

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 7091 PCB CJ 06-04 Real Property Electronic Recording
SPONSOR(S): Civil Justice Committee, Mahon and others
TIED BILLS: None **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** SB 2106

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
1) <u>Civil Justice Committee</u>	<u>6 Y, 0 N</u>	<u>Shaddock</u>	<u>Bond</u>
2) <u>Transportation & Economic Development Appropriations Committee</u>	<u>15 Y, 0 N</u>	<u>McAuliffe</u>	<u>Gordon</u>
3) <u>Justice Council</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
4) <u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>
5) <u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>	<u></u>

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

Current law provides for electronic signatures and electronic notarization of documents, but does not clearly provide for electronic recording of documents that affect real property titles. This bill adopts the Uniform Real Property Electronic Recording Act. The act starts the process towards electronic recording of real property documents with county recorders.

This bill provides county recorders the legal authority to prepare for electronic recording of real property instruments, and authorizes county recorders to begin accepting records in electronic form, storing electronic records, and setting up systems for searching for and retrieving these land records. The bill equates electronic documents and electronic signatures to original paper documents and manual signatures, so that any requirement for originality (paper document or manual signature) is satisfied by an electronic document and signature.

The standards and practices for electronic recording will be promulgated by rule by the Secretary of State after consultation with an advisory council made up of five representatives of county recorders, two representatives of title companies, and two representatives of mortgage lenders.

This bill appears to require insignificant recurring expenditures by the Secretary of State. This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on local governments.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

Provide limited government -- This bill creates additional rulemaking power for the Secretary of State. This bill may lead to decreased administrative burdens on government and on individuals regarding the recording of documents affecting land titles.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Background¹

The National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws (NCCUSL), now 114 years old, "provides states with non-partisan, well-conceived and well-drafted legislation that brings clarity and stability to critical areas of the law. NCCUSL's work supports the federal system and facilitates the movement of individuals and the business of organizations with rules that are consistent from state to state."² In 2004, the conference finalized and approved the Uniform Real Property Electronic Recording Act ("URPERA"). Currently, the act has been enacted in four states and the District of Columbia, and is filed in the legislature of seven more.³ The NCCUSL provided the following information regarding the proposed act:⁴

As a result of the enactments of the Uniform Electronic Transactions Act ("UETA")⁵ in most states, and the Electronic Signatures in Global National Commerce Act ("E-sign") at the federal level, it is now possible to have sale contracts, mortgage instruments, and promissory notes memorialized in electronic form with the electronic signatures of the parties involved in the transaction. However, real estate transactions require another step not addressed by UETA or E-sign. Real estate transaction documents must be recorded on public records in order to protect the current interest in the real estate and clarify who owns title to the property.

Real estate records establish a chain of title which is based upon the originality and authenticity of the paper documents presented for recording. There must be an orderly conversion of recording offices in the United States for implementation of an electronic recording system. The essential starting point for this process is the URPERA.

URPERA modernizes real property law for the 21st Century. It is designed to help state administrative agencies meet the demands of the public for quick identification of title ownership. It should also streamline the real estate transaction at a benefit to consumers and every facet of the real estate industry.

There are currently certain barriers to using electronic communications to carry on real estate transactions. The law of the states of the United States has many "statute of fraud" requirements that inhibit the use of electronic communications. Statute of fraud requirements put total and express

¹ The bulk of this analysis is specifically derived from "Uniform Real Property Electronic Recording Act" promulgated by the National Conference of Commissioners on Uniform State Laws in 2004, hereinafter referred to as "NCCUSL". The members of the Drafting Committee on the Uniform Real Property Electronic Recording Act were: David D. Biklen, Owen L. Anderson, Patrick C. Guillot, Carl H. Lisman, James J. White, W. Jackson Willoughby, Lee Yeakel, Arthur R. Gaudio, Fred H. Miller, Lani Liu Ewart, Dale Whitman, William H. Henning, and William J. Pierce.

² *Uniform Law Commissioners*, (Mar. 6, 2006) < <http://www.nccusl.org/Update/>>.

³ *A few facts about the Uniform Real Property Electronic Recording Act*, (Mar. 6, 2006)

<http://www.nccusl.org/Update/uniformact_factsheets/uniformacts-fs-urpera.asp>. Florida is not included in this list.

⁴ *Summary, Uniform Real Property Electronic Recording Act*, (Mar. 6, 2006)

<http://www.nccusl.org/Update/uniformact_summaries/uniformacts-s-urpera.asp>.

⁵ Section 668.50, F.S.

reliance upon paper documents and manual signatures to make transactions enforceable. These same requirements have also made it more difficult to develop electronic analogues to transactions in paper that are equally enforceable.

