The Florida Senate

PROFESSIONAL STAFF ANALYSIS AND ECONOMIC IMPACT STATEMENT

(This document is based on the provisions contained in the legislation as of the latest date listed below.)

Prepared By: Judiciary Committee										
BILL:	CS/SB 1444									
INTRODUCER:	Judiciary Committee and Senator Justice									
SUBJECT:	Home Court Advantage Pilot Program									
DATE:	March 29, 2007 REVISED:									
ANALYST		STAF	F DIRECTOR	REFERENCE		ACTION				
1. Daniell		Maclure		JU	Fav/CS					
2				RI						
3				CA						
4				JA						
5										
6.										

I. Summary:

This bill creates a Home Court Advantage Pilot Program in Hillsborough and Pinellas Counties, the purpose of which is to establish a simplified court procedure for community associations or parcel owners to be able to informally resolve disputes relating to restrictions or covenants.

This bill creates unnumbered sections of the Florida Statutes.

II. Present Situation:

The growth in the number of residential associations in the United States has been "explosive" since 1962. Florida has also experienced this rise in residential associations, and many of these condominiums, cooperatives, residential neighborhoods, and community development districts are deed-restricted communities. Property purchased in a deed-restricted community is subject to certain limitations or restrictions on the use or design of the property. Restrictions are often seen as an integral part of a common interest community, used to preserve the stable, planned environment that shared ownership aims to foster. These restrictions are usually described in a

¹ David J. Kennedy, *Residential Associations as State Actors: Regulating the Impact of Gated Communities on Nonmembers*, 105 YALE L.J. 761, 764-65 (1995). In 1992, there were approximately 150,000 homeowners' associations in the United States, covering 32 million people, or roughly 12 percent of the population. *Id.* at 765. This number was considered an underestimate due to the fact that reporting membership to the national Community Associations Institute, the organization that supplies these statistics, is voluntary. *Id.* at n.23.

² Paula A. Franzese, Common Interest Communities: Standards of Review and Review of Standards, 3 WASH. U.J.L. & POL'Y 663, 671 (2000).

declaration of covenants (for example, the declaration of condominium) that is agreed upon by the property owners when they purchase property within the deed-restricted community.³

Most deed-restricted communities have associations for the purpose of carrying out the management responsibilities of the community. These duties typically include maintenance of the common elements and enforcement of all covenants or deed restrictions. The association, through its governing documents, is typically granted the authority to enforce any deed-restrictions, which can include imposing fines and placing liens on property for failure to pay the fines for violating the covenants or deed restrictions.

Disputes between property owners and their association are common, and usually arise out of the violation of a deed restriction, the penalty imposed for such violation, or an allegation that the association is selectively enforcing a restriction or covenant.⁵ The laws governing condominiums, cooperatives, and homeowners' association provide a means for resolving these disputes through arbitration and mediation programs maintained within the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (DBPR).⁶ Where mediation and arbitration are unsuccessful, a lawsuit may be filed in a court of competent jurisdiction.⁷ Chapter 44, F.S., also provides for a mediation and arbitration program within the state court system.

III. Effect of Proposed Changes:

This bill creates a Home Court Advantage Pilot Program (Pilot Program) in Hillsborough and Pinellas Counties for the purpose, as stated in the bill, of establishing an inexpensive, expedient, and simplified court procedure as an independent venue for community associations or parcel owners to informally resolve disputes relating to covenants or restrictions. This bill provides that the Pilot Program be carried out for one year.

This bill defines the following terms:

"Community association" means an association responsible for the operation of a condominium as defined by s. 718.103, Florida Statutes; an association responsible for the operation of a cooperative as defined by s. 719.103, Florida Statutes; a timeshare condominium or cooperative association regulated by chapters 718 and 721, Florida Statutes; and a homeowners' association as defined by s. 720.301, Florida Statutes, except that the term does not include any such association if a developer elects or appoints a majority of the members of the board of directors of the association. A community association within the meaning of this act includes only those community associations operating residential communities partially or totally situated in Pinellas County or in Hillsborough County. An association operating a

⁴ By way of example, see ss. 718.103(2), 719.103(2), and 720.301(9), F.S.

³ *Id.* at 672.

