

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS

BILL #: HB 7099 PCB JU 06-04 Land Trusts
SPONSOR(S): Judiciary Committee
TIED BILLS: None **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** SB 1956

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
Orig. Comm.: Judiciary Committee	11 Y, 0 N, w/CS	Thomas	Hogge
1) Civil Justice Committee		Shaddock	Bond
2) _____			
3) _____			
4) _____			
5) _____			

SUMMARY ANALYSIS

The essential purpose of a land trust is to provide a flexible method for the acquisition, financing, and disposition of real property. The land trust is a modified form of conventional trust agreement that is limited to an arrangement where the trustee holds title to the real property; however, all the active managerial and administrative powers are reserved to the beneficiaries.

The bill codifies existing practice and case law in the area of land trusts. It revises the current statute relating to land trusts by providing definitions of the terms "beneficial interest," "beneficiary," "land trust," "holders of the power of direction," and "trustee." It removes an obsolete provision referring to claims arising out of dower or curtesy. It creates provisions relating to land trust beneficiaries, successor trustees, and land trustees as creditors. Finally, it provides that the provisions of the bill are intended to clarify the existing law relating to land trusts and that the bill applies to all land trusts, whether the land trust was created before, on, or after the effective date of the bill.

This bill does not appear to have a fiscal impact on state or local government.

FULL ANALYSIS

I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

The bill does not appear to implicate any of the House Principles.

B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

Present Situation

Land Trusts in General

The essential purpose of a land trust is to provide a flexible and practical method for the acquisition, financing, and disposition of real estate. Illinois appears to have been the first state to recognize the land trust, and for that reason, the land trust is often referred to as the "Illinois Land Trust."¹ The land trust is a modified form of a conventional trust agreement; however, it is limited to an arrangement where the trustee holds title to the real property but all the active managerial and administrative powers are reserved to the beneficiaries. In a land trust, the trustee receives the legal title to the real property, but the usual attributes of real estate fee ownership are retained by the beneficiary. The beneficiary retains only a personal property interest.

The land trust has been equated to the Massachusetts business trust from which the land trust undoubtedly evolved.² However, unlike a Massachusetts business trust, a land trust is generally viewed as a vehicle for holding title to real property rather than as an operating business entity. The land trust is created through the use of two instruments: 1) a deed in trust, where the real property is conveyed to the trustee, and 2) a trust agreement under which the trustee acts. Land trusts have been found to have several advantages:

- Interests in land trusts cannot be partitioned, and transferring beneficial interests is relatively easy.
- Interests of beneficiaries cannot be disclosed without a court order.
- Judgments against the beneficiaries do not affect legal title of the real property.
- The beneficial interest in the land trust is personal property. Since it is personal property, non-residents of Florida can avoid ancillary administration.
- The death of a beneficiary does not terminate the trust, and testamentary dispositions can be made in the trust agreement.

The trustee to a land trust is the party designated in the trust agreement to hold legal and equitable title to the land trust property. The beneficiary is the party designated in the trust agreement as having the power to direct the trustee with regard to the trust property, as well as, the control of the management, operation, rental and sale of the trust property and the right to the earnings and proceeds of the trust property. The power of direction is the right to control the trustee's disposition of title to the real property and the execution of trust documents.

¹ *Hart v. Seymour*, 147 Ill. 598 (Ill. 1893).

² *Schumann-Henk v. Folson*, 159 N.E. 250 (Ill. 1927).

Situations Where a Land Trust May Be Beneficial

A land trust may be useful in situations where multiple owners hold title to real property. In such cases, the potential exists for judgments, divorce, death, bankruptcy or incompetency of a single beneficiary to cloud the title to all or a part of the real property. The use of the land trust prevents the possibility of clouds on the title in these situations.

Where real estate projects are spread across multiple investors, the use of a land trust can reduce delays where signatures must be obtained from remote locations and can facilitate the many conveyances necessary in subdivisions and condominiums.

A key feature of the land trust is that interests of beneficiaries may not be disclosed without a court order. There are situations where purchasers of real estate may wish not to publicize their ownership of that real property. The land trust permits such individuals to keep their names from the public record.

Judgments against the beneficiaries do not affect the legal title to the real property held in a land trust. The title to the real property held in a land trust can be conveyed free and clear of any judgment liens against a beneficiary. Judgments can, however, be enforced against an individual's beneficial interest in a land trust.

The beneficial interest in the land trust is personal property. Non-residents of Florida, by placing title to their real estate in a land trust, may be able to avoid ancillary probate administration in the event of death.

