## CHAMBER ACTION

<u>Senate</u> <u>House</u>

•

Representative(s) Johnson offered the following:

1

3

## Amendment (with title amendment)

4

Remove everything after the enacting clause and insert:

5

Section 1. Subsection (32) of section 163.3164, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

7

163.3164 Local Government Comprehensive Planning and Land Development Regulation Act; definitions.--As used in this act:

9

(32) "Financial feasibility" means that sufficient revenues are currently available or will be available from

10 11

committed funding sources for the first 3 years, or will be available from committed or planned funding sources for years 4

12 13

and 5, of a 5-year capital improvement schedule for financing

14

capital improvements, such as ad valorem taxes, bonds, state and

15 16

contributions, which are adequate to fund the projected costs of

17

the capital improvements identified in the comprehensive plan 808053

federal funds, tax revenues, impact fees, and developer

necessary to ensure that adopted level-of-service standards are achieved and maintained within the period covered by the 5-year schedule of capital improvements. The requirement that level-of-service standards be achieved and maintained shall not apply if the proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate share process set forth in s. 163.3180(12) and (16) is used.

Section 2. Paragraph (c) of subsection (13) of section 163.3177, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3177 Required and optional elements of comprehensive plan; studies and surveys.--

- (13) Local governments are encouraged to develop a community vision that provides for sustainable growth, recognizes its fiscal constraints, and protects its natural resources. At the request of a local government, the applicable regional planning council shall provide assistance in the development of a community vision.
- (c) As part of the workshops and public meetings, the local government must discuss strategies for addressing the topics discussed under paragraph (b), including:
- 1. Strategies to preserve open space and environmentally sensitive lands, and to encourage a healthy agricultural economy, including innovative planning and development strategies, such as the transfer of development rights;
- 2. Incentives for mixed-use development, including increased height and intensity standards for buildings that provide residential use in combination with office or commercial space;
  - 3. Incentives for workforce housing;

- 4. Designation of an urban service boundary pursuant to subsection (14)  $\frac{(2)}{(2)}$ ; and
- 5. Strategies to provide mobility within the community and to protect the Strategic Intermodal System, including the development of a transportation corridor management plan under s. 337.273.
- Section 3. Paragraph (c) of subsection (2), paragraph (f) of subsection (5), subsection (7), paragraphs (e) and (f) of subsection (13), and paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (e), and (f) of subsection (16) of section 163.3180, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

163.3180 Concurrency.--

(2)

(c) Consistent with the public welfare, and except as otherwise provided in this section, transportation facilities needed to serve new development shall be in place or under actual construction or programmed for construction to commence in the Department of Transportation's work program or the local government's schedule of capital improvements within 3 years after the local government approves a building permit or its functional equivalent that results in traffic generation.

(5)

(f) Prior to the designation of a concurrency exception area, the Department of Transportation shall be consulted by the local government to assess the impact that the proposed exception area is expected to have on the adopted level-of-service standards established for Strategic Intermodal System facilities, as defined in s. 339.64, and roadway facilities funded in accordance with s. 339.2819. Further, the local 808053

government shall, in cooperation with the Department of
Transportation, develop a plan to mitigate any impacts to the
Strategic Intermodal System, including, if appropriate, the
development of a long-term concurrency management system
pursuant to subsection (9) and s. 163.3177(3)(d). The exceptions
may be available only within the specific geographic area of the
jurisdiction designated in the plan. Pursuant to s. 163.3184,
any affected person may challenge a plan amendment establishing
these guidelines and the areas within which an exception could
be granted. By October 1, 2006, the Department of
Transportation, after publicly noticed workshops, shall publish
and distribute to local governments a policy guideline
containing criteria and options to assist local governments in
planning to assess and mitigate the impacts of a proposed
concurrency exception area as described in this paragraph.

redevelopment, one or more transportation concurrency management areas may be designated in a local government comprehensive plan. A transportation concurrency management area must be a compact geographic area with an existing network of roads where multiple, viable alternative travel paths or modes are available for common trips. A local government may establish an areawide level-of-service standard for such a transportation concurrency management area based upon an analysis that provides for a justification for the areawide level of service, how urban infill development or redevelopment will be promoted, and how mobility will be accomplished within the transportation concurrency management area. Prior to the designation of a concurrency management area, the Department of Transportation 808053

