

SENATE STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: Government Efficiency Appropriations Committee

BILL: SPB 7074

INTRODUCER: For consideration by Government Efficiency Appropriations Committee

SUBJECT: Government Efficiency Initiative

DATE: January 18, 2006

REVISED: _____

	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR	REFERENCE	ACTION
1.	Gilreath	Johansen		Pre-meeting
2.	_____	_____	_____	_____
3.	_____	_____	_____	_____
4.	_____	_____	_____	_____
5.	_____	_____	_____	_____
6.	_____	_____	_____	_____

I. Summary:

SPB 7074 revises statute to require agencies, in conducting biennial mailing list purges, to provide recipients with the option of receiving publications electronically in lieu of hard copies. The bill also requires agencies to submit an annual list of all published documents meeting the definition in s. 257.05, Florida Statutes, to the State Library; and clarifies what types of documents are subject to the written justification requirement for publications with costs exceeding \$50,000.

This bill substantially amends the following sections of the Florida Statutes: 257.05, 283.31, and 283.55.

II. Present Situation:

State agencies perform many activities that involve providing information to the public. These include such efforts as distributing information on health care risks and available services, notifying the public and affected groups about changes in regulatory standards, announcing the availability of grants and funding opportunities, and releasing data and annual reports. This communication helps ensure that the public is informed of what government is doing and the services that are available. State agencies historically have communicated this information largely through printed documents. Several statutes relate to the cost and distribution of these publications.

- Section 283.31, Florida Statutes, requires executive branch agencies to maintain records for every agency publication with production costs exceeding \$50,000. Specifically, agencies are to document the purpose and justification for these publications and the sources of funding used for their production, and they are to compare the costs of different printing

methods and justify the decision to print the documents in-house, by another agency, or by the private sector.

- Section 283.55, Florida Statutes, requires agencies to biennially survey addressees on agency publication mailing lists to determine whether they wish to continue receiving publications. Addressees must respond to continue receiving publications by mail and thus to remain on the agency mailing list. This provision does not apply to universities or to an agency whose mailing list consists only of persons registered with or licensed by the agency where payment of the registration fee makes that person a subscriber to agency publications.
- Section 257.05, Florida Statutes, requires agencies to submit copies of their public documents, as defined in this section, to the State Library for transmittal to depository libraries throughout the state.

In November 2005, OPPAGA issued Report No. 05-53, *State Printing Expenditures Have Decreased, But Additional Steps Could Produce More Savings*, November 2005, which examined how much agencies are spending to produce and distribute public documents and whether existing statutory provisions are effective in managing these cost. OPPAGA's findings included:

- Statewide printing expenditures have decreased. Over the past eight years, statewide printing expenditures have been reduced by about 25% from \$27.9 million in Fiscal Year 1997-98 to \$20.7 million in Fiscal Year 2004-05. Most of these expenditures (80%) were funded from various trust funds and federal grants; however, the remaining 20% (\$4.05 million) were supported from general revenue.¹
- Agencies are not consistently justifying publications exceeding the statutory cost threshold, as required by s. 283.31, F.S. The effectiveness of this control on agency printing is limited because the statute does not define the term "publication," and agencies have interpreted this term differently. In addition, the statute does not provide a mechanism for monitoring compliance with the documentation requirements.
- Mailing list maintenance requirements specified in s. 283.55, F.S., do not encourage electronic distribution of public documents. Although most agencies conduct purges of their mailing lists, publication recipients are not asked whether they would prefer an electronic version of an agency publication, as the statute does not provide for this alternative.
- Agencies are not submitting all public documents to the State Library, as required by s. 257.05, F.S. This requirement is intended to facilitate ready public access to state publications. However, agencies are not consistently complying with this statute for several reasons, including a lack of knowledge among agency staff about the statutory requirement, differing interpretations regarding what documents need to be submitted, and the State Library's lack of authority to monitor and enforce compliance.

OPPAGA's report identified several policy options for consideration by the legislature, including:

¹ FLAIR does not facilitate the separation of expenditures for public documents from expenditures for other printed products. Consequently, these expenditures include both internal documents (e.g., stationery, training materials, and administrative forms), as well as external documents designed to communicate with the public such as reports, newsletters, and brochures. Expenditures also include specialty products such as registration decals for motor vehicles.

- Updating chs. 257 and 283, F.S., to require agencies to use electronic document distribution to the maximum extent practicable to help clarify statewide policy regarding public documents. The policy should require agencies, when conducting biennial mailing list purges, to ask recipients if they would prefer to receive publications electronically in lieu of hard copies; which would likely increase electronic distribution.
- Requiring agencies to submit an annual list of all published documents meeting the definition in s. 257.05, Florida Statutes, to the State Library to aid it in ensuring that it receives copies of all public documents for transmittal to depository libraries throughout the state.
- Clarifying what types of documents are subject to the written justification requirement for publications with costs exceeding \$50,000, and requiring agencies to report these justifications annually in their legislative budget requests.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

Section 1: Requires agencies to submit an annual list of all published documents meeting the definition in s. 257.05, F.S., to the State Library to aid the State Library in ensuring that it received copies of all public documents for transmittal to depository libraries throughout the state.

Section 2: Amends s. 283.31, F.S., to clarify what types of documents are subject to the written justification requirement for publications with costs exceeding \$50,000.

Section 3: Amends s. 283.55, F.S., to require agencies, when conducting biennial mailing list purges, to ask recipients if they would prefer to receive publications electronically in lieu of hard copies.

Section 4: Provides an effective date of July 1, 2006.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues:

V. Economic Impact and Fiscal Note:**A. Tax/Fee Issues:**

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

None.

C. Government Sector Impact:

Requiring agencies to submit an annual list of all published documents required to be submitted to the State Library may result in an increased number of documents housed in the State Libraries and designated depository libraries.

Clarifying what types of documents are subject to the written justification requirement for publications may increase the number of examinations of this process by state agencies, which could result in increased efficiencies or cost-savings in terms of the publication process.

Requiring agencies to ask recipients if they would prefer to receive publications electronically in lieu of hard copies would likely increase electronic distribution, which would result in cost savings to agencies in terms of reduced publication and distribution costs.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

None.

VIII. Summary of Amendments:

None.

This Senate staff analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.