⁵ See generally, Kennedy, supra note 1, at 761 ("As the number of residential associations has increased, the consequent litigation has arisen largely in the context of disputes between residential associations and their members over the content of frequently intrusive rules and regulations"); see also, James L. Winokur, Mixed Blessings of Promissory Servitudes: Toward Optimizing Economic Utility, Individual Liberty, and Personal Identity, 1989 WIS. L. REV. 1, 63-64 (1989).

⁶ See ss. 718.1255, 719.1255, and 720.311, F.S.

⁷ *Id*.

commercial community is not subject to this act. A mixed-use condominium as defined by s. 718.404, Florida Statutes, is a community association for purposes of this act to the extent that the dispute involves the association and the amount of a residential unit.

- "Parcel owner" means the owner of legal title to a parcel governed by a community association.
- "Dispute" means a disagreement between a community association and a parcel owner concerning the validity or enforcement of restrictions contained in the documents governing the community, including any declaration of restrictions and covenants, declaration of condominium, deed restrictions, the articles of incorporation, and bylaws of the community association, or any rules governing the community, which dispute arises in the Thirteenth or Sixth Judicial Circuits. The term also includes the failure of the association or parcel owner to comply with the requirements of chapter 718, chapter 719, chapter 720, or chapter 721, Florida Statutes, as applicable.
- "Petitioner" means the party filing a petition pursuant to this act. Respondent is the party responding to the petition.
- "Developer" means the entity creating the community operated by the association or any entity that offers residential parcels for sale or lease in the ordinary course of its business within that community.

This bill provides that the Pilot Program will be implemented and administered by the chief judges of the Sixth and Thirteenth Judicial Circuits, in Pinellas and Hillsborough Counties respectively, and is subject to the supervision of the Florida Supreme Court. The bill directs the chief judges of these circuits to adopt simplified rules and procedures for operating the Pilot Program, including rules allowing lay representatives, including community association managers, to represent a party and to file petitions, answers, or other pleadings with the court. The bill provides that the rules are to be liberally construed and that parties should be encouraged to engage in these proceedings without legal counsel. The bill also provides that the chief judges must report to the Florida Supreme Court within 60 days following the conclusion of the program and provide an analysis of results. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court must then review the reports and make determinations and recommendations to the Legislature by February 1, 2009, on whether it is feasible to implement this Pilot Program statewide.

The jurisdiction of the Pilot Program will consist of any dispute regarding the enforceability or validity of those documents governing the operation of the community association, the reasonableness of any action of the board of directors of a community association involving those documents, any dispute in which an association seeks enforcement of its documents, and any dispute alleging the failure of a community association or parcel owner to comply with the requirements of chapters 718, 719, 720, or 721, Florida Statutes. Only disputes between a community association and a parcel owner are within the jurisdiction of the program. The bill

⁸ Chapter 468, F.S., provides licensing requirements to become a community association manager.

⁹ Subsection (2) of section 4 of the proposed act provides that officers and directors of the community association cannot be parties to the proceedings in the pilot program. Tenants or guests of parcel owners are only subject to the act if the tenant is alleged to have violated the documents governing the community. The pilot program does not have jurisdiction over disagreements relating to the imposition, reasonableness, or collection of fines, assessments, special assessments, or other fees owed by a parcel owner, lien foreclosure actions, or disagreements regarding the enforcement of a judgment.

grants parcel owners and associations authority to commence proceedings under this act regardless of whether this authority exists in the documents governing the community association. The bill is not clear on whether guests and tenants have standing to be a party to the proceedings of a home court.

This bill provides that if a dispute described by this act is also subject to the mandatory binding or nonbinding arbitration and mandatory mediation programs described in ss. 718.1255, 719.1255, or 720.311, F.S., the dispute is to be filed pursuant to this act and is not permitted to be filed pursuant to chapters 718, 719, or 720, F.S.

An applicant for the position of magistrate must be a member in good standing of The Florida Bar with at least five years of experience in the area of community association disputes, or be a member in good standing of The Florida Bar and licensed as a community association manager pursuant to part VIII of chapter 468, F.S. This bill provides that a magistrate in the Pilot Program serves without compensation and at the pleasure of the chief judge of the judicial circuit in which he or she hears cases. However, magistrates are entitled to immunity as provided by s. 44.107, F.S. Magistrates under the Pilot Program are subject to the standards of professional conduct contained in the Florida Rules for Court-Appointed Arbitrators. Additionally, a magistrate is prohibited from presiding over a dispute involving a party currently represented by the magistrate.

