Amendment No.

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

#### CHAMBER ACTION

Senate House

Representative(s) Attkisson, Lopez-Cantera, and Saunders offered the following:

#### Amendment (with title amendment)

Remove line(s) 298-328, and insert:

challenges Presumption of correctness. -- In any administrative or judicial proceeding action in which a taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value is challenged, the burden of proof shall be upon the party initiating the proceeding and such party shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the assessment, as established by the property appraiser or the value adjustment board, is incorrect property appraiser's assessment shall be presumed correct. This presumption of correctness is lost if the taxpayer shows by a preponderance of the evidence that either the property appraiser 169697

4/18/2007 11:29:01 AM

Amendment No.

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

```
has failed to consider properly the criteria in s. 193.011 or if
the property appraiser's assessment is arbitrarily based on
appraisal practices which are different from the appraisal
practices generally applied by the property appraiser to
comparable property within the same class and within the same
county. If the presumption of correctness is lost, the taxpayer
shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the
evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just
value. If the presumption of correctness is retained, the
taxpayer shall have the burden of proving by clear and
convincing evidence that the appraiser's assessment is in excess
of just value. In no case shall the taxpayer have the burden of
proving that the property appraiser's assessment is not
supported by any reasonable hypothesis of a legal assessment. If
the property appraiser's assessment is determined to be
erroneous, the Value Adjustment Board or the court can establish
the assessment if there exists competent, substantial evidence
in the record, which cumulatively meets the requirements of s.
193.011. If the record lacks competent, substantial evidence
meeting the just value criteria of s. 193.011, the matter shall
be remanded to the property appraiser with appropriate
directions from the Value Adjustment Board or the court.
===== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T ======
```

39

40

41

42

43

44

Remove line(s) 36-40 and insert:

imposing a burden of proof on a party challenging an ad valorem tax assessment of value; deleting

provisions providing a presumption of correctness of 169697

4/18/2007 11:29:01 AM

# HOUSE AMENDMENT

# Bill No. CS/CS/HB 261

### Amendment No.

45	property appraiser's assessments and imposing
46	requirements on taxpayers to prove assessments
47	excessive; providing an

169697 4/18/2007 11:29:01 AM