HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 613 CS **SPONSOR(S)**: Antone

Police Pursuits of Fleeing Vehicles

TIED BILLS:

IDEN./SIM. BILLS:

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) Criminal Justice Committee	8 Y, 0 N, w/CS	Ferguson	Kramer
2) Local Government Council			
3) Criminal Justice Appropriations Committee			
4) Justice Council			
5)			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

HB 613 requires each local law enforcement agency, by July 1, 2007, to adopt a written police pursuit policy governing the conduct of law enforcement officers who are pursuing a fleeing vehicle. This bill encourages local law enforcement to consider comments from the public when adopting a policy. This bill also requires each local law enforcement agency to certify every four years to the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission that written policies have been adopted.

Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives. STORAGE NAME: h0613a.CRJU.doc 3/22/2006

DATE:

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide Limited Government – This bill requires each local law enforcement agency to adopt a written police pursuit policy governing the conduct of law enforcement officers who are pursuing a fleeing vehicle.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Currently, Florida Statutes do not establish a policy regarding the conduct of law enforcement officers in the pursuit of fleeing vehicles. Rather, policies regarding the conduct of law enforcement officers in the pursuit of fleeing vehicles are governed by local policies.

HB 613 requires each local law enforcement agency, by July 1, 2007, to adopt a written police pursuit policy governing the conduct of law enforcement officers who are pursuing a fleeing vehicle. This bill encourages local law enforcement to consider comments from the public when adopting a policy.

This bill also requires each local law enforcement agencies to certify every four years to the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission that written policies have been adopted.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1. This bill requires each local law enforcement agency to adopt a written police pursuit policy governing the conduct of law enforcement officers who are pursuing a fleeing vehicle.

Section 2. Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1.	Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

Indeterminate.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

See fiscal comments.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

 STORAGE NAME:
 h0613a.CRJU.doc
 PAGE: 2

 DATE:
 3/22/2006

It is unknown at this time how many local law enforcement agencies currently have written police pursuit policies. It is also unknown at this time how much it will cost local law enforcement agencies who do not have written policie pursuit policies to adopt one.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

There will be an insignificant impact on local law enforcement agencies to develop a police pursuit policy.

2.Other:

Sovereign Immunity

HB 613 requires each local law enforcement agency to adopt a policy governing the pursuit of fleeing vehicles by law enforcement; this requirement may subject these agencies to tort liability.

Section13, Art. X of the Florida Constitution provides, "[p]rovision may be made by general law for bringing suit against the state as to all liabilities now existing or hereafter originating". The Florida Legislature exercised this authority when it enacted section 768.28, F.S., which waives sovereign immunity and imposes liability on the state or an agency or subdivision of the state for any tort claim in which a private individual would be liable under similar circumstances. An agency or subdivision of the state includes counties and municipalities.¹

This first question is whether a duty is owed. "There can be no governmental [tort] liability unless a common law or statutory duty of care existed that would have been applicable to an individual under similar circumstances." "Where a defendant's conduct creates a foreseeable zone of risk, the law generally will recognize a duty placed upon [the] defendant either to lessen the risk or see that sufficient precautions are taken to protect others from the harm that the risk poses."

The next question is whether the state or an agency or subdivision of the state will be immune from liability notwithstanding the duty placed upon them. Sovereign immunity does not shield acts that are "operational" in nature but only those that are "discretionary⁴." An act is considered discretionary if it involves fundamental questions of policy or planning.⁵ Conversely, an act is considered operational if it reflects a secondary decision as to how policies or plans will be implemented.⁶

Pending Legislation

HB 199, sponsored by Representative Patterson, is pending legislation that addresses the sovereign immunity issue discussed above. HB 199 provides that an employing law enforcement agency is not liable for certain damages caused by a person fleeing from a law enforcement officer in a motor vehicle (hot pursuit) if:

- the pursuit is not conducted in a reckless manner;
- the officer reasonably believes that the person fleeing has committed a forcible felony; and
- the pursuit is conducted pursuant to a specified written policy governing high-speed pursuit, and the officer received instructional training on such policy.

° I

See section 768.28(2), F.S.

² <u>Kaisner v. Kolb</u>, 543 So.2d 732, 734 (Fla. 1989); see also <u>Trianon Park Condominium Ass'n Inc. v. City of Hialeah</u>, 468 So.2d 912 (Fla. 1985).

³ Kaisner, at 735.

⁴ Id at 737.

⁵ Pinellas Park v. Brown, 604 So.2d 1222 (Fla. 1992).

HB 199 includes a severability clause in the event that any provision of the act is held invalid. The act applies to causes of action that accrue on or after the effective date of the act; the act takes effect upon becoming a law.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On March 22, 2006, the Criminal Justice Committee passed House Bill 613 with one strike all amendment. The original bill required the Criminal Justice Standards and Training Commission (Commission) to establish a model policy governing the pursuit of fleeing vehicles by law enforcement; in turn, state and local law enforcement agencies were required to adopt policies for vehicle pursuits and to certify annually to the Commission that written policies have been adopted that comply with the model policy.

The original bill also required the Commission to develop by July 1, 2007, training objectives for a seven-hour course covering emergency vehicle operations and the conduct of vehicle pursuits that persons seeking law enforcement certification after that date must complete. State and local agencies were directed to provide inservice training that complies with Commission-approved learning objectives; the training must be at least 8 hours. The Commission was authorized to impose licensing sanctions.

The strike all amendment requires each local law enforcement agency, by July 1, 2007, to adopt a written police pursuit policy governing the conduct of law enforcement officers who are pursuing a fleeing vehicle. The strike all amendment also requires each local law enforcement agency to certify every four years to the Commission that written policies have been adopted.

This analysis reflects HB 613 as amended.

STORAGE NAME: h0613a.CRJU.doc PAGE: 4 3/22/2006