

**HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES STAFF ANALYSIS**

**BILL #:** HB 7199 (PCB FFF 06-05) CS Forensic Treatment and Training  
**SPONSOR(S):** Future of Florida's Families Committee and Rep. Galvano  
**TIED BILLS:** None. **IDEN./SIM. BILLS:** SB 2010, HB 1503

REFERENCE	ACTION	ANALYST	STAFF DIRECTOR
Orig. Comm.: Future of Florida's Families Committee	5 Y, 0 N	Davis	Collins
1) Criminal Justice Committee	6 Y, 0 N, w/CS	Cunningham	Kramer
2) Health & Families Council		Davis	Moore
3) _____	_____	_____	_____
4) _____	_____	_____	_____
5) _____	_____	_____	_____

**SUMMARY ANALYSIS**

The bill revises various provisions of Chapter 916, F.S., related to the treatment and training of persons who have a mental illness, mental retardation, or are autistic. The bill also clarifies that the treatment and training of defendants with mental retardation or autism is no longer provided by the Department of Children and Families (DCF), but is now provided through the Agency for Persons with Disabilities (APD). In addition, the bill:

- Removes numerous outdated and obsolete provisions, and streamlines, clarifies, and reorganizes provisions;
- Updates definitions to tie term for ‘forensic client’ to procedures in chapter rather than recreate procedures in definitions; and creates definition for “defendant” to distinguish persons who have not yet become clients via commitment procedures;
- Requires separate housing requirements for forensic clients (conforms to current practice);
- Adds provisions relating to the use of “restraints” and “seclusion” including rule authority to establish standards and procedures for the use of restraints and seclusion in forensic facilities;
- Clarifies provisions to distinguish defendants who are currently in the custody of the Department of Corrections;
- Removes some references to Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure as these references change and applicability of rules is under jurisdiction of courts;
- Deletes requirement for APD’s Inspector General to study and notify state attorney of sexual misconduct (needs to be reported and investigated immediately);
- Allows transfer of court jurisdiction for forensic clients; and
- Clarifies distinction between ch. 916, F.S., forensic procedures for involuntary commitment and ch. 393, F.S., procedures for **non**-forensic involuntary commitment (the source of much court confusion).

This bill substantially amends, creates, or repeals the following sections of the Florida Statutes: ss. 916.105, 916.106, 916.107, 916.1075, 916.1081, 916.1085, 916.1091, 916.1093, 916.111, 916.115, 916.12, 916.13, 916.145, 916.15, 916.16, 916.17, 916.301, 916.3012, 916.302, 916.3025, 916.303, 916.304, 921.137, 985.223, 287.057, 408.036, 943.0585, 942.059.

According to the Agency for Persons with Disabilities, there is no fiscal impact.

**This document does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill sponsor or House of Representatives.**

**STORAGE NAME:** h7199c.HFC.doc  
**DATE:** 4/13/2006

## FULL ANALYSIS

### I. SUBSTANTIVE ANALYSIS

#### A. HOUSE PRINCIPLES ANALYSIS:

**Provides Limited Government:** The bill revises various provisions of Chapter 916, F.S., related to the treatment and training of defendants who have a mental illness, mental retardation, or are autistic.

#### B. EFFECT OF PROPOSED CHANGES:

##### **Present Situation:**

Chapter 916, F.S., the "Forensic Client Services Act," applies to persons charged with a felony and found to be incompetent to proceed due to mental illness, mental retardation, or autism or who have been acquitted of felonies by reason of insanity. Persons committed under ch. 916, F.S., remain under the jurisdiction of the committing court but are committed to the custody of the department. Chapter 916, F.S., is divided into three parts: Part I, General Provisions; Part II, Forensic Services for Persons Who are Mentally Ill; and, Part III, Forensic Services for Persons Who are Retarded or Autistic. The Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure (FRCP Rules 3.210-3.219) contain court procedures for forensic clients in areas such as the appointment of experts, mental competency examination and report, competence to proceed, hearing and disposition, judgment of not guilty by reason of insanity disposition, and conditional release.