Before filing a petition with the clerk, the petitioner must send the respondent a written demand identifying the nature of the dispute, demanding the relief sought, and stating that the dispute will be filed in the courts if the relief is not provided. The petitioner must provide the respondent a reasonable period of time to provide the relief sought. Failure to provide written notice to the respondent will result in dismissal of the petition.

A petitioner must file a dispute with the clerk of the court in the county in which the community governed by the community association is located. The filing fee for petitions under this Pilot Program is \$225, payable to the clerk of the court, which is to be used by the court to defray the costs of administering the program. At the time the petition is filed, the petitioner shall provide a copy of the petition, any attachments, and a copy of the demand letter to the respondent by certified mail. The clerk of the court in which the petition is filed shall direct an order to the respondent by certified mail providing that the respondent has 14 days in which to file an answer. The answer may only address the dispute stated in the petition. The magistrate may abate the proceeding and allow the petitioner to file for a temporary injunction in court upon a showing that the party is entitled to immediate emergency injunctive relief. The bill is unclear if, in order to seek injunctive relief, a party will have to pay the \$255 filing fee to the home court, as well as a filing fee to the circuit court in which the injunctive action will be heard.

¹⁰ Section 468.433, F.S., provides the requirements for licensure by examination for a community association manager. This section requires that a person desiring to be a community association manager must pass a licensure examination administered by the Department of Business and Professional Regulation and be of good moral character in order to be licensed in the State of Florida. The applicant must demonstrate that he or she has a fundamental knowledge of (a) state and federal laws relating to the operation of all types of community associations, (b) state laws relating to corporations, (c) preparation of community association budgets, (d) procedures for community association meetings, (e) insurance relating to community associations, and (f) managerial skills.

¹¹ The bill provides that the petition must include portions of the governing documents relied upon in the petition.

The magistrate may enter all orders necessary, including the imposition of sanctions and fines, against a party that refuses to comply with a lawful nonfinal order of the magistrate, but may not hold a person in contempt. A magistrate may issue subpoenas to compel the attendance and production of persons and documents. A magistrate may grant all relief necessary for the disposition of the dispute, including:

- Finding that a provision contained in the documents is invalid and unenforceable; 12
- Entering an order enforcing the provisions of the documents and statute;
- Entering an order requiring an association or parcel owner, tenant, or guest to comply with the documents or statute:
- Entering an order finding that the association has selectively enforced its documents; or
- Awarding reasonable prevailing party costs and attorney's fees.

After the filing requirements have been met, the magistrate shall conduct a final hearing, unless waived by both parties, within 60 days after the filing of the petition. Within 14 days after the final hearing, the magistrate shall issue a final order, which is only binding on the parties if an appeal by trial de novo is not filed in the circuit court within 30 days after the rendition of the final order. A final order, which has not been timely appealed, may be enforced by filing a petition for enforcement in circuit court. If no timely appeal is filed, the magistrate retains jurisdiction to impose fines against a party who was ordered by the final order to take some action or refrain from taking some action and who does not comply within 30 days after rendition of the final order. The bill provides that the fine may not exceed \$100 per violation; however a fine may be levied on the basis of each day of a continuing violation which may not, in the aggregate, exceed \$1,000 per violation. A fine may not be imposed until notice is given and a proceeding in which the parties have an opportunity to be heard is conducted by the magistrate. If a fine is imposed on a parcel owner, the association is entitled to one-half of the amount of the fine imposed, while the other half is paid to the clerk of the circuit court to defray the costs of administering the Pilot Program. An order imposing a fine may be appealed to the circuit court within 30 days after rendition of the order.

It appears that the fining authority, for failure to comply with the final order, of the magistrate prescribed in section 7, subsection (3) of this bill is a form of contempt sanction, which section 7, subsection (1) of the bill prohibits.

