitoring and evaluation of the school concurrency system.
- 7. Include provisions relating to amendment of the agreement.
- 8. A process and uniform methodology for determining proportionate fair-share proportionate share mitigation pursuant to subparagraph (e)1.
- (h) Local government authority.--This subsection does not limit the authority of a local government to grant or deny a development permit or its functional equivalent prior to the implementation of school concurrency.
- (16) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide a method by which the impacts of development on transportation facilities can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the public and private sectors. The methodology used to calculate proportionate fair-share mitigation under this section shall be as provided for in subsection (12).
- (b)1. In its transportation concurrency management system, a local government shall, by December 1, 2006, include methodologies that will be applied to calculate proportionate

2021

22

23

2.4

2.5

2627

2.8

29

30

fair-share mitigation. A developer may choose to satisfy all 2 transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation 3 facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for 4 traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5 6 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital 7 improvements element of the local plan or the long-term 8 concurrency management system or if such contributions or 9 payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly 10 scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates 11 12 to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect 13 proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss. 163.3164(32) 163.164(32) and 14 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding 15 sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to 16 exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the 18 transportation facilities.

- 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements contemplated by the local government's impact fee ordinance.
- (c) Proportionate fair-share mitigation includes, without limitation, separately or collectively, private funds, contributions of land, and construction and contribution of facilities and may include public funds as determined by the local government. The fair market value of the proportionate fair-share mitigation shall not differ based on the form of mitigation. A local government may not require a development

3

4

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

1617

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

2627

29

30

to pay more than its proportionate fair-share <u>mitigation</u> contribution regardless of the method of mitigation.

(f) If In the event the funds in an adopted 5-year capital improvements element are insufficient to fully fund construction of a transportation improvement required by the local government's concurrency management system, a local government and a developer may still enter into a binding proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate share agreement authorizing the developer to construct that amount of development on which the proportionate fair-share mitigation share is calculated if the proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate share amount in such agreement is sufficient to pay for one or more improvements that which will, in the opinion of the governmental entity or entities maintaining the transportation facilities, significantly benefit the impacted transportation system. The improvement or improvements funded by the proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate share component must be adopted into the 5-year capital improvements schedule of the comprehensive plan at the next annual capital improvements element update.

Section 4. Subsection (17) of section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3184 Process for adoption of comprehensive plan or plan amendment.--

(17) A local government that has adopted a community vision and urban service boundary under <u>s. 163.3177(13)</u> s. 163.31773(13) and (14) may adopt a plan amendment related to map amendments solely to property within an urban service boundary in the manner described in subsections (1), (2), (7), (14), (15), and (16) and s. 163.3187(1)(c)1.d. and e., 2., and 3., such that state and regional agency review is eliminated.

The department may not issue an objections, recommendations, 2 and comments report on proposed plan amendments or a notice of 3 intent on adopted plan amendments; however, affected persons, as defined by paragraph (1)(a), may file a petition for 4 5 administrative review pursuant to the requirements of s. 163.3187(3)(a) to challenge the compliance of an adopted plan amendment. This subsection does not apply to any amendment 8 within an area of critical state concern, to any amendment 9 that increases residential densities allowable in high-hazard coastal areas as defined in s. 163.3178(2)(h), or to a text 10 change to the goals, policies, or objectives of the local 11 government's comprehensive plan. Amendments submitted under 13 this subsection are exempt from the limitation on the frequency of plan amendments in s. 163.3187. 14 Section 5. Paragraph (a) of subsection (3) of section 15 16 163.3247, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 163.3247 Century Commission for a Sustainable 18 Florida.--(3) CENTURY COMMISSION FOR A SUSTAINABLE FLORIDA; 19 CREATION; ORGANIZATION .-- The Century Commission for a 20 21 Sustainable Florida is created as a standing body to help the 22 citizens of this state envision and plan their collective 23 future with an eye towards both 25-year and 50-year horizons. (a) The commission shall consist of 21 15 members, 7 5 2.4 25 appointed by the Governor, 7 5 appointed by the President of the Senate, and 7 5 appointed by the Speaker of the House of 26 27 Representatives. Appointments shall be made no later than 2.8 August 1, 2006 October 1, 2005. The membership must represent local governments, school boards, developers and homebuilders, 29

environmental community, and other appropriate stakeholders.