Part I provides legislative intent for DCF to "establish, locate, and maintain separate and secure facilities and programs for the treatment or training of defendants" committed under the provisions of the chapter.<sup>1</sup> This part provides definitions for terminology used in the entire chapter, including definitions of "autism," "forensic client," "mental illness," and "retardation."<sup>2</sup> Part I also includes the rights of forensic clients, which include the right to:

- Individual dignity,
- Treatment,
- Express and informed consent,
- Quality treatment, communication,
- Abuse reporting, and visits,
- To have personal effects and clothing,
- To vote if otherwise eligible,
- Confidentiality of the clinical record, and
- Habeas corpus.<sup>3</sup>

This part also provides prohibitions and penalties for sexual misconduct by an employee with a forensic client, penalties for escape from a forensic program, and penalties for the introduction or removal of certain articles into a forensic facility. It provides general rulemaking authority for the department.

Part II of ch. 916, F.S., relates to forensic services for persons who are mentally ill and describes the criteria and procedures for the examination, involuntary commitment, and adjudication of persons who are incompetent to proceed due to mental illness or who have been adjudicated not guilty by reason of insanity.

This part also directs DCF to provide either directly or through a contract with accredited institutions standardized criteria and procedures to be used in evaluations and to develop clinical protocol and procedures consistent with the FRCP. In addition, DCF must develop a training plan for community

---

<sup>1</sup> s. 916.105, F.S.

<sup>2</sup> s. 916.106, F.S.

<sup>3</sup> s. 916.107, F.S.

mental health professionals who perform forensic evaluations, provide training for professionals doing evaluations and providing reports to the court and develop a system to evaluate the program's success. Each year DCF is required to provide the court with a list of mental health professionals approved as experts.

Part II authorizes the court to appoint no more than three nor fewer than two experts to evaluate a criminal defendant's mental condition, including competency, insanity, and the need for involuntary hospitalization or placement. The court is required to authorize reasonable fees for expert evaluations and testimony.

Pursuant to this part, an individual is incompetent to proceed if he or she "does not have sufficient present ability to consult with her or his lawyer with a reasonable degree of rational understanding or if the defendant has no rational, as well as factual, understanding of the proceedings against her or him."<sup>4</sup>

In considering the issue of competence to proceed, the statute requires that the examining expert must report to the court regarding the defendant's capacity to appreciate the charges or allegations against him or her, appreciate the range and nature of possible penalties, understand the adversarial nature of the legal process, consult with counsel regarding the facts pertinent to the case, behave appropriately in court, and testify relevantly. The examining expert must include in the report to the court any other information deemed relevant. If the expert finds the defendant incompetent to proceed, they must also report on recommended treatment that will allow the defendant to regain competence. The expert's report to the court must also address the defendant's mental illness, recommended treatments and alternatives and their availability in the community, the likelihood of the defendant's attaining competence under the recommended treatment, an assessment of the probable duration of the treatment, and the probability that the defendant will attain competence to proceed in the foreseeable future.<sup>5</sup>

A defendant may not automatically be deemed incompetent to proceed simply because his or her satisfactory mental functioning is dependent upon psychotropic medication. "Psychotropic medication" is defined for the purposes of ch. 916, F.S., as "any drug or compound used to treat mental or emotional disorders affecting the mind, behavior, intellectual functions, perception, moods, or emotions and includes antipsychotic, antidepressant, antimanic, and antianxiety drugs."<sup>6</sup>

Part II of ch. 916, F.S., also provides the criteria for defendants who are adjudicated incompetent to proceed to be involuntarily committed for treatment. The court must find by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is mentally ill and because of the mental illness:

- The defendant is manifestly incapable of surviving alone or with the help of willing and responsible family or friends, including available alternative services, and, without treatment, the defendant is likely to suffer from neglect or refuse to care for herself or himself and such neglect or refusal poses a real and present threat of substantial harm to the defendant's well-being; and
- There is a substantial likelihood that in the near future the defendant will inflict serious bodily harm on herself or himself or another person, as evidenced by recent behavior causing, attempting, or threatening such harm; and
- All available, less restrictive treatment alternatives, including treatment in community residential facilities or community inpatient or outpatient settings, which would offer an opportunity for improvement of the defendant's condition have been judged to be inappropriate; and
- There is a substantial probability that the mental illness causing the defendant's incompetence will respond to treatment and the defendant will regain competency to proceed in the reasonably foreseeable future.<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> s. 916.12, F.S.

<sup>5</sup> s. 916.12, F.S.

<sup>6</sup> s. 916.12(5), F.S.

<sup>7</sup> s. 916.13, F.S.

