CS/HB 1351

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2007 A bill to be entitled An act relating to court-ordered nonbinding arbitration; amending s. 44.103, F.S.; revising provisions relating to presentation of testimony and evidence in court-ordered, nonbinding arbitration proceedings; revising provisions relating to award of specified costs of a trial de novo following arbitration against the party requesting the trial when the trial judgment differs from the arbitration award by a certain amount; providing an effective date. Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Florida: Subsections (4) and (6) of section 44.103, Section 1. Florida Statutes, are amended to read: Court-ordered, nonbinding arbitration. --44.103 (4)An arbitrator or, in the case of a panel, the chief arbitrator, shall have such power to administer oaths or affirmation and to conduct the proceedings as the rules of court shall provide. The hearing shall be conducted informally. Presentation of testimony and evidence shall be kept to a minimum, and matters shall be presented to the arbitrators primarily through the statements and arguments of counsel. At the request of Any party to the arbitration may petition the court in the underlying action, for good cause shown, to authorize the, such arbitrator to shall issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, records, documents, and other evidence at the arbitration and may petition apply to the court for orders compelling such

Page 1 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

CS/HB 1351

29 attendance and production at the arbitration. Subpoenas shall be 30 served and shall be enforceable in the manner provided by law. Upon motion made by either party within 30 days after 31 (6) 32 entry of a judgment, the court may assess costs against the party requesting a trial de novo, including arbitration costs, 33 34 court costs, reasonable attorney's fees, and other reasonable 35 costs such as investigation expenses and expenses for expert or 36 other testimony that were incurred after the arbitration hearing 37 and continuing through the trial of the case in accordance with the quidelines for taxation of costs as adopted by the Supreme 38 39 Court. Such costs may be assessed if: (a) 40 The plaintiff, having filed for a trial de novo, obtains a judgment at trial that is at least 25 percent less 41 42 than the arbitration award. In such instance, the costs and 43 attorney's fees pursuant to this section shall be set off 44 against the award. When the costs and attorney's fees pursuant to this section total more than the amount of the judgment, the 45 court shall enter judgment for the defendant against the 46 47 plaintiff for the amount of the costs and attorney's fees, less 48 the amount of the award to the plaintiff. For purposes of a 49 determination under this paragraph, "judgment" means the amount 50 of the net judgment entered, plus all taxable costs under the guidelines for taxation of costs adopted by the Supreme Court, 51 plus any post-arbitration collateral source payments received or 52 53 due as of the date of the judgment, and plus any post-54 arbitration settlement amounts by which the verdict was reduced; 55 or The defendant, having filed for a trial de novo, has a 56 (b) Page 2 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words underlined are additions.

2007

CS/HB 1351

2007

57	judgment entered against the defendant that is at least 25
58	percent more than the arbitration award. For purposes of a
59	determination under this paragraph, "judgment" means the amount
60	of the net judgment entered, plus any post-arbitration
61	settlement amounts by which the verdict was reduced. The party
62	having filed for a trial de novo may be assessed the arbitration
63	costs, court costs, and other reasonable costs of the party,
64	including attorney's fees, investigation expenses, and expenses
65	for expert or other testimony or evidence incurred after the
66	arbitration hearing if the judgment upon the trial de novo is
67	not more favorable than the arbitration decision.
68	Section 2. This act shall take effect October 1, 2007.

Page 3 of 3

CODING: Words stricken are deletions; words <u>underlined</u> are additions.