Barcode 113368

CHAMBER ACTION

	CHAMBER ACTION <u>Senate</u> <u>House</u>
-	
1	. C . 05/04/2007 14:17:19
2	
3	Floor: 1/AD/3R 05/04/2007 11:31 AM .
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Senator Garcia moved the following amendment:
12	
13	Senate Amendment (with title amendment)
14	Delete everything after the enacting clause
15	
16	and insert:
17	Section 1. Subsections (26) and (32) of section
18	163.3164, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
19	163.3164 Local Government Comprehensive Planning and
20	Land Development Regulation Act; definitionsAs used in this
21	act:
22	(26) "Urban redevelopment" means demolition and
23	reconstruction or substantial renovation of existing buildings
24	or infrastructure within urban infill areas, or existing urban
25	service areas, or community redevelopment areas created
26	pursuant to part III.
27	(32) "Financial feasibility" means that sufficient
28	revenues are currently available or will be available from
29	committed funding sources for the first 3 years, or will be
30	available from committed or planned funding sources for years
31	4 and 5, of a 5-year capital improvement schedule for
	9:01 PM 04/30/07 h720302e2d-40-t5b

1	financing capital improvements, such as ad valorem taxes,
2	bonds, state and federal funds, tax revenues, impact fees, and
3	developer contributions, which are adequate to fund the
4	projected costs of the capital improvements identified in the
5	comprehensive plan necessary to ensure that adopted
6	level-of-service standards are achieved and maintained within
7	the period covered by the 5-year schedule of capital
8	improvements. A comprehensive plan shall be deemed financially
9	feasible for transportation and school facilities throughout
10	the planning period addressed by the capital improvements
11	schedule if it can be demonstrated that the level-of-service
12	standards will be achieved and maintained by the end of the
13	planning period even if in a particular year such improvements
14	are not concurrent as required by s. 163.3180. The requirement
15	that level-of-service standards be achieved and maintained
16	shall not apply if the proportionate-share process set forth
17	in s. 163.3180(12) and (16) is used.
18	Section 2. Subsections (2) and (3) of section
19	163.3177, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
20	163.3177 Required and optional elements of
21	comprehensive plan; studies and surveys
22	(2) Coordination of the several elements of the local
23	comprehensive plan shall be a major objective of the planning
24	process. The several elements of the comprehensive plan shall
25	be consistent, and the comprehensive plan shall be financially
26	feasible. Financial feasibility shall be determined using
27	professionally accepted methodologies <u>and applies to the</u>
28	5-year planning period, except in the case of a long-term
29	transportation or school concurrency management system, in
30	which case a 10-year or 15-year period applies.
31	(3)(a) The comprehensive plan shall contain a capital 2

3

5

7

8

10

15

16

17

18

Bill No. HB 7203, 2nd Eng.

Barcode 113368

improvements element designed to consider the need for and the location of public facilities in order to encourage the efficient use utilization of such facilities and set forth:

- 1. A component that which outlines principles for construction, extension, or increase in capacity of public facilities, as well as a component that which outlines principles for correcting existing public facility deficiencies, which are necessary to implement the comprehensive plan. The components shall cover at least a 5-year period.
- 2. Estimated public facility costs, including a
 delineation of when facilities will be needed, the general
 location of the facilities, and projected revenue sources to
 fund the facilities.
 - 3. Standards to ensure the availability of public facilities and the adequacy of those facilities including acceptable levels of service.
 - 4. Standards for the management of debt.
- 5. A schedule of capital improvements which includes 19 publicly funded projects, and which may include privately 20 21 funded projects for which the local government has no fiscal 22 responsibility, necessary to ensure that adopted level-of-service standards are achieved and maintained. For 23 24 capital improvements that will be funded by the developer, financial feasibility shall be demonstrated by being 25 guaranteed in an enforceable development agreement or 26 interlocal agreement pursuant to paragraph (10)(h), or other 27 enforceable agreement. These development agreements and 28 29 interlocal agreements shall be reflected in the schedule of capital improvements if the capital improvement is necessary 30 31 to serve development within the 5-year schedule. If the local

5

6 7

8

9

11

12

13

14

Bill No. HB 7203, 2nd Eng.

Barcode 113368

government uses planned revenue sources that require referenda or other actions to secure the revenue source, the plan must, in the event the referenda are not passed or actions do not secure the planned revenue source, identify other existing revenue sources that will be used to fund the capital projects or otherwise amend the plan to ensure financial feasibility.