104 shall be consulted by the local government to assess the impact 105 that the proposed concurrency management area is expected to 106 have on the adopted level-of-service standards established for Strategic Intermodal System facilities, as defined in s. 339.64, 107 and roadway facilities funded in accordance with s. 339.2819. 108 Further, the local government shall, in cooperation with the 109 Department of Transportation, develop a plan to mitigate any 110 impacts to the Strategic Intermodal System, including, if 111 appropriate, the development of a long-term concurrency 112 113 management system pursuant to subsection (9) and s. 114 163.3177(3)(d). Transportation concurrency management areas existing prior to July 1, 2005, shall meet, at a minimum, the 115 provisions of this section by July 1, 2006, or at the time of 116 117 the comprehensive plan update pursuant to the evaluation and appraisal report, whichever occurs last. The state land planning 118 agency shall amend chapter 9J-5, Florida Administrative Code, to 119 120 be consistent with this subsection. By October 1, 2006, the Department of Transportation, after publicly noticed workshops, 121 122 shall publish and distribute to local governments a policy guideline containing criteria and options to assist local 123 governments in planning to assess and mitigate the impacts of a 124 proposed concurrency management area as described in this 125 126 paragraph.

(13) School concurrency shall be established on a districtwide basis and shall include all public schools in the district and all portions of the district, whether located in a municipality or an unincorporated area unless exempt from the public school facilities element pursuant to s. 163.3177(12).

The application of school concurrency to development shall be 808053

4/28/2006 8:30:40 AM

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156157

158

159

160

161

based upon the adopted comprehensive plan, as amended. All local governments within a county, except as provided in paragraph (f), shall adopt and transmit to the state land planning agency the necessary plan amendments, along with the interlocal agreement, for a compliance review pursuant to s. 163.3184(7) and (8). The minimum requirements for school concurrency are the following:

- (e) Availability standard. -- Consistent with the public welfare, a local government may not deny an application for site plan, final subdivision approval, or the functional equivalent for a development or phase of a development authorizing residential development for failure to achieve and maintain the level-of-service standard for public school capacity in a local school concurrency management system where adequate school facilities will be in place or under actual construction within 3 years after the issuance of final subdivision or site plan approval, or the functional equivalent. School concurrency shall be satisfied if the developer executes a legally binding commitment to provide proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate to the demand for public school facilities to be created by actual development of the property, including, but not limited to, the options described in subparagraph 1. Options for proportionate fair-share proportionate-share mitigation of impacts on public school facilities shall be established in the public school facilities element and the interlocal agreement pursuant to s. 163.31777.
- 1. Appropriate <u>proportionate fair-share</u> mitigation options include the contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition or construction of a public 808053

school facility; or the creation of mitigation banking based on the construction of a public school facility in exchange for the right to sell capacity credits. Such options must include execution by the applicant and the local government of a binding development agreement that constitutes a legally binding commitment to pay proportionate fair-share proportionate share mitigation for the additional residential units approved by the local government in a development order and actually developed on the property, taking into account residential density allowed on the property prior to the plan amendment that increased overall residential density. The district school board shall be a party to such an agreement. As a condition of its entry into such a development agreement, the local government may require the landowner to agree to continuing renewal of the agreement upon its expiration.

- 2. If the education facilities plan and the public educational facilities element authorize a contribution of land; the construction, expansion, or payment for land acquisition; or the construction or expansion of a public school facility, or a portion thereof, as proportionate fair-share proportionate-share mitigation, the local government shall credit such a contribution, construction, expansion, or payment toward any other impact fee or exaction imposed by local ordinance for the same need, on a dollar-for-dollar basis at fair market value.
- 3. Any proportionate fair-share proportionate-share mitigation must be directed by the school board toward a school capacity improvement identified in a financially feasible 5-year district work plan and which satisfies the demands created by

- that development in accordance with a binding developer's agreement.
  - 4. This paragraph does not limit the authority of a local government to deny a development permit or its functional equivalent pursuant to its home rule regulatory powers, except as provided in this part.
    - (f) Intergovernmental coordination .--
  - 1. When establishing concurrency requirements for public schools, a local government shall satisfy the requirements for intergovernmental coordination set forth in s. 163.3177(6)(h)1. and 2., except that a municipality is not required to be a signatory to the interlocal agreement required by ss. 163.3177(6)(h)2. and 163.31777(6), as a prerequisite for imposition of school concurrency, and as a nonsignatory, shall not participate in the adopted local school concurrency system, if the municipality meets all of the following criteria for having no significant impact on school attendance:
  - a. The municipality has issued development orders for fewer than 50 residential dwelling units during the preceding 5 years, or the municipality has generated fewer than 25 additional public school students during the preceding 5 years.
  - b. The municipality has not annexed new land during the preceding 5 years in land use categories which permit residential uses that will affect school attendance rates.
  - c. The municipality has no public schools located within its boundaries.
  - d. At least 80 percent of the developable land within the boundaries of the municipality has been built upon.