The first step to remedy the problem took place in 1999 when the Uniform Law Commissioners promulgated the UETA. This act adjusted statute of fraud provisions to include electronic "records" and "signatures" for the memorialization of all kinds of transactions, including basic transactions in real estate. It is possible to have sale contracts, mortgage instruments (in whatever form a jurisdiction uses) and promissory notes memorialized in electronic form with electronic signatures that will now be treated the equal of the same paper documents with manual signatures. This is the result of the wide-spread enactment of UETA and of the subsequent enactment of E-Sign by Congress.

Real estate transactions, however, require another step not addressed by either UETA or E-Sign. Real estate documents must be recorded on public records to be effective. Recording takes place in most states in a county office devoted to keeping these records. Recording protects current interests in real estate by clarifying who holds those interests. The chain of title leading to the current title-holder, meaning the historic record of documents relating to transactions for a specific piece of real estate, establishes the marketability of that piece of real estate by the current owner of interest in it. The real estate records establish this chain of title. State law governs these local recording offices, and there are requirements in the law of every state relating to the originality and authenticity of paper documents that are presented for recording. These are themselves "statute of fraud" provisions that must be specifically adjusted before electronic recording may take place. Neither UETA nor E-Sign address this issue.

There must be an orderly conversion of every recording office in the United States for electronic recording to become accepted universally. That will be a complex process, but it needs a starting point in the law. The URPERA, promulgated by the Uniform Law Commissioners in 2004, is that essential start.

The URPERA establishes that any requirement for originality, for a paper document or for a writing manually signed before it may be recorded, is satisfied by an electronic document and signature. This is essentially an extension of the principles of UETA and E-Sign to the specific requirements for recording documents relating to real estate transactions in any state. Second, it establishes what standards a recording office must follow and what it must do to make electronic recording effective. Third, URPERA establishes the board that sets state-wide standards and requires it to set uniform standards that must be implemented in every recording office.

Effect of Bill

This bill adopts the URPERA, with Florida modifications. The bill defines the following terms:⁶

- "Document" means information that is inscribed on a tangible medium or that is stored in an electronic or other medium and is retrievable in perceivable form; and eligible to be recorded in the land records maintained by a county recorder.⁷⁸
- "Electronic" means relating to technology having electrical, digital, magnetic, wireless, optical, electromagnetic, or similar capabilities.

⁶ The Uniform Act includes a definition of "person" that is not included in this bill. The term "person" is defined, applicable to all of the Florida Statutes, at s. 1.01(3), F.S.

⁷ Section 695.27(2)(a).

⁸ The bill uses the generic term "county recorder" to represent the clerks of the circuit court, who are the county recorder in most of the counties in Florida, and the other officials who are designated as the county recorder in select counties.

- "Electronic document" means a document that is received by a county recorder in an electronic form.
- "Electronic signature" means an electronic sound, symbol, or process attached to or logically associated with a document and executed or adopted by a person with the intent to sign the document.
- "State" means a state of the United States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the United States Virgin Islands, or any territory or insular possession subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.

This bill authorizes a clerk to accept and record electronic documents. Therefore, if a law requires, as a condition for recording, that a document be an original, be on paper or another tangible medium, or be in writing, the requirement is satisfied by an electronic document satisfying the requirements of this section. This bill further provides that, if a law requires, as a condition for recording, that a document be signed or notarized, that the requirement is satisfied by an electronic signature or electronic notarization. A physical or electronic image of a stamp, impression, or seal need not accompany an electronic signature.

This bill provides that the Secretary of State shall promulgate rules creating standards for electronic recording, and requires county recorders to follow those rules should a county recorder accept documents filed electronically. The county recorder may elect to receive electronic documents. The county recorder may store those electronic documents, or the information contained in them, and create an index of the documents or information. The county recorder may also transmit electronic documents and communications to the recording party or to other parties. The county recorder may archive the electronic documents or the information in them as well as the index in order to preserve and protect them. A county recorder may enter into agreements with other jurisdictions regarding electronic recording.

This bill does not require that persons engaging in real estate transactions use electronic documents in order to have their documents recorded. It merely permits the county recorder to accept electronic documents if they are presented electronically. The county recorder must continue to receive paper documents and include those documents in the same index with the electronic ones.

In promulgating rules, the Department of State must consult with the Electronic Recording Advisory Council created by this bill. The Electronic Recording Advisory Council will consist of nine members. The Secretary of State must provide administrative support to the Electronic Recording Advisory Council. The members of the Electronic Recording Advisory Council are appointed by the Secretary of State, and members of the council are:

- Five clerks of circuit court or county recorders who are members of the Florida Association of Court Clerks & Comptrollers.
- Two persons working in the title insurance industry who are members of the Florida Land Title Association.
- One banker who is a member of the Florida Bankers Association.
- One mortgage broker who is a member of the Florida Association of Mortgage Brokers.