the business community, the agriculture community, the

In making the appointments, the Governor, the President of the 2 Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives shall ensure that the membership of the commission reflects the 3 4 racial, ethnic, and gender diversity, as well as the 5 geographic distribution, of the state's population. One member shall be designated by the Governor as chair of the 7 commission. Any vacancy that occurs on the commission must be 8 filled in the same manner as the original appointment and shall be for the unexpired term of that commission seat. 9 10 Members shall serve 4-year terms, except that, initially, to provide for staggered terms, the Governor, the President of 11 12 the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives 13 shall each appoint one member to serve a 2-year term, three two members to serve 3-year terms, and three two members to 14 serve 4-year terms. All subsequent appointments shall be for 15 4-year terms. An appointee may not serve more than 6 years. 16 17 Section 6. Paragraph (a) of subsection (4) of section 18 339.2819, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 339.2819 Transportation Regional Incentive Program. --19 20 (4)(a) Projects to be funded with Transportation 21 Regional Incentive Program funds shall, at a minimum: 22 1. Support those transportation facilities that serve 23 national, statewide, or regional functions and function as an integrated regional transportation system. 2.4 2. Be identified in the capital improvements element 25 of a comprehensive plan that has been determined to be in 26 27 compliance with part II of chapter 163, after July 1, 2005, or 2.8 to implement a long-term concurrency management system adopted 29 by a local government in accordance with s. 163.3180(9) s. 30 163.3177(9). Further, the project shall be in compliance with

2.4

2.5

2.8

local government comprehensive plan policies relative to corridor management.

- 3. Be consistent with the Strategic Intermodal System Plan developed under s. 339.64.
- 4. Have a commitment for local, regional, or private financial matching funds as a percentage of the overall project cost.
- Section 7. <u>Subsection (10) of section 339.55</u>, <u>Florida Statutes</u>, is repealed.
- Section 8. Paragraphs (1), (m), and (n) of subsection (24) of section 380.06, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

 380.06 Developments of regional impact.--
 - (24) STATUTORY EXEMPTIONS.--
- (1) Any proposed development within an urban service boundary established under s. 163.3177(14) is exempt from the provisions of this section if the local government having jurisdiction over the area where the development is proposed has adopted the urban service boundary and has entered into a binding agreement with adjacent jurisdictions and the Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation facilities, and has adopted a proportionate <u>fair-share mitigation</u> share methodology pursuant to s. 163.3180(16).
- (m) Any proposed development within a rural land stewardship area created under s. 163.3177(11)(d) is exempt from the provisions of this section if the local government that has adopted the rural land stewardship area has entered into a binding agreement with jurisdictions that would be impacted and the Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

1617

18

1920

2122

23

2.4

25

2627

29

facilities, and has adopted a proportionate <u>fair-share</u>
<u>mitigation</u> <u>share</u> methodology pursuant to s. 163.3180(16).

- (n) Any proposed development or redevelopment within an area designated as an urban infill and redevelopment area under s. 163.2517 is exempt from the provisions of this section if the local government has entered into a binding agreement with jurisdictions that would be impacted and the Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation facilities, and has adopted a proportionate <u>fair-share mitigation</u> share methodology pursuant to s. 163.3180(16).
- Section 9. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 1013.65, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
- 1013.65 Educational and ancillary plant construction funds; Public Education Capital Outlay and Debt Service Trust Fund; allocation of funds.--
- (2)(a) The Public Education Capital Outlay and Debt Service Trust Fund shall be comprised of the following sources, which are hereby appropriated to the trust fund:
- 1. Proceeds, premiums, and accrued interest from the sale of public education bonds and that portion of the revenues accruing from the gross receipts tax as provided by s. 9(a)(2), Art. XII of the State Constitution, as amended, interest on investments, and federal interest subsidies.
- 2. General revenue funds appropriated to the fund for educational capital outlay purposes.
- 3. All capital outlay funds previously appropriated and certified forward pursuant to s. 216.301.
 - 4.a. Funds paid pursuant to s. 201.15(1)(d).
- b. The sum of \$75\$41.75 million of such funds shall be appropriated annually for expenditure to fund the

3

5

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

2223

2.4

2.5

2627

2.8

29

Classrooms for Kids Program created in s. 1013.735 and shall be distributed as provided by that section.

c. The sum of \$30 million of such funds shall be appropriated for expenditure to fund the High Growth District Capital Outlay Assistance Grant Program created in s. 1013.738 and shall be distributed as provided in that section.