- 2. A municipality which qualifies as having no significant impact on school attendance pursuant to the criteria of subparagraph 1. must review and determine at the time of its evaluation and appraisal report pursuant to s. 163.3191 whether it continues to meet the criteria pursuant to s. 163.31777(6). If the municipality determines that it no longer meets the criteria, it must adopt appropriate school concurrency goals, objectives, and policies in its plan amendments based on the evaluation and appraisal report, and enter into the existing interlocal agreement required by ss. 163.3177(6)(h)2. and 163.31777, in order to fully participate in the school concurrency system. If such a municipality fails to do so, it will be subject to the enforcement provisions of s. 163.3191.
- (16) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide a method by which the impacts of development on transportation facilities can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the public and private sectors. The methodology used to calculate proportionate fair-share mitigation under this section shall be as provided for in subsection (12).
- (a) By December 1, 2006, each local government shall adopt by ordinance a methodology for assessing proportionate fair-share mitigation options. A local government that fails to adopt a methodology for assessing proportionate fair-share mitigation options by December 1, 2006, shall be subject to the sanctions described in s. 163.3184(11)(a) imposed by the Administration Commission. By December 1, 2005, the Department of Transportation shall develop a model transportation concurrency management ordinance with methodologies for assessing proportionate fair-share mitigation options. 808053

- 247 In its transportation concurrency management system, 248 a local government shall, by December 1, 2006, include 249 methodologies that will be applied to calculate proportionate fair-share mitigation. A local government that fails to include 250 such methodologies by December 1, 2006, shall be subject to the 251 sanctions described in s. 163.3184(11)(a) imposed by the 252 Administration Commission. A developer may choose to satisfy all 253 254 transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation 255 256 facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 257 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital 258 improvements element of the local plan or the long-term 259 260 concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-261 262 year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly 263 scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect 264 265 proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in compliance based on ss.  $163.3164(32) \frac{163.164(32)}{32}$  and 163.3177(3)266 if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are 267 reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to 268 fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities. 269
  - 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements contemplated by the local government's impact fee ordinance.

270

271

272

273

274

- (c) Proportionate fair-share mitigation includes, without limitation, separately or collectively, private funds, contributions of land, and construction and contribution of facilities and may include public funds as determined by the local government. The fair market value of the proportionate fair-share mitigation shall not differ based on the form of mitigation. A local government may not require a development to pay more than its proportionate fair-share mitigation contribution regardless of the method of mitigation.
- (e) Mitigation for development impacts to facilities on the Strategic Intermodal System made pursuant to this subsection requires the concurrence of the Department of Transportation.

  The department has 60 days from the date of submission by the applicable local government to concur or withhold concurrence with the mitigation of development impacts to facilities on the Strategic Intermodal System. If the department does not respond within the 60-day period, the department is deemed to have concurred with the mitigation.
- improvements element are insufficient to fully fund construction of a transportation improvement required by the local government's concurrency management system, a local government and a developer may still enter into a binding proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate share agreement authorizing the developer to construct that amount of development on which the proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate share is calculated if the proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate share is calculated if the proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate share amount in such agreement is sufficient to pay for one or more improvements which will, in the opinion of 808053

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326327

328

329

330

331

332

the governmental entity or entities maintaining the transportation facilities, significantly benefit the impacted transportation system. The improvement or improvements funded by the proportionate fair-share mitigation proportionate-share component must be adopted into the 5-year capital improvements schedule of the comprehensive plan at the next annual capital improvements element update.