This part also provides that a defendant who is acquitted of criminal charges because of a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity may be involuntarily committed if he or she is mentally ill and, because of the mental illness, is manifestly dangerous to himself or herself or others.<sup>8</sup> Persons committed under Part I of ch. 916, F.S., are committed to the custody of DCF and are usually treated at one of the three forensic state mental health treatment facilities at Florida State Hospital in Chattahoochee, North Florida Evaluation and Treatment Center in Gainesville, or South Florida Evaluation and Treatment Center in Miami.

The court may also order conditional release of a defendant who has been found incompetent to proceed or not guilty by reason of insanity. Conditional release must be based on an approved plan for providing appropriate outpatient care. The court may also order conditional release in lieu of an involuntary commitment to a facility. If outpatient treatment is appropriate, a written plan for outpatient treatment, including recommendations from qualified professionals, must be filed with the court.<sup>9</sup>

Part III of ch. 916, F.S., relates to forensic services for persons with retardation or autism and describes the criteria and procedures for the examination, involuntary commitment, and adjudication of persons who are incompetent to proceed due to mental retardation or autism. Similar to the provisions of Part I, this section directs that the department must provide the courts annually with a list of professionals who are qualified to perform evaluations of defendants alleged to be incompetent to proceed due to retardation or autism. The courts may use professionals from this list when ordering evaluations for defendants suspected of being retarded or autistic, but one of the experts appointed by the court must be the "developmental services program of the department," and the department is directed to "select a psychologist who is licensed or authorized by law to practice in this state, with experience in evaluating persons suspected of having retardation or autism and a social service professional with experience in working with persons with retardation or autism to evaluate the defendant."<sup>10</sup>

The court must find by clear and convincing evidence that:

- The defendant is retarded or autistic,
- There is a substantial likelihood that in the near future he or she will inflict serious bodily harm on himself or herself or another person, as evidenced by recent behavior causing, attempting, or threatening such harm,
- There is no less restrictive treatment available, and
- There is a substantial probability that the retardation or autism causing the defendant's incompetence will respond to training and he or she will regain competency to proceed in the reasonably foreseeable future.<sup>11</sup>

A defendant who is found to be incompetent to proceed and meets the above criteria is committed to the department. No later than six months after admission, at the end of any period of extended commitment, or at any time the administrator determines that the defendant has regained competency to proceed or no longer meets the criteria for continued commitment, the administrator must file a report with the court.<sup>12</sup>

If a defendant remains incompetent to proceed within a reasonable time after such determination, not to exceed two years, the charges against him or her are to be dropped. The only exception is if the court specifies in its order the reasons for expecting that the defendant will become competent to proceed within the foreseeable future and specifies the time within which that is expected to occur. The charges against the defendant are dismissed without prejudice to the state to refile the charges should the

---

<sup>8</sup> s. 916.15, F.S.

<sup>9</sup> s. 916.17, F.S.

<sup>10</sup> s. 916.301, F.S.

<sup>11</sup> s. 916.302, F.S.

<sup>12</sup> Ibid.

defendant be declared competent to proceed in the future.<sup>13</sup> The individual may then apply for services from the agency.

If the defendant requires involuntary residential services under s. 393.11, F.S., and there is a substantial likelihood that he or she will injure another person or continues to present a danger of escape, and all available less restrictive alternatives, including services in community residential facilities or other community settings are inappropriate, then the defendant's placement in a secure facility or program may be continued. An individual involuntarily placed under this provision must have an annual review of his or her status by the court at a hearing. The annual review and hearing are to determine whether the individual continues to meet the criteria for involuntary residential services and, if so, if placement in a secure facility is still required because the court finds that the individual is likely to physically injure others. However, in no circumstance may a defendant's placement in a secure facility or program exceed the maximum sentence for the crime for which the defendant was charged.<sup>14</sup>

Forensic programs for persons with developmental disabilities are the Mentally Retarded Defendant Programs, which are located at Sunland in Marianna, Florida State Hospital in Chattahoochee, and Taccachale in Gainesville.

The Agency for Persons with Disabilities (APD) was created effective October 1, 2004. The agency is housed within the Department of Children and Families (DCF) for administrative purposes only. It is not subject to the control, supervision or direction of DCF, and the director of APD is appointed by the Governor. The agency's mission is to assist people who have developmental disabilities and their families. The agency also provides assistance to identify the needs of people with developmental disabilities and funding to purchase supports and services. Although the agency's Central Office is located in Tallahassee, supports and services for people with developmental disabilities are provided through district offices throughout the state.

