## (LATE FILED)

### HOUSE AMENDMENT

Bill No. CS/CS/HB 261

Amendment No. CHAMBER ACTION Senate House Representative(s) Attkisson, Lopez-Cantera, and Saunders offered 1 2 the following: 3 4 Amendment (with title amendment) Remove line(s) 298-328, and insert: 5 6 194.301 Burden of proof in ad valorem tax assessment 7 challenges Presumption of correctness.--In any administrative or 8 judicial proceeding action in which a taxpayer challenges an ad valorem tax assessment of value is challenged, the burden of 9 proof shall be upon the party initiating the proceeding and such 10 party shall have the burden of proving by a preponderance of the 11 evidence that the assessment is incorrect property appraiser's 12 13 assessment shall be presumed correct. This presumption of correctness is lost if the taxpayer shows by a preponderance of 14 the evidence that either the property appraiser has failed to 15 16 consider properly the criteria in s. 193.011 or if the property 208931 4/17/2007 7:09:00 PM

Page 1 of 3

### (LATE FILED)

# HOUSE AMENDMENT Bill No. CS/CS/HB 261

Amendment No.

42

17 appraiser's assessment is arbitrarily based on appraisal 18 practices which are different from the appraisal practices 19 generally applied by the property appraiser to comparable property within the same class and within the same county. If 20 the presumption of correctness is lost, the taxpayer shall have 21 the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that 22 the appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. If the 23 24 presumption of correctness is retained, the taxpayer shall have 25 the burden of proving by clear and convincing evidence that the 26 appraiser's assessment is in excess of just value. In no case shall the taxpayer have the burden of proving that the property 27 appraiser's assessment is not supported by any reasonable 28 29 hypothesis of a legal assessment. If the property appraiser's assessment is determined to be erroneous, the Value Adjustment 30 31 Board or the court can establish the assessment if there exists competent, substantial evidence in the record, which 32 cumulatively meets the requirements of s. 193.011. If the record 33 34 lacks competent, substantial evidence meeting the just value criteria of s. 193.011, the matter shall be remanded to the 35 property appraiser with appropriate directions from the Value 36 37 Adjustment Board or the court. 38 39 ===== TITLE AMENDMENT ====== 40 41 Remove line(s) 36-40 and insert:

imposing a burden of proof on a party challenging an ad valorem

tax assessment of value; deleting provisions providing a 43

44 presumption of correctness of property appraiser's assessments 208931 4/17/2007 7:09:00 PM

Page 2 of 3

# (LATE FILED)

#### HOUSE AMENDMENT

Bill No. CS/CS/HB 261

Amendment No.

- 45 and imposing requirements on taxpayers to prove assessments
- 46 excessive; providing an

208931 4/17/2007 7:09:00 PM