- 6. The schedule must include transportation improvements included in the applicable metropolitan planning organization's transportation improvement program adopted pursuant to s. 339.175(7) to the extent that such improvements are relied upon to ensure concurrency and financial feasibility. The schedule must also be coordinated with the applicable metropolitan planning organization's long-range transportation plan adopted pursuant to s. 339.175(6).
- 15 (b)1. The capital improvements element <u>must</u> shall be reviewed on an annual basis and modified as necessary in 16 accordance with s. 163.3187 or s. 163.3189 in order to 17 maintain a financially feasible 5-year schedule of capital 18 improvements. Corrections and modifications concerning costs; 19 20 revenue sources; or acceptance of facilities pursuant to 21 dedications which are consistent with the plan may be 22 accomplished by ordinance and shall not be deemed to be amendments to the local comprehensive plan. A copy of the 23 24 ordinance shall be transmitted to the state land planning agency. An amendment to the comprehensive plan is required to 25 update the schedule on an annual basis or to eliminate, defer, 26 or delay the construction for any facility listed in the 27 28 5-year schedule. All public facilities <u>must</u> shall be 29 consistent with the capital improvements element. Amendments to implement this section must be adopted and transmitted no 30 31 | later than December 1, 2008 2007. Thereafter, a local

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30

Bill No. HB 7203, 2nd Eng.

Barcode 113368

government may not amend its future land use map, except for plan amendments to meet new requirements under this part and emergency amendments pursuant to s. 163.3187(1)(a), after December 1, 2008 2007, and every year thereafter, unless and until the local government has adopted the annual update and it has been transmitted to the state land planning agency.

- 2. Capital improvements element amendments adopted after the effective date of this act shall require only a single public hearing before the governing board which shall be an adoption hearing as described in s. 163.3184(7). Such amendments are not subject to the requirements of s. 163.3184(3)-(6).
- (c) If the local government does not adopt the required annual update to the schedule of capital improvements or the annual update is found not in compliance, the state land planning agency must notify the Administration Commission. A local government that has a demonstrated lack of commitment to meeting its obligations identified in the capital improvements element may be subject to sanctions by the Administration Commission pursuant to s. 163.3184(11).
- (d) If a local government adopts a long-term concurrency management system pursuant to s. 163.3180(9), it must also adopt a long-term capital improvements schedule covering up to a 10-year or 15-year period, and must update the long-term schedule annually. The long-term schedule of capital improvements must be financially feasible.
- (e) At the discretion of the local government and notwithstanding the requirements of this subsection, a comprehensive plan, as revised by an amendment to the plan's future land use map, shall be deemed to be financially 31 | feasible and to have achieved and maintained level-of-service

1	standards with respect to transportation facilities if the
2	amendment to the future land use map is supported by a:
3	1. Condition in a development order for a development
4	of regional impact or binding agreement that addresses
5	proportionate-share mitigation consistent with s.
6	163.3180(12); or
7	2. Binding agreement addressing proportionate
8	fair-share mitigation consistent with s. 163.3180(16)(f) and
9	the property subject to the amendment to the future land use
10	map is located within an area designated in a comprehensive
11	plan for urban infill, urban redevelopment, downtown
12	revitalization, urban infill and redevelopment, or an urban
13	service area. The binding agreement must be based on the
14	maximum amount of development identified by the future land
15	use map amendment.
16	Section 3. Subsections (5), (12), and (16) of section
17	163.3180, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:
18	163.3180 Concurrency
19	(5)(a) The Legislature finds that under limited
20	circumstances dealing with transportation facilities,
21	countervailing planning and public policy goals may come into
22	conflict with the requirement that adequate public facilities
23	and services be available concurrent with the impacts of such
24	development. The Legislature further finds that often the
25	unintended result of the concurrency requirement for
26	transportation facilities is the discouragement of urban
27	infill development and redevelopment. Such unintended results
28	directly conflict with the goals and policies of the state
29	comprehensive plan and the intent of this part. Therefore,
30	exceptions from the concurrency requirement for transportation
31	facilities may be granted as provided by this subsection.

2

3 4

5 б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29

30 31 or.

Bill No. HB 7203, 2nd Eng.