Developmental disabilities include mental retardation, autism, spina bifida, cerebral palsy, and Prader Willi syndrome. The bill proposes to continue the conforming changes to statutes begun in 2004 to conform to the establishment of the new agency, plus make some needed updating and clarifications; as well as several substantive changes that will allow the agency to better serve the needs of service recipients and the public interest.

As part of those transitions, institutions housing clients with developmental disabilities were also transferred to APD. This included institutions housing forensic clients diagnosed with mental retardation or autism that had been charged with a felony offense but found incompetent to proceed to trial. Statutory provisions relating to forensic clients are found in chapter 916, F.S. However, the current chapter, which is divided into 3 parts, has not yet been modified to recognize the distinction between the DCF with respect to forensic clients with mental illness, and the APD, which is responsible for forensic clients with mental retardation or autism.

The DCF is no longer responsible for the treatment and training of defendants who have solely mental retardation or autism. The delay in modifying Chapter 916, F.S., to clearly distinguish the department's responsibility from the responsibilities of the new agency has caused confusion among the courts. Individuals with mental retardation or autism, at times, continue to be committed to the department and commitment packets are being sent to the Forensic Admissions Office within mental health. Because individuals can have both mental retardation and mental illness, it becomes very difficult at times to determine whether the court intended to commit the individual due to mental illness or mental retardation. This uncertainty requires staff time to obtain clarification from the court and may result in the court's issuing new orders and/or requiring new evaluations. The individual may have to move from the mental health waiting list to the mental retardation waiting list, which ultimately delays the individual's admission time.

---

<sup>13</sup> s. 916.303, F.S.

<sup>14</sup> s. 916.303, F.S.

According to DCF, clearly distinguishing that the treatment and training of defendants with mental retardation or autism is the responsibility of the Agency for Persons with Disabilities will minimize the courts' confusion, lead to fewer inappropriate commitments to the department, and help to ensure that defendants with mental retardation or autism are placed on the appropriate waiting list so that admission can occur without unnecessary delays.

### **Use of Restraint and Seclusion**

According to the Advocacy Center for Persons with Disabilities (Advocacy Center), based on data from the federal Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), Florida had the highest per-capita restraint/seclusion related death rate of any state during 2004 and 2005. Of those deaths, 14 of the 16 suspicious deaths that came to the attention of the Advocacy Center involved the use of restraint and/or seclusion. The Advocacy Center learned of these deaths from a variety of sources, including the CMS, Agency for Healthcare Administration (AHCA), APD, DCF, and newspaper articles, as well as from families and friends of the deceased. However, the unreliability and uncertainty of the reporting procedures in Florida make it difficult to know with complete certainty the extent of use of restraint and seclusion.

Both the agency and DCF have some statutory provisions in place regarding the use of restraint and seclusion. Section 393.13(4)(i), F.S., states, "Clients shall have the right to be free from unnecessary physical, chemical, or mechanical restraint. Restraints shall be employed only in emergencies or to protect the client from imminent injury to himself or herself or others. Restraints shall not be employed as punishment, for the convenience of staff, or as a substitute for a rehabilitative plan. Restraints shall impose the least possible restrictions consistent with their purpose and shall be removed when the emergency ends. Restraints shall not cause physical injury to the client and shall be designed to allow the greatest possible comfort."

Pursuant to federal law, CMS must report Florida restraint or seclusion related deaths to the Advocacy Center. Hospitals receiving federal funds must report to CMS any deaths that occur while an individual is restrained or in seclusion or where it is reasonable to assume that an individual's death is a result of restraint and seclusion. However, according to the Advocacy Center, Florida hospitals are often late sending reports to CMS, which then often fails to notify the Advocacy Center in a timely manner or sends incomplete information.

### **Mental Health Treatment Facilities**

The purpose of mental health treatment facilities is to stabilize adults with mental illnesses so they can return to the community. Florida's mental health institutions, also known as mental health treatment facilities, are part of the continuum of care for the most seriously mentally ill residents of the state. In Fiscal Year 2004-05, the program served 3,950 adults in two population categories.