Proceedings under the Pilot Program shall be conducted with simplified rules of procedure adopted by the chief judge of the court in which the petition is filed. Discovery rights are restricted to cases in which manifest injustice to a party is shown to result from a denial of discovery. Additionally, the magistrate is not bound by the formal rules of evidence during proceedings under this act. A magistrate shall follow and apply the applicable statute and controlling case law when deciding a dispute.

This act shall take effect July 1, 2007.

¹² The bill provides, however, that a magistrate may not reform the documents governing the community.

IV. Constitutional Issues:

A. Municipality/County Mandates Restrictions:

None.

B. Public Records/Open Meetings Issues:

None.

C. Trust Funds Restrictions:

None.

D. Other Constitutional Issues:

This bill creates a "home court" that is headed by a judicial officer entitled a magistrate. The magistrate is appointed by the chief judge of the circuit. The rules of court used by the magistrate are set by the chief judge of the circuit. The magistrate has the apparent power to issue final judgments that may be appealed to a higher court. Based on these observations, it is possible that this bill may be affected by one or more of the following constitutional provisions:

- Article V, s. 1 of the Florida Constitution, creates the Supreme Court, the district courts of appeal, the circuit courts, and the county courts. The section provides that "no other courts may be established by the state, any political subdivision or any municipality." It is not clear if this bill, in creating a home court, is creating a separate level of courts, or if the bill is using the term "court" to name an activity within the current circuit court system. To the extent the bill creates a new level of courts, it may violate Article V, s. 1 of the Florida Constitution.
 - O The legislatures of Connecticut and New Hampshire have created pilot programs creating courts without any objection. However, both states' constitutions explicitly provide that the legislature can create such lower courts as required. Florida's Constitution does not have a reciprocal provision; however, it does allow divisions of courts to be created by general law.
 - o In *Jones v. Seminole County*, 670 So. 2d 95, 96 (Fla. 5th DCA 1996), the court found that the Legislature did not violate the Constitution and cross the line between "quasi-judicial" and "judicial" when it enacted ch. 162, F.S. This chapter creates administrative boards that may impose fines for code

¹³ See Public Act No. 04-159, at http://www.cga.ct.gov/2004/act/Pa/2004PA-00159-R00SB-00129-PA.htm (last visited March 20, 2007); *In re Jesse*, 143 N.H. 192, 196 (N.H. 1998) (the legislature created a family court pilot program at Laws 1995 152:1,:2).

¹⁴ See Article V, s. 1 of the Constitution of the State of Connecticut, at http://www.sots.ct.gov/RegisterManual/SectionI/ctconstit.htm (last visited March 20, 2007); Part Second, Article 72-a of the New Hampshire State Constitution, at http://www.nh.gov/constitution/constitution.html (last visited March 20, 2007).

violations, assert a lien against real or personal property, and provide for fundamental due process requirements of notice and a hearing, making a record, and appeal, although the appeal is not *de novo*. ¹⁵ These "administrative boards" have passed constitutional muster and perform similar duties as the proposed Pilot Program.

- Article V, s. 2 of the Florida Constitution, gives the Florida Supreme Court exclusive rulemaking authority over Florida courts. This bill provides that the chief judge of the circuit, rather than the Supreme Court, would promulgate the rules for the home court.
- Article V, s. 13 of the Florida Constitution, requires judicial officers to devote full
 time to their judicial duties. It appears that this bill would make it difficult for the
 appointed magistrate in the home court program to devote full time to his or her
 judicial duties considering the position is uncompensated.

V. Economic Impact and Fiscal Note:

A. Tax/Fee Issues:

None.

B. Private Sector Impact:

In order to participate in the court services provided by this Pilot Program, a property owner or community association must pay a \$255 filing fee. The home court magistrate may issue fines up to \$100 per violation of a final order or on the basis of each day of a continuing violation which may not exceed \$1,000 per violation. If the fine is imposed on a parcel owner, the association is entitled to one-half and the other half is paid to the clerk of the circuit court to defray the costs of administering the Pilot Program.

The bill is unclear if, in order to seek injunctive relief, a party will have to pay the \$255 filing fee to the home court, as well as a filing fee to the circuit court in which the injunctive action will be heard. If a party must pay both filing fees (one for the home court and one for the circuit court) this will be an additional economic impact on the public.