Section 10. Subsections (1), (2), and (3) of section 1013.738, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

1013.738 High Growth District Capital Outlay
Assistance Grant Program.--

- (1) Subject to funds provided in the General

 Appropriations Act, The High Growth District Capital Outlay

 Assistance Grant Program is hereby established. Funds provided

 pursuant to this section may only be used to construct new

 student stations.
- (2) In order to qualify for a grant, a school district must meet the following criteria:
- (a) The district must have levied the full 2 mills of nonvoted discretionary capital outlay millage authorized in s. 1011.71(2) for each of the past 3 4 fiscal years or currently receive an amount from the school capital outlay surtax authorized in s. 212.055(6) that, when added to the nonvoted discretionary capital outlay millage collected, equals the amount that would be generated if the full 2 mills of nonvoted discretionary capital outlay millage had been collected over the past 3 fiscal years.
- (b) The district must receive in the current fiscal year revenue from the collection of an impact fee specifically for schools and revenue from the collection of one of the following:

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

18

19

2.0 21

22

23

2.4

2.5

26 27

2.8

29 30

1	1. A local government infrastructure sales surtax
2	authorized in s. 212.055(2) in which a portion is dedicated
3	for the construction of schools in the current fiscal year.

- 2. A school capital outlay surtax authorized in s. 212.055(6). If the school capital outlay surtax is used to meet the conditions of paragraph (2)(a), the amount of the school capital outlay surtax collected must be in excess of the amount in paragraph (2)(a).
- 3. A local bond referendum as authorized in ss. 1010.40-1010.55.
- (b) Fifty percent of the revenue derived from the 2 mill nonvoted discretionary capital outlay millage for the past 4 fiscal years, when divided by the district's growth in capital outlay FTE students over this period, produces a value that is less than the average cost per student station calculated pursuant to s. 1013.72(2), and weighted by statewide growth in capital outlay FTE students in elementary, middle, and high schools for the past 4 fiscal years.
- (c) The district must have equaled or exceeded three times twice the statewide average of growth in capital outlay FTE students over this same 3-year 4-year period.
- (d) The district must not have received an appropriation from the special facilities construction program in the current fiscal year or any of the 2 fiscal years prior to the current fiscal year. The Commissioner of Education must have released all funds allocated to the district from the Classrooms First Program authorized in s. 1013.68, and these funds were fully expended by the district as of February 1 of the current fiscal year.
- (e) The total capital outlay FTE students of the district is greater than 15,000 students.

1	(3) The funds <u>appropriated for the program</u> provided in
2	the General Appropriations Act shall be allocated pursuant to
3	the following methodology. Each eliqible district school board
4	shall receive an amount from the Public Education Capital
5	Outlay and Debt Service Trust Fund to be calculated by
6	computing the capital outlay full-time equivalent membership
7	as determined by the Department of Education. Such membership
8	must include, but is not limited to, kindergarten through 12th
9	grade students, except hospital and homebound part-time
10	students, students who are career education students, and
11	adult disabled students who are enrolled in school district
12	career centers. The capital outlay full-time equivalent
13	membership shall be determined for kindergarten through the
14	12th grade and for career centers by averaging the unweighted
15	full-time equivalent student membership for the second and
16	third surveys and comparing the results on a school-by-school
17	basis with the Florida Inventory for School Houses. The
18	capital outlay full-time equivalent membership by grade level
19	organization shall be used in making the following
20	calculation: the capital outlay full-time equivalent
21	membership by grade-level organization for the prior year must
22	be used to compute the growth over the highest of the 3 years
23	preceding the prior year. The total amount appropriated by the
24	Legislature pursuant to this subsection shall be allocated
25	among the growth capital outlay full-time equivalent
26	membership. The allocation shall be prorated to the districts
27	based upon each district's percentage of growth capital outlay
28	full-time membership. The most recent 4-year capital outlay
29	full-time equivalent membership data shall be used in each
30	subsequent year's calculation for the allocation of funds
31	nursuant to this subsection. If a change correction or