The "civil population category" includes adults with a serious mental illness who meet voluntary or involuntary admission criteria (are a danger to themselves or others) and for whom less restrictive treatment settings are not available or appropriate.

The "forensic population category" includes adults with a serious mental illness who are either charged with a criminal offense and found not guilty of a crime by reason of insanity or found incompetent to proceed through any phase of the judicial process.

Institutional mental health services are provided at five state mental health treatment facilities, which include two civil facilities, two forensic facilities, and one facility with both civil and forensic units.

"Civil facilities" serve the general population and provide evaluation, mental health treatment, rehabilitation and support to facilitate clients' successful return to the community. Each hospital serves a designated geographic area.

“Forensic facilities” serve adults charged with a felony criminal offense (and juveniles adjudicated as adults) and provide mental health treatment and competency restoration services in a secure residential setting. The facilities serve individuals who are found incompetent to proceed to trial and individuals who are found not guilty of their crime due to reason of insanity. Forensic facilities serve individuals from all geographic areas of the state.

Florida's forensic system is a network of state facilities and community services for individuals who have a mental illness and are involved with the criminal justice system. The goal is to provide assessment, evaluation, and treatment to individuals adjudicated incompetent to proceed at any stage of a criminal proceeding or not guilty by reason of insanity.

In addition to the general psychiatric treatment approaches and milieu, specialized services include:

- Psychosocial rehabilitation
- Education
- Treatment modules such as competency, anger management, mental health awareness, medication and relapse prevention
- Sexually transmitted disease education and prevention
- Substance abuse awareness and prevention
- Vocational training
- Occupational therapies
- Full range of medical and dental services

Services include comprehensive assessment, evaluation, and treatment of psychiatric disorders for individuals involved with the criminal court system. Evaluations for competency to proceed, treatment following a finding of not guilty by reason of insanity, and services to individuals on conditional release in the community are provided. Additionally, in-jail services are provided by local county jails, often with assistance from community mental health providers.

Forensic services are provided to adults over the age of 18 and juveniles adjudicated as adults. Diagnostic categories include all major DSMIV disorder classifications (primarily schizophrenia and mood disorders). Secondary diagnoses, such as substance abuse and personality disorders, are also present for a significant number of people.

Individuals determined by the court to require treatment in a state mental health facility are typically served by one of three maximum security facilities. These facilities have a combined capacity to serve 890 people. Individuals who do not require a secure setting may be directly admitted or transferred into one of three civil mental health treatment facilities. The facilities admit over 1,000 individuals into the state treatment facilities on a yearly basis.

Community services are provided as a first level of treatment and assessment aimed at stabilization and reducing the need for admission into a state facility. Community services are also available to individuals released from state mental health treatment facilities. There are two forensic halfway houses in Florida, with a capacity to serve 35 individuals from one of the state treatment facilities. Individuals are also accepted into other community programs. Services are provided in local county jails to individuals awaiting state facility admission, to individuals returning from state facilities, and to individuals who are able to proceed with disposition of their criminal charges without requiring facility admission. Services vary by county jail, ranging from visits by a mental health professional on an as needed basis to full service inpatient mental health units located in the jail complex.

Section 916.145, F.S., states, "The charges against any defendant adjudicated incompetent to proceed due to the defendant's mental illness shall be dismissed without prejudice to the state if the defendant remains incompetent to proceed five years after such determination, unless the court in its order specifies its reasons for believing that the defendant will become competent to proceed within the

foreseeable future and specifies the time within which the defendant is expected to become competent to proceed. The charges against the defendant are dismissed without prejudice to the state to refile the charges should the defendant be declared competent to proceed in the future.”

An acquittal of not guilty by reason of insanity (NGBRI) is an adjudication by the court. The individual remains NGBRI of the charge(s) indefinitely and cannot be retried on the same charge(s). However, the court may maintain jurisdiction and may commit the individual to the DCF for inpatient treatment or order treatment and supervision in the community under the terms of a conditional release.

If committed to the department for inpatient treatment, the treating facility must file a report with the court within six months of the individual's admission, prior to the end of any extended period of treatment or at any time the administrator or designee determines that the individual no longer meets the criteria for involuntary commitment. Individuals placed on conditional release may remain under court supervision until such time that the court determines they no longer require court supervision.