C. Government Sector Impact:

This bill requires a filing fee of \$255 and provides that for any fine imposed by the home court magistrate on a parcel owner for failure to comply with a final order, one-half is paid to the clerk of the circuit court to defray the costs of administering the Pilot Program.

_

¹⁵ Jones, 670 So. 2d at 96.

VI. Technical Deficiencies:

None.

VII. Related Issues:

Section 3 of this bill provides that the court shall adopt rules allowing qualified lay representatives, including licensed community association managers, to represent a party and to file a petition, answer, or other pleadings with the court. It is possible that this provision violates chapter 10 of the Rules Regulating the Florida Bar. A referee conducts a hearing to determine if the unauthorized practice of law has occurred and, upon conclusion of the hearing, files a written report with the court stating findings of fact, conclusions of law, a statement of costs incurred and recommendations on how the costs should be taxed, and a recommendation for the final disposition of the cause. The referee may suggest the imposition of a civil penalty not to exceed \$1,000 per incident. If a referee finds that a person has participated in the unauthorized practice of law under this act, that person may be subject to civil penalties. Additionally, according to industry representatives, by permitting managers or lay representatives to represent the interests of the association may be considered a breach of duty by the board of directors under ss. 718.111(1)(a), 720.303(1), 617.0830, and 617.0834, F.S.

This bill provides in section 6 that a home court magistrate must be a member of the Florida Bar with at least five years of relevant experience, or be a member of the Florida Bar and licensed as a community association manager. There is a possible conflict of interest with a *community association manager* being a magistrate for cases involving disputes between a *community association* and property owners. Additionally, industry representatives voiced concerns that because there are lower credentials required under this bill to be a magistrate, as opposed to the requirements under existing alternative dispute resolution programs, that there may be an increase of delays and appeals.

As explained above, section 4, subsection (3) of the bill provides that if a dispute described by this act is also subject to the mandatory binding or nonbinding arbitration and mandatory mediation programs described in ss. 718.1255, 719.1255, or 720.311, F.S., the dispute is to be filed pursuant to this act and is not permitted to be filed pursuant to chapters 718, 719, or 720, F.S. According to industry representatives, condominium owners currently pay \$4.00 per unit per year for services from the Department of Business and Professional Regulation (department), which includes mandatory non-binding arbitration through the department. The bill would exclude condominium owners in Pinellas and Hillsborough counties from this service that they are already paying for in their annual fees.

¹⁶ Chapter 10 is entitled "Rules Governing the Investigation and Prosecution of the Unlicensed Practice of Law."

¹⁷ A "referee" is the judge or retired judge appointed to conduct proceedings as provided under chapter 10 of the Rules Regulating the Florida Bar. R. REGULATING FLA. BAR 10-2.1(g).

¹⁸ R. REGULATING FLA. BAR 10-7.1(d).

¹⁹ *Id.*; see also The Florida Bar v. We the People Forms and Service Center of Sarasota, Inc., 883 So. 2d 1280, 1284 (Fla. 2004). The rule was amended in 2000 to include the imposition of a civil penalty up to \$1,000 per incident. *Amendments to the Rules Regulating the Florida Bar*, 763 So. 2d 1002, 1033 (Fla. 2000).

This bill, with regard to magistrates, tends to conflict with Rule of Civil Procedure 1.490 and Family Law Rule 12.490. In general, a case can be referred to a magistrate only upon consent of both parties.²⁰ This bill requires parties subject to the Pilot Program to go before a magistrate. Additionally, magistrates must file a report with recommendations to all parties and the circuit court. If the parties do not serve exceptions to the report within 10 days, the court shall take appropriate action on the report.²¹ Under the Pilot Program, unless a party does not appeal a final order of the magistrate, the order becomes binding on the parties.

Under the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, a defendant has 20 days after original service of process to serve an answer.²² However, this bill provides that a respondent has 14 days to serve an answer.

This Senate Professional Staff Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.

²⁰ *See* Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.490(c), and Fla. Fam. L. R. P. 12.490(b). ²¹ Fla. R. Civ. P. 1.490(h); Fla. Fam. L. R. P. 12.490(f).

²² FLA. R. CIV. P. 1.140(a).

VIII. Summary of Amendments:

None.

This Senate Professional Staff Analysis does not reflect the intent or official position of the bill's introducer or the Florida Senate.