Section 4. Subsection (17) of section 163.3184, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3184 Process for adoption of comprehensive plan or plan amendment.--

A local government that has adopted a community (17)vision and urban service boundary under s. 163.3177(13) 163.31773(13) and (14) may adopt a plan amendment related to map amendments solely to property within an urban service boundary in the manner described in subsections (1), (2), (7), (14), (15), and (16) and s. 163.3187(1)(c)1.d. and e., 2., and 3., such that state and regional agency review is eliminated. The department may not issue an objections, recommendations, and comments report on proposed plan amendments or a notice of intent on adopted plan amendments; however, affected persons, as defined by paragraph (1)(a), may file a petition for administrative review pursuant to the requirements of s. 163.3187(3)(a) to challenge the compliance of an adopted plan amendment. This subsection does not apply to any amendment within an area of critical state concern, to any amendment that increases residential densities allowable in high-hazard coastal areas as defined in s. 163.3178(2)(h), or to a text change to the goals, policies, or objectives of the local government's 808053

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346347

348

349350

351

352

353

354

355356

357

358

359

360

361

comprehensive plan. Amendments submitted under this subsection are exempt from the limitation on the frequency of plan amendments in s. 163.3187.

Section 5. Paragraph (a) of subsection (3) of section 163.3247, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:

163.3247 Century Commission for a Sustainable Florida. --

- (3) CENTURY COMMISSION FOR A SUSTAINABLE FLORIDA; CREATION; ORGANIZATION.--The Century Commission for a Sustainable Florida is created as a standing body to help the citizens of this state envision and plan their collective future with an eye towards both 25-year and 50-year horizons.
- The commission shall consist of 15 members, 5 appointed by the Governor, 5 appointed by the President of the Senate, and 5 appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives. Appointments shall be made no later than October 1, 2005. The membership must represent local governments, school boards, developers and homebuilders, the business community, the agriculture community, the environmental community, and other appropriate stakeholders. The membership shall reflect the demographic makeup of the state. One member shall be designated by the Governor as chair of the commission. Any vacancy that occurs on the commission must be filled in the same manner as the original appointment and shall be for the unexpired term of that commission seat. Members shall serve 4year terms, except that, initially, to provide for staggered terms, the Governor, the President of the Senate, and the Speaker of the House of Representatives shall each appoint one member to serve a 2-year term, two members to serve 3-year terms, and two members to serve 4-year terms. All subsequent 808053

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

- appointments shall be for 4-year terms. An appointee may not serve more than 6 years.
  - Section 6. Paragraph (a) of subsection (4) of section 339.2819, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
    - 339.2819 Transportation Regional Incentive Program. --
    - (4)(a) Projects to be funded with Transportation Regional Incentive Program funds shall, at a minimum:
    - 1. Support those transportation facilities that serve national, statewide, or regional functions and function as an integrated regional transportation system.
    - 2. Be identified in the capital improvements element of a comprehensive plan that has been determined to be in compliance with part II of chapter 163, after July 1, 2005, or to implement a long-term concurrency management system adopted by a local government in accordance with s. <a href="163.3180(9)">163.3177(9)</a>. Further, the project shall be in compliance with local government comprehensive plan policies relative to corridor management.
    - 3. Be consistent with the Strategic Intermodal System Plan developed under s. 339.64.
    - 4. Have a commitment for local, regional, or private financial matching funds as a percentage of the overall project cost.
    - Section 7. Subsection (10) of section 339.55, Florida Statutes, is amended to read:
      - 339.55 State-funded infrastructure bank.--
- 388 (10) Funds paid into the State Transportation Trust Fund 389 pursuant to s. 201.15(1)(d) for the purposes of the State

390 Infrastructure Bank are hereby annually appropriated for expenditure to support that program.

Section 8. Paragraphs (1), (m), and (n) of subsection (24) of section 380.06, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

380.06 Developments of regional impact. --

- (24) STATUTORY EXEMPTIONS. --
- (1) Any proposed development within an urban service boundary established under s. 163.3177(14) is exempt from the provisions of this section if the local government having jurisdiction over the area where the development is proposed has adopted the urban service boundary and has entered into a binding agreement with adjacent jurisdictions and the Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation facilities, and has adopted a proportionate <u>fair-share mitigation</u> share methodology pursuant to s. 163.3180(16).
- (m) Any proposed development within a rural land stewardship area created under s. 163.3177(11)(d) is exempt from the provisions of this section if the local government that has adopted the rural land stewardship area has entered into a binding agreement with jurisdictions that would be impacted and the Department of Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and regional transportation facilities, and has adopted a proportionate <u>fair-share mitigation</u> share methodology pursuant to s. 163.3180(16).
- (n) Any proposed development or redevelopment within an area designated as an urban infill and redevelopment area under s. 163.2517 is exempt from the provisions of this section if the local government has entered into a binding agreement with 808053