In Fiscal Year 2003-04, 69% of the individuals committed under the civil statute experienced symptom relief, and 53% of the forensic individuals who were Not Guilty by Reason of Insanity experienced symptom relief. In future years, the performance measurement system will shift from measuring symptom relief to measuring improvement in functioning. In Fiscal Year 2005-06, the DCF goal is to improve the level of functioning for 73% of the civil population and 63% of the forensic population.

### **Effect of the Bill**

The bill revises various provisions of Chapter 916, F.S., related to the treatment and training of defendants who have a mental illness, mental retardation, or are autistic. The bill also clarifies that the treatment and training of defendants with mental retardation or autism is no longer provided by the Department of Children and Families (DCF), but is now provided through the Agency for Persons with Disabilities (APD). In addition, the bill:

- Removes numerous outdated and obsolete provisions, and streamlines, clarifies, and reorganizes provisions;
- Updates definitions to tie term for “forensic client” to procedures in chapter rather than recreate procedures in definitions; and creates definition for “defendant” to distinguish persons who have not yet become clients via commitment procedures;
- Requires separate housing requirements for forensic clients (conforms to current practice);
- Adds provisions relating to the use of “restraints” and “seclusion” including rule authority to establish standards and procedures for the use of restraints and seclusion in forensic facilities.
- Clarifies provisions to distinguish defendants who are currently in the custody of the Department of Corrections;
- Removes some references to Florida Rules of Criminal Procedures as these references change and applicability of rules is under jurisdiction of courts;
- Deletes requirement for APD’s Inspector General to study and notify state attorney of sexual misconduct (needs to be reported and investigated immediately);
- Allows transfer of court jurisdiction for forensic clients; and



- Amends statute to clarify distinction between ch. 916 forensic procedures for involuntary commitment and ch. 393 procedures for **non**-forensic involuntary commitment (the source of much court confusion).

The bill updates, clarifies, and adds reference to APD; adds language relating to the use of restraints and seclusion; revises the definition of “Forensic Clients” and their right to treatment; revises a forensic client’s right to express and informed consent during emergency situations to include a review of the need for treatment review every 48 hours; adds quality of treatment language pertaining to a client’s right to be free from unnecessary use of restraint and seclusion (restraints and seclusion should only be used in situations in which the client or others are at risk); and specifies that the release of confidential information comply with state and federal law.

Provisions related to the appointment of experts are updated. The department shall maintain and provide the courts annually with a list of available mental health professionals who have completed approved training as experts.

In considering the issue of competence to proceed, the examining experts shall first consider and specifically include in their report the defendant's capacity to:

- Appreciate the charges or allegations against the defendant;
- Appreciate the range and nature of possible penalties, if applicable, that may be imposed in the proceedings against the defendant;
- Understand the adversarial nature of the legal process;
- Disclose to counsel facts pertinent to the proceedings at issue;
- Manifest appropriate courtroom behavior;
- Testify relevantly; and
- Any other factor deemed relevant by the experts.

C. SECTION DIRECTORY:

**Section 1.** Amends s. 916.105, F.S., relating to legislative intent to reduce the use of restraint and seclusion in forensic facilities serving persons with developmental disabilities.

**Section 2.** Amends s. 916.106, F.S., providing and revising definitions.

**Section 3.** Amends s. 916.107, F.S., relating to the rights of forensic clients.

**Section 4.** Amends s. 916.1075, F.S., relating to sexual misconduct to substitute the term “covered person” for “employee” and require APD to directly report misconduct rather than reporting through the agency’s inspector general.

**Section 5.** Amends s. 916.1081, F.S., relating to penalties for escaping from a forensic facility to distinguish from forensic clients in the correctional system.

**Section 6.** Amends s. 916.1085, F.S., providing for certain prohibitions concerning contraband articles to apply to facilities under the supervision or control of the Agency for Persons with Disabilities.

**Section 7.** Amends s. 916.1091, F.S., relating to security personnel to add APD.

**Section 8.** Amends s. 916.1093, F.S., relating to administration and rules to add APD, and to specify the content of rules relating to restraint and seclusion.

**Section 9.** Amends s. 916.111, F.S., updating provisions relating to training of mental health experts.

**Section 10.** Amends s. 916.115, F.S., updating provisions relating to appointment of experts. The department shall maintain and provide the courts annually with a list of available mental health professionals who have completed approved training as experts.