- (b) A local government may grant an exception from the concurrency requirement for transportation facilities if the proposed development is otherwise consistent with the adopted local government comprehensive plan and is a project that promotes public transportation or is located within an area designated in the comprehensive plan for:
 - 1. Urban infill development;
 - 2. Urban redevelopment; 7
 - 3. Downtown revitalization; or
 - 4. Urban infill and redevelopment under s. 163.2517;
- 5. An urban service area specifically designated as a transportation-concurrency-exception area which includes lands appropriate for compact, contiguous urban development, which does not exceed the amount of land needed to accommodate the projected population growth at densities consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan within the 10-year planning period, and which is served or is planned to be served with public facilities and services as provided by the capital <u>improvements element.</u>
- (c) The Legislature also finds that developments located within urban infill, urban redevelopment, existing urban service, or downtown revitalization areas or areas designated as urban infill and redevelopment areas under s. 163.2517 which pose only special part-time demands on the transportation system should be excepted from the concurrency requirement for transportation facilities. A special part-time demand is one that does not have more than 200 scheduled events during any calendar year and does not affect the 100 highest traffic volume hours.
- (d) A local government shall establish guidelines in

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

Bill No. HB 7203, 2nd Eng.

Barcode 113368

the comprehensive plan for granting the exceptions authorized in paragraphs (b) and (c) and subsections (7) and (15) which must be consistent with and support a comprehensive strategy adopted in the plan to promote the purpose of the exceptions.

- (e) The local government shall adopt into the plan and implement <u>long-term</u> strategies to support and fund mobility within the designated exception area, including alternative modes of transportation. The plan amendment <u>must</u> shall also demonstrate how strategies will support the purpose of the exception and how mobility within the designated exception area will be provided. In addition, the strategies must address urban design; appropriate land use mixes, including intensity and density; and network connectivity plans needed to promote urban infill, redevelopment, or downtown revitalization. The comprehensive plan amendment designating the concurrency exception area <u>must</u> shall be accompanied by data and analysis justifying the size of the area.
- (f) Prior to the designation of a concurrency exception area, the state land planning agency and the Department of Transportation shall be consulted by the local government to assess the impact that the proposed exception area is expected to have on the adopted level-of-service standards established for Strategic Intermodal System facilities, as defined in s. 339.64, and roadway facilities funded in accordance with s. 339.2819. Further, the local government shall, in consultation cooperation with the state land planning agency and the Department of Transportation, develop a plan to mitigate any impacts to the Strategic Intermodal System, including, if appropriate, the development of a long-term concurrency management system pursuant to 31 subsection (9) and s. 163.3177(3)(d). The exceptions may be

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2.4

25

26

27

28 29

30

Bill No. HB 7203, 2nd Eng.

Barcode 113368

available only within the specific geographic area of the jurisdiction designated in the plan. Pursuant to s. 163.3184, any affected person may challenge a plan amendment establishing these guidelines and the areas within which an exception could be granted.

- (g) Transportation concurrency exception areas existing prior to July 1, 2005, must shall meet, at a minimum, meet the provisions of this section by July 1, 2006, or at the time of the comprehensive plan update pursuant to the evaluation and appraisal report, whichever occurs last.
- (12) When authorized by a local comprehensive plan, A multiuse development of regional impact may satisfy the transportation concurrency requirements of the local comprehensive plan, the local government's concurrency management system, and s. 380.06 by payment of a proportionate-share contribution for local and regionally significant traffic impacts, if:
- (a) The development of regional impact meets or exceeds the guidelines and standards of s. 380.0651(3)(h) and rule 28-24.032(2), Florida Administrative Code, and includes a residential component that contains at least 100 residential dwelling units or 15 percent of the applicable residential guideline and standard, whichever is greater;

(a)(b) The development of regional impact which, based on its location or mix of land uses, contains an integrated mix of land uses and is designed to encourage pedestrian or other nonautomotive modes of transportation;

(b)(c) The proportionate-share contribution for local and regionally significant traffic impacts is sufficient to pay for one or more required mobility improvements that will 31 | benefit a regionally significant transportation facility;