- 419 jurisdictions that would be impacted and the Department of 420 Transportation regarding the mitigation of impacts on state and 421 regional transportation facilities, and has adopted a
- proportionate fair-share mitigation share methodology pursuant 422
- to s. 163.3180(16). 423

427

437

438

439

440

441

442

443 444

445

- Section 9. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 424 1013.65, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 425
- 1013.65 Educational and ancillary plant construction 426 funds; Public Education Capital Outlay and Debt Service Trust
- 428 Fund; allocation of funds. --
- (2)(a) The Public Education Capital Outlay and Debt 429 Service Trust Fund shall be comprised of the following sources, 430 which are hereby appropriated to the trust fund: 431
- Proceeds, premiums, and accrued interest from the sale 432 of public education bonds and that portion of the revenues 433 434 accruing from the gross receipts tax as provided by s. 9(a)(2), Art. XII of the State Constitution, as amended, interest on 435 investments, and federal interest subsidies. 436
  - General revenue funds appropriated to the fund for educational capital outlay purposes.
  - All capital outlay funds previously appropriated and certified forward pursuant to s. 216.301.
    - 4.a. Funds paid pursuant to s. 201.15(1)(d).
  - b. The sum of \$41.75 million of such funds shall be appropriated annually for expenditure to fund the Classrooms for Kids Program created in s. 1013.735 and shall be distributed as provided by that section.
- Section 10. Subsections (2) and (3) of section 1013.738, 446 447 Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

808053

448 1013.738 High Growth District Capital Outlay Assistance 449 Grant Program.--

- (2) In order to qualify for a grant, a school district must meet the following criteria:
- (a) The district must have levied the full 2 mills of nonvoted discretionary capital outlay millage authorized in s. 1011.71(2) for each of the past 3 4 fiscal years or currently receive an amount from the school capital outlay surtax authorized in s. 212.055(6) that, when added to the nonvoted discretionary capital outlay millage collected, equals the amount that would be generated if the full 2 mills of nonvoted discretionary capital outlay millage had been collected over the past 3 fiscal years.
- (b) The district must receive, in the current fiscal year, revenue from the collection of an impact fee specifically for schools and revenue from the collection of one of the following:
- 1. A local government infrastructure sales surtax authorized in s. 212.055(2) in which a portion is dedicated for the construction of schools in the current fiscal year.
- 2. A school capital outlay surtax authorized in s.

  212.055(6). If the school capital outlay surtax is used to meet
  the conditions of paragraph (a), the amount of the school
  capital outlay surtax collected must be in excess of the amount
  in paragraph (a).
- 3. A local bond referendum as authorized in ss. 1010.40-1010.55. Fifty percent of the revenue derived from the 2-mill nonvoted discretionary capital outlay millage for the past 4 fiscal years, when divided by the district's growth in capital outlay FTE students over this period, produces a value that is 808053

less than the average cost per student station calculated pursuant to s. 1013.72(2), and weighted by statewide growth in capital outlay FTE students in elementary, middle, and high schools for the past 4 fiscal years.

- (c) The district must have equaled or exceeded three times twice the statewide average of growth in capital outlay FTE students over this same 3-year 4-year period.
- (d) The district must not have received an appropriation from the special facilities construction program in the current fiscal year. The Commissioner of Education must have released all funds allocated to the district from the Classrooms First Program authorized in s. 1013.68, and these funds were fully expended by the district as of February 1 of the current fiscal year.
- (e) The total capital outlay FTE students of the district is greater than 15,000 students.
- (3) The funds provided in the General Appropriations Act shall be allocated pursuant to the following methodology:
- (a) Each eligible district school board shall receive an amount from the Public Education Capital Outlay and Debt Service Trust Fund to be calculated by computing the capital outlay full-time equivalent membership as determined by the department. Such membership must include, but is not limited to:
- 1. K-12 students, except hospital and homebound part-time students; and
- 2. Students who are career education students and adult disabled students and who are enrolled in school district career centers. For each eligible district, the Department of Education shall calculate the value of 50 percent of the revenue derived 808053

from the 2 mill nonvoted discretionary capital outlay millage for the past 4 fiscal years divided by the increase in capital outlay FTE students for the same period.