**Section 11.** Amends s. 916.12, F.S., adding provisions relating to competency to proceed.

**Section 12.** Amends s. 916.13, F.S., relating to involuntary commitment to alter the burden of commitment.

**Section 13.** Amends s. 916.145, F.S., relating to dismissal of charges against a defendant adjudicated incompetent.

**Section 14.** Amends s. 916.15, F.S., relating to insanity to clarify that incompetence is determined according to Rule 3.217 of the Florida Rules of Criminal Procedure.

**Section 15.** Amends s. 916.16, F.S., relating to jurisdiction of courts over defendants involuntarily committed due to a determination of incompetence.

**Section 16.** Amends s. 916.17, F.S., relating to conditional release.

**Section 17.** Amends s. 916.301, F.S., relating to the appointment of experts and to update and clarify the persons to be selected as experts.

**Section 18.** Amends s. 916.3012, F.S., clarifying provisions governing the determination of a defendant's mental competence to proceed.

**Section 19.** Amends s. 916.302, F.S., relating to involuntary commitment and to require the submission of an evaluation by DCF and APD for dually diagnosed defendants.

**Section 20.** Amends s. 916.3025, F.S., relating to jurisdiction of committing court and to permit the court to transfer jurisdiction to a court in the circuit where the defendant resides.

**Section 21.** Amends s. 916.303, F.S., relating to determination of incompetency to clarify the difference between the grounds for involuntary commitments under ch. 393, F.S., and the requirement for continued secure placement under ch. 916, F.S.

**Section 22.** Amends s. 916.304, F.S., relating to conditional release to update and clarify the difference between involuntary placements and forensic commitments.

**Section 23.** Amends s. 921.137, F.S., relating to the death sentence for retarded inmates and to update the reference from DCF to APD.

**Section 24.** Amends s. 985.223, updating the provisions relating to juvenile delinquency cases.

**Section 25.** Amends s. 287.057, F.S., to update cross-reference.

**Section 26.** Amends s. 408.036, F.S., to update cross-reference.

**Section 27.** Amends s. 943.0585, F.S., to update cross-reference.

**Section 28.** Amends s. 943.059, F.S., to update cross-reference.

**Section 29.** Provides an effective date of upon becoming law.

## II. FISCAL ANALYSIS & ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

### A. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

### B. FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS:

1. Revenues:

None.

2. Expenditures:

None.

### C. DIRECT ECONOMIC IMPACT ON PRIVATE SECTOR:

None.

### D. FISCAL COMMENTS:

According to the Agency for Persons with Disabilities and the Department and Children and Family Services, there is no fiscal impact to this bill.

The Legislature appropriated \$289 million to the state mental health institutions for Fiscal Year 2005-06. Approximately 65% of the funding is used for personnel. For Fiscal Year 2005-06, the Legislature has authorized 4,270.5 staff positions for the institutions. The staff provides mental health, medical, security, administrative, housekeeping and maintenance services. The program's annual appropriation also includes funds for the department to contract with a private provider for the operation and management of South Florida Evaluation and Treatment Center.

## III. COMMENTS

### A. CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUES:

1. Applicability of Municipality/County Mandates Provision:

This bill does not appear to require counties or municipalities to take an action requiring the expenditure of funds, reduce the authority that counties or municipalities have to raise revenue in the aggregate, nor reduce the percentage of state tax shared with counties or municipalities.

2. Other:

None.

### B. RULE-MAKING AUTHORITY:

The bill authorizes the Agency for Persons with Disabilities to adopt rules under s. 916.1093, F.S.

### C. DRAFTING ISSUES OR OTHER COMMENTS:

None.

#### **IV. AMENDMENTS/COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE & COMBINED BILL CHANGES**

On April 4, 2006, the Criminal Justice Committee adopted a strike-all amendment to the bill and reported the bill favorably with Committee Substitute. The strike-all amendment:

- Further clarifies definition of 'restraint' to exclude use of drugs that are part of treatment regimen for DCF clients with mental illness;
- Adds language specifying that restraints/seclusion shall only be used in emergencies or to avoid imminent injury;
- Clarifies the procedure for reporting instances of sexual misconduct and eliminates the requirement that the department/agency Inspector General conduct an investigation and determine probable cause (not an IG function);
- Requires that the agency provide notice to all parties (as well as the court) of detention of a defendant in a forensic facility; and
- Makes technical changes.