Barcode 113368

1 (c) The owner and developer of the development of 2 regional impact pays or assures payment of the proportionate-share contribution; and 3 (d)(e) If the regionally significant transportation 4 facility to be constructed or improved is under the 5 maintenance authority of a governmental entity, as defined by 7 s. 334.03(12), other than the local government with jurisdiction over the development of regional impact, the 8 developer is required to enter into a binding and legally 9 10 enforceable commitment to transfer funds to the governmental 11 entity having maintenance authority or to otherwise assure construction or improvement of the facility. 12 13 The proportionate-share contribution may be applied to any 14 15 transportation facility to satisfy the provisions of this 16 subsection and the local comprehensive plan, but, for the purposes of this subsection, the amount of the 17 18 proportionate-share contribution shall be calculated based 19 upon the cumulative number of trips from the proposed 20 development expected to reach roadways during the peak hour 21 from the complete buildout of a stage or phase being approved, 22 divided by the change in the peak hour maximum service volume of roadways resulting from construction of an improvement 23 2.4 necessary to maintain the adopted level of service, multiplied by the construction cost, at the time of developer payment, of 25 the improvement necessary to maintain the adopted level of 26 service. For purposes of this subsection, "construction cost" 27 includes all associated costs of the improvement. 28 29 Proportionate-share mitigation shall be limited to ensure that a development of regional impact meeting the requirements of 30 31 this subsection mitigates its impact on the transportation

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 28

29

30

Bill No. HB 7203, 2nd Eng.

Barcode 113368

system but is not responsible for the additional cost of reducing or eliminating backlogs.

- (16) It is the intent of the Legislature to provide a method by which the impacts of development on transportation facilities can be mitigated by the cooperative efforts of the public and private sectors. The methodology used to calculate proportionate fair-share mitigation under this section shall be as provided for in subsection (12).
- (a) By December 1, 2006, each local government shall adopt by ordinance a methodology for assessing proportionate fair-share mitigation options. By December 1, 2005, the Department of Transportation shall develop a model transportation concurrency management ordinance with methodologies for assessing proportionate fair-share mitigation options.
- (b)1. In its transportation concurrency management system, a local government shall, by December 1, 2006, include methodologies that will be applied to calculate proportionate fair-share mitigation. A developer may choose to satisfy all transportation concurrency requirements by contributing or paying proportionate fair-share mitigation if transportation facilities or facility segments identified as mitigation for traffic impacts are specifically identified for funding in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the capital improvements element of the local plan or the long-term concurrency management system or if such contributions or payments to such facilities or segments are reflected in the 5-year schedule of capital improvements in the next regularly scheduled update of the capital improvements element. Updates to the 5-year capital improvements element which reflect 31 | proportionate fair-share contributions may not be found not in

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

Bill No. HB 7203, 2nd Eng.

Barcode 113368

compliance based on ss. 163.3164(32) and 163.3177(3) if additional contributions, payments or funding sources are reasonably anticipated during a period not to exceed 10 years to fully mitigate impacts on the transportation facilities.

- 2. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be applied as a credit against impact fees to the extent that all or a portion of the proportionate fair-share mitigation is used to address the same capital infrastructure improvements contemplated by the local government's impact fee ordinance.
- (c) Proportionate fair-share mitigation includes, without limitation, separately or collectively, private funds, contributions of land, and construction and contribution of facilities and may include public funds as determined by the local government. Proportionate fair-share mitigation may be directed toward one or more specific transportation improvements reasonably related to the mobility demands created by the development and such improvements may address one or more modes of travel. The fair market value of the proportionate fair-share mitigation shall not differ based on the form of mitigation. A local government may not require a development to pay more than its proportionate fair-share contribution regardless of the method of mitigation. Proportionate fair-share mitigation shall be limited to ensure that a development meeting the requirements of this section mitigates its impact on the transportation system but is not responsible for the additional cost of reducing or eliminating backlogs.
- (d) Nothing in This subsection does not shall require a local government to approve a development that is not otherwise qualified for approval pursuant to the applicable 31 | local comprehensive plan and land development regulations.

2

3 4

2.4

25

26

27 28

29

30

Bill No. HB 7203, 2nd Eng.

- (e) Mitigation for development impacts to facilities on the Strategic Intermodal System made pursuant to this subsection requires the concurrence of the Department of Transportation.
- (f) $\underline{\text{If}}$ $\underline{\text{In the event}}$ the funds in an adopted 5-year 5 6 capital improvements element are insufficient to fully fund 7 construction of a transportation improvement required by the local government's concurrency management system, a local 8 government and a developer may still enter into a binding 9 10 proportionate-share agreement authorizing the developer to 11 construct that amount of development on which the proportionate share is calculated if the proportionate-share 12 13 amount in such agreement is sufficient to pay for one or more improvements which will, in the opinion of the governmental 14 15 entity or entities maintaining the transportation facilities, 16 significantly benefit the impacted transportation system. The improvement or improvements funded by the proportionate-share 17 18 component must be adopted into the 5-year capital improvements 19 schedule of the comprehensive plan at the next annual capital 20 improvements element update. The funding of improvements that 21 significantly benefit the impacted transportation system must 22 satisfy concurrency as a mitigation of the development's impact upon the overall transportation system. 23
 - (g) Except as provided in subparagraph (b)1., nothing in this section may not shall prohibit the Department of Community Affairs from finding other portions of the capital improvements element amendments not in compliance as provided in this chapter.
- (h) The provisions of this subsection do not apply to a multiuse development of regional impact satisfying the 31 requirements of subsection (12).