- shall be determined for kindergarten through grade 12 and for career centers by averaging the unweighted full-time equivalent membership for the second and third surveys and comparing the results on a school-by-school basis with the Florida Inventory for School Houses. The capital outlay full-time equivalent membership by grade-level organization shall be used in making the following calculation: the capital outlay full-time equivalent membership by grade-level organization for the prior year must be used to compute the growth over the highest of the 3 years preceding the prior year. The Department of Education shall determine, for each eligible district, the amount that must be added to the value calculated pursuant to paragraph (a) to produce the weighted average value per student station calculated pursuant to paragraph (2) (b).
- pursuant to this subsection shall be allocated among the growth capital outlay full-time equivalent membership. The allocation shall be prorated to the districts based upon each district's percentage of growth capital outlay full-time equivalent membership. The most recent 4-year capital outlay full-time equivalent equivalent membership data shall be used in each subsequent year's calculation for the allocation of funds pursuant to this subsection. If a change, correction, or recomputation of data during any year results in a reduction or increase of the calculated amount previously allocated to a district, the 808053

- allocation to that district shall be adjusted correspondingly. If such recomputation results in an increase or decrease of the calculated amount, such additional or reduced amounts shall be added to or reduced from the district's future appropriations. However, no change, correction, or recomputation of data shall be made subsequent to 2 years following the initial annual allocation. The value calculated for each eligible district pursuant to paragraph (b) shall be multiplied by the average increase in capital outlay FTE students for the past 4 fiscal years to determine the maximum amount of a grant that may be awarded to a district pursuant to this section.
  - (d) In the event the funds provided in the General Appropriations Act are insufficient to fully fund the maximum grants calculated pursuant to paragraph (c), the Department of Education shall allocate the funds based on each district's prorated share of the total maximum award amount calculated for all eligible districts.
  - Section 11. Paragraph (a) of subsection (2) of section 27 of chapter 2005-290, Laws of Florida, is amended to read:

    Section 27.
  - (2) The following appropriations are made for the 2005-2006 fiscal year only on a nonrecurring basis:
  - (a) From the State Transportation Trust Fund in the Department of Transportation:
  - 1. One hundred seventy-five Two hundred million dollars for the purposes specified in sections 339.61, 339.62, 339.63, and 339.64, Florida Statutes.
- 2. Two hundred seventy-five million dollars for the purposes specified in section 339.2819, Florida Statutes. 808053
  4/28/2006 8:30:40 AM

- 3. One hundred million dollars for the purposes specified in section 339.55, Florida Statutes.
  - 4. Twenty-five million for the purposes specified in section 339.2817, Florida Statutes.

Section 12. This act shall take effect July 1, 2006.

568569

566

567

570

*J* / C

571

572

573

574

J / 4

575576

577

578579

580 581

582 583

584

585 586

587588

589

590

591

592

808053

====== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ========= Remove the entire title and insert:

A bill to be entitled

An act relating to growth management; amending s.

163.3164, F.S.; revising a definition; amending s.

163.3177, F.S.; correcting a cross-reference; amending s.

163.3180, F.S.; revising concurrency requirements and

procedures; providing sanctions; amending ss. 163.3184 and

339.2819, F.S.; correcting cross-references; amending s.

163.3247, F.S.; providing a requirement on the makeup of the Century Commission for a Sustainable Florida; amending

s. 339.55, F.S.; deleting an annual appropriation from the

State Transportation Trust Fund for State Infrastructure

Bank purposes; amending s. 380.06, F.S.; revising certain

regional impact; amending s. 1013.65, F.S.; revising

statutory exemption provisions for developments of

provisions relating to sources of appropriations to the

Public Education Capital Outlay and Debt Service Trust

Fund to delete an annual appropriation to the Classroom for Kids Program; amending s. 1013.738, F.S.; revising the

eligibility criteria for the High Growth District Capital

Outlay Assistance Grant Program; revising provisions for

## (LATE FILED) HOUSE AMENDMENT

Bill No. HB 7167 CS

Amendment No. (for drafter's use only)

593	allocation of funds; providing calculations; amending s.
594	27, ch. 2005-290, Laws of Florida; revising an
595	appropriation from the State Transportation Trust Fund for
596	Florida Strategic Intermodal System purposes; providing an
597	effective date.