## Florida Senate - 2006

By Senator Saunders

	37-1359-06 See HB 905
1	A bill to be entitled
2	An act relating to transportation concurrency
3	<pre>management; amending s. 163.3180, F.S.;</pre>
4	providing an exception to certain in-place or
5	under-actual-construction requirements for
б	transportation facilities serving new
7	developments for certain stricter concurrency
8	requirements by local governments; restricting
9	a requirement that local governments adopt into
10	a plan and implement certain strategies
11	relating to exception areas to circumstances in
12	which an exception is granted; limiting
13	application of certain proportionate fair-share
14	mitigation provisions to circumstances in which
15	a local government elects to use such
16	provisions instead of a concurrency management
17	system; providing an effective date.
18	
19	Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida:
20	
21	Section 1. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2), paragraph
22	(e) of subsection $(5)$ , and subsection $(16)$ of section
23	163.3180, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
24	163.3180 Concurrency
25	(2)
26	(c) Consistent with the public welfare, and except as
27	otherwise provided in this section, transportation facilities
28	needed to serve new development shall be in place or under
29	actual construction within 3 years after the local government
30	approves a building permit or its functional equivalent that
31	results in traffic generation. <u>Nothing in this section</u>
	1

**CODING:** Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.

SB 1862

1 prohibits a local government from adopting stricter 2 concurrency requirements, including real-time concurrency, under which a local government need not issue a building 3 4 permit or its functional equivalent for a new development under any circumstances that result in traffic generation 5 6 until adequate transportation facilities are in place. 7 (5) 8 (e) If a local government grants an exception from the concurrency requirement for transportation facilities pursuant 9 10 to paragraph (b) after July 1, 2006, the local government shall adopt into the plan and implement strategies to support 11 12 and fund mobility within the designated exception area, 13 including alternative modes of transportation. The plan 14 amendment shall also demonstrate how strategies will support the purpose of the exception and how mobility within the 15 designated exception area will be provided. In addition, the 16 17 strategies must address urban design; appropriate land use 18 mixes, including intensity and density; and network connectivity plans needed to promote urban infill, 19 redevelopment, or downtown revitalization. The comprehensive 20 21 plan amendment designating the concurrency exception area 22 shall be accompanied by data and analysis justifying the size 23 of the area. (16) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide 2.4 25 alternatives a method by which the impacts of development on 26 transportation facilities can be mitigated by the cooperative 27 efforts of the public and private sectors. If a local 2.8 government elects to use proportionate fair-share mitigation in lieu of its existing concurrency management system as 29 adopted in its comprehensive plan, the methodology used to 30 calculate proportionate fair-share mitigation under this 31

**Florida Senate - 2006** 37-1359-06

1 section shall be as provided for in subsection (12) and the 2 following provisions shall apply:-3 (a) By December 1, 2006, each local government shall adopt by ordinance a methodology for assessing proportionate 4 fair-share mitigation options. By December 1, 2005, the 5 б Department of Transportation shall develop a model 7 transportation concurrency management ordinance with 8 methodologies for assessing proportionate fair-share 9 mitigation options. 10 (b)1. In its transportation concurrency management system, a local government shall, by December 1, 2006, include 11 12 methodologies that will be applied to calculate proportionate 13 fair-share mitigation. A developer may choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or 14 paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation 15 facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for 16 17 traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 18 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term 19 concurrency management system or if such contributions or 20 21 payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 22 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly 23 scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect 2.4 proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in 25 compliance based on ss. 163.164(32) and 163.3177(3) if 26 27 additional contributions, payments or funding sources are 2.8 reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years 29 to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 30 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all 31

3

1 or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is 2 used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements contemplated by the local government's impact fee ordinance. 3 (c) Proportionate fair-share mitigation includes, 4 5 without limitation, separately or collectively, private funds, 6 contributions of land, and construction and contribution of 7 facilities and may include public funds as determined by the 8 local government. The fair market value of the proportionate fair-share mitigation shall not differ based on the form of 9 mitigation. A local government may not require a development 10 to pay more than its proportionate fair-share contribution 11 12 regardless of the method of mitigation. 13 (d) Nothing in this subsection shall require a local government to approve a development that is not otherwise 14 qualified for approval pursuant to the applicable local 15 comprehensive plan and land development regulations. 16 17 (e) Mitigation for development impacts to facilities 18 on the Strategic Intermodal System made pursuant to this subsection requires the concurrence of the Department of 19 Transportation. 20 21 (f) In the event the funds in an adopted 5-year 22 capital improvements element are insufficient to fully fund 23 construction of a transportation improvement required by the 2.4 local government's concurrency management system, a local 25 government and a developer may still enter into a binding 26 proportionate-share agreement authorizing the developer to 27 construct that amount of development on which the 2.8 proportionate share is calculated if the proportionate-share 29 amount in such agreement is sufficient to pay for one or more improvements which will, in the opinion of the governmental 30 entity or entities maintaining the transportation facilities, 31

4

significantly benefit the impacted transportation system. The improvement or improvements funded by the proportionate-share component must be adopted into the 5-year capital improvements schedule of the comprehensive plan at the next annual capital improvements element update. (g) Except as provided in subparagraph (b)1., nothing in this section shall prohibit the Department of Community Affairs from finding other portions of the capital improvements element amendments not in compliance as provided in this chapter. (h) The provisions of this subsection do not apply to a multiuse development of regional impact satisfying the requirements of subsection (12). Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.