recomputation of data during any year results in a reduction 2 or increase of the calculated amount previously allocated to a district, the allocation to that district shall be adjusted 3 4 correspondingly. If such recomputation results in an increase 5 or decrease of the calculated amount, such additional or 6 reduced amounts shall be added to or reduced from the district's future appropriations. However, no change, correction, or recomputation of data shall be made subsequent 8 to 2 years following the initial annual allocation. ÷ 9 (a) For each eligible district, the Department of 10 Education shall calculate the value of 50 percent of the 11 revenue derived from the 2 mill nonvoted discretionary capital 12 13 outlay millage for the past 4 fiscal years divided by the increase in capital outlay FTE students for the same period. 14 (b) The Department of Education shall determine, for 15 16 each eligible district, the amount that must be added to the 17 value calculated pursuant to paragraph (a) to produce the 18 weighted average value per student station calculated pursuant to paragraph (2)(b). 19 2.0 (c) The value calculated for each eligible district 21 pursuant to paragraph (b) shall be multiplied by the average 2.2 increase in capital outlay FTE students for the past 4 fiscal 23 years to determine the maximum amount of a grant that may be 2.4 awarded to a district pursuant to this section. In the event the funds provided in the General 2.5 Appropriations Act are insufficient to fully fund the maximum 26 27 grants calculated pursuant to paragraph (c), the Department of 2.8 Education shall allocate the funds based on each district's prorated share of the total maximum award amount calculated 29 30 for all eligible districts.

1	Section 11. Effective upon this act becoming a law,
2	the \$200 million appropriated in paragraph (a) of subsection
3	(2) of section 27 of chapter 2005-290, Laws of Florida, to the
4	State Transportation Trust Fund in the Department of
5	Transportation to be used for the purposes specified in ss.
6	339.61, 339.62, 339.63, and 339.64, Florida Statutes, is
7	reduced to \$175 million for the 2005-2006 fiscal year.
8	Section 12. There is appropriated for the 2006-2007
9	fiscal year the sum \$250,000 in recurring funds and the sum
10	\$300,000 in nonrecurring funds from the Grants and Donations
11	Trust Fund of the Department of Community Affairs to support
12	the Century Commission for a Sustainable Florida.
13	Section 13. This act shall take effect upon becoming a
14	law.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	

1 2		STATEMENT OF SUBSTANTIAL CHANGES CONTAINED IN COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE FOR CS/SB 1858	
3		CS/SB 1030	
4	The	committee substitute for committee substitute for SB 1858:	
5	1)	Corrects cross-references.	
6	2)	Conforms references to "proportionate fair-share mitigation."	
7	3)	Deletes language in the bill that amended certain appropriations in s. 201.15, F.S.	
9	4)	Repeals subsection (10) of s. 339.55, F.S., which was a glitch in SB 360.	
10 11	5)	Increases funding for the Classrooms for Kids Program through the Public Education Capital Outlay and Debt	
12			Service Trust Fund (PECO) from \$41.75 million to \$75 million (the \$75 million was appropriated to PECO for the Classrooms for Kids Program in SB 360, but only \$41.75
13		was distributed to the program).	
14 15	6)	Revises the school district criteria for qualification for grants and funding allocation under the High Growth District Capital Outlay Assistance Grant Program.	
16 17	7)	Reappropriates \$30 million in recurring funding for the High Growth District Capital Outlay Assistance Grant Program.	
18	8)	Appropriates \$250,000 in recurring funding and \$300,000 in nonrecurring funds to support the Century Commission.	
19 20	9)	Changes the effective date to upon becoming a law.	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
29			
30			
31			