Use of the land trust as a vehicle for holding title to real property permits the preservation of tax benefits under the Internal Revenue Code. All of the tax advantages of individual ownership may be retained when a land trust is properly used to hold title to real property. The deduction for expenses flows directly through the beneficial owners, assuming that all of the criteria to prevent the land trust from becoming an entity taxable as a corporation are met.

Land Trusts in Florida

In Florida, land trusts are presently a creature of statute rather than case law. In 1963, the Florida Legislature created s. 689.071, F.S., which statutorily recognized the Florida Land Trust. Section 689.071(1), F.S., provides that the land trustee receives legal and equitable title to the real property. The deed must be recorded and must give to the trustee full power and authority either to protect, conserve and to sell or to lease, or to encumber or otherwise to manage and dispose of the real property described in the deed. The trustee can only deal with the property within the power and authority granted in the recorded deed.

Section 689.071(2), F.S., states that any grantee, mortgagee, lessee, transferee, assignee, or person obtaining satisfactions or releases from a trustee holding title to real property in trust under a recorded trust deed that meets the requirements of s. 689.071, F.S., does not have to inquire into the identification or status of any named or unnamed beneficiary, their heirs or assigns or to the authority of the trustee to act within the powers granted in the recorded deed, nor is there any requirement to inquire into the provisions of an unrecorded trust document whether such document is referenced in the deed or not.

Section 689.071(3), F.S., provides that all persons dealing with the trustee under any recorded instrument that has been prepared in accordance with the section takes free and clear of the claims of all named or unnamed beneficiaries of the trust and of any unrecorded declarations or agreements collateral to the trust, whether they are referred to in the agreement or not. This subsection further

provides that anyone claiming under the beneficiaries, including claims arising out of any dower³ or curtesy⁴ interest of the spouse of a beneficiary, does not have a claim against the grantee if all of the provisions of this section have been met.⁵

Section 689.071(4), F.S., states that in all cases where the recorded instrument contains a provision declaring the interest of the beneficiary to be personal property only, that provision shall be controlling for all purposes where such determination becomes an issue under the laws or in the courts of Florida. This provision makes it possible for a beneficiary to convey his or her interest in the real property by assignment of beneficial interest rather than by the execution and recording of a deed.

Courts have held that the statutory land trust is not an ordinary inter vivos trust that is administered under Florida's trust administration laws in ch. 737, F.S.⁶ Florida courts have held that a grantee from a land trustee need not look beyond the trustee's deed in trust to determine his or her powers.⁷ Courts have held that s. 689.071, F.S., is a codification of land trust case law and is an adoption of the Illinois land trust.⁸

Statute of Uses

In the Middle Ages, the features of feudal tenure, primogeniture and forfeiture often resulted in transfers of land to others for the benefit of the grantor. The Statute of Uses, adopted at the time of Henry VIII, was created in order to have these transfers become complete transfers in fee. The result of the execution of a trust under the Statute of Uses was to vest the holder of the "use" with the actual legal estate. However, in spite of the enactment of the Statute of Uses, English courts found that it was inapplicable to active trusts and the concept of trusts remains part of present day law. The Statute of Uses continues as part of the common law of the United States. Florida's Statute of Uses is codified in s. 689.09, F.S.

Homestead Exemption

Article VII, s. 6 of the State Constitution authorizes a \$25,000 ad valorem property tax exemption for homestead property. In 1992, Florida voters approved the so-called "Save Our Homes" amendment to the State Constitution. This amendment limits the annual growth in the assessed value of homestead property to 3 percent over the prior year assessment or the percentage change in the U. S. Consumer Price Index, whichever is less. It also provides for a reassessment of homestead property at just value after any change of ownership.⁹

The beneficiary of a passive trust or active trust has equitable title to real property if he is entitled to the use and occupancy of the property under the terms of the trust, and thus is eligible for the homestead exemption.¹⁰ However, the homestead tax exemption may not be based upon residence of a beneficiary under a trust instrument which vests no present possessory right in such beneficiary.¹¹

³ "Dower" is a common law concept that entitles a widow to a life-estate in a third of any real property of her deceased husband for her support and the support of her children, if any. BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (8th ed. 1999).

⁴ "Curtesy" is a common law concept that entitles a widower to a life-estate in any real property of his deceased wife for his support, assuming that a child was born alive to the couple. BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (8th ed. 1999).