The first meeting of the Electronic Recording Advisory Council must be held on or before July 30, 2006. Thereafter, the council meets at the call of the chair. The members of the Electronic Recording Advisory Council serve without compensation, and cannot claim per diem and travel expenses from the Secretary of State.

To keep the standards, technology and practices of county recorders in this state in harmony with the standards, technology, the Department of State in consultation with the Electronic Recording Advisory Council, in adopting, amending, and repealing standards must consider:

- Standards and practices of other jurisdictions.
- The most recent standards adopted by national standard-setting bodies, such as the Property Records Industry Association.⁹
- The views of interested persons and governmental officials and entities. Among others, these persons should include county clerks and potential users of the electronic recording system such as real estate attorneys, mortgage lenders, representatives from the title and escrow industries, real estate brokers, and notaries public. Also included might be potential suppliers of hardware, software and services for electronic recording systems.
- The needs of counties of varying size, population, and resources. Because most states are quite diverse in the size, population and resources of their recording venues, it is important that the Department of State and the Electronic Recording Advisory Council consider all of their needs. This section recognizes that the standards should promote the overall good of the entire state and not just the good of certain types of recording venues.
- Standards requiring adequate information security protection to ensure that electronic documents are accurate, authentic, adequately preserved, and resistant to tampering. The authenticity of a documents stored in any recording system is of utmost importance. If forged or invalid documents are accepted for recording, landowners and those depending on their titles can be seriously affected. The Department of State and the Electronic Recording Advisory Council is also directed to consider standards for the proper preservation of electronic documents once they are in the electronic recording system.

In applying and construing s. 695.27, created by this bill, consideration must be given to the need to promote uniformity of the law with respect to its subject matter among states that enact it.

Responding to the specific language of E-sign, this bill is designed to avoid preemption of state law under that federal legislation. This bill modifies, limits, and supersedes E-sign, 15 U.S.C. ss. 7001 et seq.,¹⁰ but this section does not modify, limit, or supersede s. 101(c) of that act,¹¹ 15 U.S.C. s.

⁹ The Property Records Industry Association's mission is "to serve the property records industry by facilitating recordation and access to public property records, by formulating and disseminating model standards, systems and procedures while preserving the integrity of those records." *What is PRIA's Mission?*, (last visited Mar. 6, 2006) <<http://www.pria.us/index.html>>.

¹⁰ The federal Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act, 15 U.S.C. ss. 7001 et seq., was enacted on June 30, 2000. Congress enacted the Act, "to facilitate the use of electronic records and signatures in interstate and foreign commerce by ensuring the validity and legal effect of contracts entered into electronically. Careful to preserve the underlying consumer protection laws governing consumers' rights to receive certain information in writing, Congress imposed special requirements on businesses that want to use electronic records or signatures in consumer transactions. *Executive Summary*, (last visited Mar. 6, 2006) <<http://www.ftc.gov/os/2001/06/esign7.htm>>.

¹¹ In the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act Congress:

imposed special requirements on businesses that want to use electronic records or signatures in consumer transactions. Section 101(c)(1) of the Act provides that information required by law to be in writing can be made available electronically to a consumer only if he or she affirmatively consents to receive the information electronically and the business clearly and conspicuously discloses specified information to the consumer before obtaining his or her consent.

7001(c),¹² or authorize electronic delivery of any of the notices described in s. 103(b) of that act, 15 U.S.C. s. 7003(b).¹³

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 creates s. 695.27, F.S., to adopt the Uniform Real Property Electronic Recording Act, with Florida modifications.

Section 2 provides an effective date of upon becoming law.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

There is a minimal fiscal impact on the Secretary of State for rulemaking and the administration of the Electronic Recording Advisory Council.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None

2. Expenditures:

None

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

Electronic recording of documents may significantly lower costs to government and to the private sector.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

¹² 15 U.S.C. s.7001(c) states that a consumer's consent to receive electronic records is valid only if the consumer has affirmatively consented and prior to the consent, he or she was provided a clear and conspicuous statement outlining the consumer's rights.

¹³ 15 U.S.C. s.7003(b) excludes from the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act "court orders or notices, or official court documents (including briefs, pleadings, and other writings) required to be executed in connection with court proceedings" and notices of: cancellation of utility services; defaults or foreclosures or other such proceedings on a primary residence; cancellation or termination of health or life insurance; or recall of a product because of health or safety issues, or documents required to transport toxic or dangerous materials.

This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

This bill creates additional rulemaking authority for the Secretary of State. It is anticipated that the Bureau of Archives would be assigned the responsibility for the rulemaking. An advisory body is created to assist in crafting the rules.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

On March 8, 2006, the Civil Justice Committee adopted one amendment to the bill. The amendment changed the following:

- Specified that the Electronic Recording Advisory Council is created.
- Provided that the chair of the Electronic Recording Advisory Council is appointed by the Secretary of State.

The bill was then reported favorably.