Barcode 113368

1 Section 4. Subsection (14) is added to section 163.3191, Florida Statutes, to read: 2 163.3191 Evaluation and appraisal of comprehensive 3 4 plan.--(14) The prohibition on plan amendments in subsection 5 (10) does not apply to a proposed plan amendment adopted by a 7 local government in order to integrate a port master plan with the coastal management plan element of the local comprehensive 8 plan required under s. 163.3178(2)(k), if the port master plan 10 or the proposed plan amendment do not cause or contribute to 11 the local government's failure to comply with the requirements of the evaluation and appraisal report. 12 13 Section 5. Paragraph (c) of subsection (19) of section 380.06, Florida Statutes, is amended to read: 14 15 380.06 Developments of regional impact.--16 (19) SUBSTANTIAL DEVIATIONS.--(c) An extension of the date of buildout of a 17 18 development, or any phase thereof, by more than 7 years is 19 shall be presumed to create a substantial deviation subject to 20 further development-of-regional-impact review. An extension of the date of buildout, or any phase thereof, of more than 5 21 22 years but not more than 7 years is shall be presumed not to create a substantial deviation. The extension of the date of 23 2.4 buildout of an areawide development of regional impact by more than 5 years but less than 10 years is presumed not to create 25 a substantial deviation. These presumptions may be rebutted by 26 clear and convincing evidence at the public hearing held by 27 28 the local government. An extension of 5 years or less is not a 29 substantial deviation. For the purpose of calculating when a buildout or phase date has been exceeded, the time shall be 30 31 I tolled during the pendency of administrative or judicial

1	proceedings relating to development permits. Any extension of
2	the buildout date of a project or a phase thereof shall
3	automatically extend the commencement date of the project, the
4	termination date of the development order, the expiration date
5	of the development of regional impact, and the phases thereof
6	if applicable by a like period of time. <u>In recognition of the</u>
7	2007 real estate market conditions, all phase, buildout, and
8	expiration dates for projects that are developments of
9	regional impact and under active construction on July 1, 2007,
10	are extended for 3 years regardless of any prior extension.
11	The 3-year extension is not a substantial deviation, is not
12	subject to further development-of-regional-impact review, and
13	may not be considered when determining whether a subsequent
14	extension is a substantial deviation under this subsection.
15	Section 6. This act shall take effect July 1, 2007.
16	
17	
18	======== T I T L E A M E N D M E N T =========
19	And the title is amended as follows:
20	Delete everything before the enacting clause
21	
22	and insert:
23	A bill to be entitled
24	An act relating to comprehensive planning;
25	amending s. 163.3164, F.S.; redefining the
26	terms "urban redevelopment" and "financial
27	feasibility" for purposes of the Local
28	Government Comprehensive Planning and Land
29	Development Regulation Act; amending s.
30	163.3177, F.S.; providing for application of
31	requirements for financial feasibility with

Bill No. <u>HB 7203, 2nd Eng.</u>

respect to the elements of a comprehensive
plan; delaying the deadline for amendments
conforming public facilities with the capital
improvements element; specifying circumstances
under which transportation and school
facilities shall be deemed to be financially
feasible and to have achieved level-of-service
standards; amending s. 163.3180, F.S.;
providing an additional exemption from
concurrency requirements for an urban service
area under specified circumstances; requiring
that a local government consult with the state
land planning agency regarding the designation
of a concurrency exception area; revising
provisions providing an exception from
transportation concurrency requirements for a
multiuse development of regional impact;
providing requirements for proportionate-share
mitigation and proportionate fair-share
mitigation with respect to transportation
improvements; amending s. 163.3191, F.S.;
exempting from a prohibition on plan amendments
certain amendments to local comprehensive plans
concerning the integration of port master
plans; amending s. 380.06, F.S.; extending the
buildout and expiration dates for certain
projects that are developments of regional
impact; providing an effective date.