1

A bill to be entitled

2 An act relating to the Medicaid managed care pilot 3 program; amending s. 409.91211, F.S.; requiring the Agency for Health Care Administration to develop a methodology 4 for calculating risk-adjusted capitation rates based on 5 information in the encounter database; requiring that 6 7 specified criteria be met prior to implementation of the methodology; providing for use of an interim risk-adjusted 8 9 methodology; providing a phase-in schedule for the encounter-based methodology for participating managed care 10 plans; requiring the phase-in schedule to be applied anew 11 for counties into which the program expands; providing an 12 effective date. 13 14 15 Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: 16 Subsections (8) and (9) of section 409.91211, 17 Section 1. 18 Florida Statutes, are amended to read: 19 409.91211 Medicaid managed care pilot program. --The agency shall develop a methodology for 20 (8)(a) calculating risk-adjusted capitation rates using comprehensive 21 encounter and diagnosis data pursuant to subparagraph (3)(p)4. 22 for all acute Medicaid services. Prior to the implementation of 23 24 the risk-adjusted capitation rate methodology, the agency shall 25 ensure that all of the following criteria are met: 26 1. Agency staff is sufficiently educated and trained 27 regarding issues and methods related to compiling encounter data

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

hb1073-00

28 to implement and maintain the Florida Medicaid encounter data 29 <u>system.</u> 30 <u>2. The Florida Medicaid Management Information System has</u> 31 <u>the capacity to house, maintain, and manage the anticipated</u> 32 <u>volume of encounter data records that will be produced.</u>

33 <u>3. The agency has ensured that the encounter data system</u>
 34 <u>is secure, protects personal health information, and is in</u>
 35 <u>compliance with 45 C.F.R. ss. 160.102, 160.103, and 164, subpart</u>
 36 <u>A, commonly referred to as the HIPAA Privacy Regulation.</u>

37 <u>4. The agency has implemented a validation system to</u> 38 <u>ensure the encounter data is accurate; has been screened for</u> 39 <u>completeness, logic, and consistency; and is standardized to</u> 40 <u>facilitate the use of various models for the payment of claims</u> 41 and submission of data.

5. The agency has compiled no less than 1 year's worth of complete encounter and diagnostic data to permit the adjustment of capitation rates for health risk differences and has ensured, through validation by an independent actuary, that the data are of sufficient integrity to be used for risk-adjustment purposes in accordance with actuarial standards of practice that are generally recognized as sound and appropriate.

49 <u>6. The agency has consulted with the technical advisory</u>
50 <u>panel regarding the development and implementation of the</u>
51 <u>comprehensive encounter and diagnosis data system and sought</u>
52 <u>input from the panel.</u>

537. The risk-adjusted capitation rates have been certified54by an independent actuary and approved by the Centers for

55 Medicare and Medicaid Services.

Page 2 of 5

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

56 The agency must ensure, in the first two state fiscal (b) 57 years in which a risk-adjusted methodology is a component of rate setting, that, under any risk-adjustment methodology, no 58 managed care plan providing comprehensive benefits to TANF and 59 60 SSI recipients under this section has an aggregate risk score that varies by more than 10 percent from the aggregate weighted 61 62 mean of all managed care plans providing comprehensive benefits to TANF and SSI recipients in a reform area. A risk-adjusted 63 64 capitation paid by the agency The agency's payment to a managed care plan shall be based on an such revised aggregate risk score 65 revised in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph. 66

The agency may implement an interim risk-adjusted 67 (C) capitation rate methodology to be used before a fully functional 68 69 encounter and diagnostic data system has been in operation for no less than 12 months pursuant to paragraph (a). If the agency 70 implements an interim methodology, the capitation rates during 71 the interim period shall be weighted so that 75 percent of each 72 73 capitation rate is based on the methodology developed under s. 74 409.9124 and 25 percent is based on the interim risk-adjusted 75 capitation rate methodology.

76 After any calculations of aggregate risk scores or (9) 77 revised aggregate risk scores in subsection (8) and after a 78 fully functional encounter and diagnostic data system has been 79 in operation for no less than 12 months, the capitation rates for plans participating under this section shall be phased in, 80 and this phase-in schedule shall be applied anew, in its 81 entirety, in any county in which the risk-adjusted capitation 82 rate methodology is implemented, as follows: 83

Page 3 of 5

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

For managed care plan contracts taking effect in the 84 (a) first and second state fiscal years after a fully functional 85 encounter and diagnostic data system has been in operation for 86 87 no less than 12 months, the capitation rates shall be weighted 88 so that 75 percent of each capitation rate is based on the methodology developed under s. 409.9124 and 25 percent is based 89 90 on the risk-adjusted capitation rate methodology developed under 91 subsection (8). In the first year, the capitation rates shall be 92 weighted so that 75 percent of each capitation rate is based on the current methodology and 25 percent is based on a new risk-93 adjusted capitation rate methodology. 94 For managed care plan contracts taking effect in the 95 (b) third state fiscal year after a fully functional encounter and 96 97 diagnostic data system has been in operation for no less than 12 months, the capitation rates shall be weighted so that 70 98 99 percent of each capitation rate is based on the methodology 100 developed under s. 409.9124 and 30 percent is based on the risk-101 adjusted capitation rate methodology developed under subsection 102 (8). In the second year, the capitation rates shall be weighted so that 50 percent of each capitation rate is based on the 103 104 current methodology and 50 percent is based on a new risk-105 adjusted rate methodology. 106 For managed care plan contracts taking effect in the (C) 107 fourth state fiscal year after a fully functional encounter and diagnostic data system has been in operation for no less than 12 108 109 months, the capitation rates shall be weighted so that 50 percent of each capitation rate is based on the methodology 110 developed under s. 409.9124 and 50 percent is based on the risk-111

Page 4 of 5

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

FLORIDA HOUSE OF REPRES	ENTATIVES
-------------------------	-----------

112	adjusted capitation rate methodology developed under subsection
113	<u>(8).</u>
114	(d) For managed care plan contracts taking effect in the
115	fifth state fiscal year after a fully functional encounter and
116	diagnostic data system has been in operation for no less than 12
117	months, the capitation rates shall be weighted so that 25
118	percent of each capitation rate is based on the methodology
119	developed under s. 409.9124 and 75 percent is based on the risk-
120	adjusted capitation rate methodology developed under subsection
121	<u>(8).</u>
122	(e) For managed care plan contracts taking effect in the
123	sixth state fiscal year after a fully functional encounter and
124	diagnostic data system has been in operation for no less than 12
125	months In the following fiscal year, the risk-adjusted
126	capitation methodology may be fully implemented.
127	Section 2. This act shall take effect July 1, 2007.

Page 5 of 5

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.