⁵ Section 732.111, F.S., created in 1974 by s. 1 of ch. 74-106, L.O.F., abolished dower and curtesy in Florida.

⁶ *Taylor v. Richmond's New Approach Association, Inc.*, 351 So.2d 1094 (Fla. 2d DCA 1977).

⁷ *Gramer v. Roman*, 174 So.2d 443 (Fla. 1965).

⁸ *Ferraro v. Parker*, 229 So.2d 621 (Fla. 2d DCA 1969).

⁹ Fla. Const. art. VII, s. 4(c)(3).

¹⁰ Fla Admin Code Rule 12D-7.011.

¹¹ *Id.*

Effect of Proposed Changes

The bill amends s. 689.071, F.S., to codify existing practice and case law in the area of land trusts as follows:

SHORT TITLE - renames the section the "Florida Land Trust Act."

DEFINITIONS - provides definitions of the terms "beneficial interest," "beneficiary," "land trust," "holders of the power of direction," and "trustee."

BENEFICIARY CLAIMS - removes a provision that refers to claims arising out of dower or curtesy.

LAND TRUST BENEFICIARIES - creates provisions relating to land trust beneficiaries to provide that:

- Beneficiaries are not liable, solely by reason of being a beneficiary, for a debt, obligation, or liability of the land trust.
- Beneficiaries acting under a land trust agreement are not liable to the trustee or to any other beneficiary for good-faith reliance on the provisions of the trust agreement.
- Chapter 679, F.S., relating to secured transactions under the Uniform Commercial Code applies to the perfection of any security interest in a beneficial interest in a land trust and that the perfection of a security interest in a beneficial interest in a land trust does not impair or diminish the authority of the trustee under the recorded instrument, and parties dealing with the trustee are not required to inquire into the terms of the unrecorded trust agreement.
- A beneficiary's duties and liabilities may be expanded or restricted in a trust agreement or a beneficiary agreement.
- Any subsequent document appearing of record in which a beneficiary transfers or encumbers the beneficial interest in the trust does not diminish or impair the authority of the trustee under the terms of the recorded instrument and that parties dealing with the trustee are not required to inquire into the terms of the unrecorded trust agreement.
- An unrecorded trust agreement underlying a recorded instrument may provide that one or more persons or entities have the power to direct the trustee to convey, execute a mortgage, distribute proceeds of sale or financing, and execute documents incidental to the land trust.
- The power of direction, unless provided otherwise in the land trust agreement, is conferred upon the holders of the power of direction for the use and benefit of all of the beneficiaries.
- In the absence of a provision in the land trust agreement to the contrary, the power of direction must be in accordance with the percentage of individual ownership.
- In exercising the power of direction, the holders of the power of direction are presumed to act in a fiduciary capacity for the benefit of all beneficiaries, unless it is otherwise provided in the land trust agreement.
- The beneficial interest is indefeasible¹² and the power of direction may not be exercised so as to alter, amend, revoke, terminate, defeat, or otherwise affect or change the enjoyment of any beneficial interest.

¹² "That which cannot be defeated, revoked, or made void." BLACK'S LAW DICTIONARY (8th ed. 1999).

- A trust relating to real estate does not fail, and any use relating to real estate may not be defeated, because beneficiaries are not specified by name in the recorded deed of conveyance to the trustee or because duties are not imposed upon the trustee.
- The power conferred by any recorded deed of conveyance on a trustee to sell, lease, encumber, or otherwise dispose of property is effective and a person dealing with the trustee is not required to inquire any further into the right of the trustee to act or as to the disposition of any proceeds.
- The principal residence of a beneficiary may be entitled to the homestead tax exemption even if the homestead is held by a trustee in a land trust.

SUCCESSOR TRUSTEE - creates provisions relating to a successor trustee to provide that:

- The provisions of s. 737.309, F.S., regarding the resignation of a trustee, do not apply to the appointment of a successor trustee of a land trust.
- If both the recorded instrument and the unrecorded land trust agreement are silent as to the appointment of a successor trustee, then one or more persons or entities having the power of direction of the land trust may appoint a successor or successors by filing a declaration of appointment of a successor trustee. Such a declaration must be signed, acknowledged, and must contain the legal description of the trust property; the name and address of the former trustee; the name and address of the successor trustee; and a statement that the successor trustee has been appointed by one or more persons or entities having the power of direction of the land trust, together with an acceptance of appointment by the successor trustee.
- If the recorded instrument is silent as to the appointment of a successor trustee but an unrecorded land trust agreement provides for the appointment of a successor trustee, then upon the appointment of a successor trustee pursuant to the terms of the unrecorded land trust agreement, the successor trustee shall file a declaration of appointment of a successor trustee.
- If the appointment of the successor trustee is due to the death or incapacity of the former trustee, the declaration need not be signed by the former trustee and a copy of the death certificate or a statement that the former trustee is incapacitated or unable to serve must be attached to or included in the declaration, as applicable.
- If the recorded instrument provides for the appointment of a successor trustee and a successor trustee is appointed in accordance with the recorded instrument, no additional declarations of appointment of a successor trustee are required under this section.
- Each successor land trustee appointed is fully vested with all the estate, properties, rights, powers, trusts, duties, and obligations of the predecessor land trustee, except that the successor land trustee is not under any duty to inquire into the acts or omissions of a predecessor trustee and is not liable for any act or failure to act of a predecessor trustee. A person dealing with the successor trustee pursuant to a declaration is not obligated to inquire into or ascertain the authority of the successor trustee to act within and exercise the powers granted under the recorded instruments or any unrecorded declarations or agreements.
- A land trust agreement may provide that the trustee, when directed to do so by the beneficiaries of the land trust or their legal representatives, may convey the trust property directly to another trustee on behalf of the beneficiaries or others named by the beneficiaries.

TRUSTEE AS CREDITOR - creates provisions relating to a land trustee as a creditor to provide that:

- If a debt is secured by a security interest in a beneficial interest in a land trust or by a mortgage on land trust property, the validity or enforceability of the debt, security interest, or mortgage and the rights, remedies, powers, and duties of the creditor with respect to the debt or the security are not affected by the fact that the creditor and the trustee are the same person or entity, and the creditor may extend credit, obtain such security interest or mortgage, and acquire and deal with the property comprising the security as though the creditor were not the trustee.
- A trustee of a land trust does not breach a fiduciary duty to the beneficiaries, and it may not be deemed evidence of a breach of any fiduciary duty owed by the trustee to the beneficiaries, for a trustee to be or become a secured or unsecured creditor of the land trust, the beneficiary of the land trust, or a third party whose debt to such creditor is guaranteed by a beneficiary of the land trust.

RETROACTIVITY – section 3 of the bill provides that it is intended to clarify the existing law relating to land trusts and that the bill applies to all land trusts, whether the land trust was created before, on, or after the effective date of the bill, which is October 1, 2006.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

Section 1 amends s. 689.071, F.S., relating to land trusts.

Section 2 amends s. 201.02, F.S., relating to tax on deeds to correct a cross-reference.

Section 3 provides that the act is intended to clarify existing law and applies to all land trusts, whether created before, on, or after October 1, 2006.

Section 4 provides that the bill becomes effective on October 1, 2006.

II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

The bill does not appear to have any significant economic impact on the private sector. It is intended to clarify and codify existing law relating to land trusts. In that vein, it may provide consistency and predictability to this area of law and benefit those who use and administer land trusts.

D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

None.

III. COMMENTS

A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

Retroactivity

Unless the Legislature states otherwise, legislation is presumed to operate prospectively only, especially when retrospective operation would impair existing rights.¹³ Common law provides that the government, through rule or legislation, cannot adversely affect substantive rights once such rights have vested.¹⁴ Courts have used a weighing process to decide whether to sustain the retroactive application of a statute that has three considerations: the strength of the public interest served by the statute, the extent to which the right affected is abrogated, and the nature of the right affected.¹⁵ It does not appear that the provisions of this bill will affect any vested substantive rights since it is intended to clarify and codify existing law relating to land trusts and is remedial in nature.

B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

None.

C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES

An amendment was adopted to this PCB in the Judiciary Committee on March 8, 2006. The amendment added the word "written" in the definition of "land trust." This analysis is drawn to the amended PCB.

¹³ *State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Laforet*, 658 So.2d 55 (Fla. 1995); *Alamo Rent-A-Car, Inc. v. Mancusi*, 632 So.2d 1352 (Fla. 1994).

¹⁴ *Bitterman v. Bitterman*, 714 So.2d 356 (Fla. 1998); *Dept. of Transportation v. Knowles*, 402 So. 2d 1155, 1157 (Fla. 1981); and *Village of El Portal v. City of Miami Shores*, 362 So. 2d 275, 277 (Fla. 1978), citing *McCord v. Smith*, 43 So. 2d 704, 708-709 (Fla. 1949).

¹⁵ *Supra Knowles* at 1158.